

**The University of Texas at Austin
Steve Hicks School of Social Work**

Theories and Methods of Group Intervention

Course Number:	SW 393R26	Instructor:	Arlene Montgomery, Ph.D. LCSW
Unique Number:	62020	E-mail:	marksak@utexas.edu
Semester:	Fall 2023	Phone:	Home (512) 480-8086; Office (512) 474-8317
Class Time:	Tuesday 8:30am-11:30am	Office:	SW 3.104A Adjunct Faculty Office
Meeting Place:	SSW 2.122	Office Hours:	By appointment

I. Course Description

This course will cover current group intervention approaches used with children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly across a variety of settings. Students will develop a working understanding of children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly from diverse backgrounds, affirming and respecting their strengths and differences in group settings. The course is grounded in the identification, analysis, and implementation of empirically based intervention strategies for group work with children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly. This course will focus on using multiple perspectives in the advanced application of theories, models, and skills utilized in short-and longer-term group interventions. The framework of the course is based on social work values and the ethical decision-making process, as illuminated by the NASW Code of Ethics.

II. Course Objectives

Upon completion of this course the student will be able to:

1. Demonstrate the ability to integrate theories and empirical research knowledge in selecting and using group work approaches with children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly.
2. Demonstrate an advanced clinical knowledge of group work intervention and leadership roles and skills as they relate to the strengths, the processes involved in human change, and the capacities and resources of children, adolescents, and adults.
3. Demonstrate the ability to adapt group work intervention models, programs and activities for use with children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly to reflect an understanding of persons from diverse backgrounds, including (but not limited to) race, ethnicity, culture, class, gender, sexual orientation, religion/spiritual, physical, or mental ability, developmental level, age, and national origin.
4. Demonstrate the ability to evaluate, select, and design group work programs, methods, and activities for children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly based upon their empirical base, practice utility, potential, range of applicability, and limitations.
5. Demonstrate the ability to select and design group work programs, methods, and activities, and to

critically evaluate and analyze these group work approaches as to their effectiveness in given situations. This includes:

- (a) the adequacy of the research and knowledge base;
 - (b) the range of applicability
 - (c) the value and ethical issues, including the student's own value system and
 - (d) the policy implications involved in the delivery of group work services to children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly.
6. Demonstrate the ability to integrate and apply core and advanced group skills and processes, including, but not limited to, creation and maintenance of the group, resolving conflict, dealing with challenging clients, working in the here-and-now, and fostering the therapeutic factors of group work. (CL/APB 10a)
7. Demonstrate the ability to implement and evaluate the effectiveness of group work interventions for children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly.
8. Demonstrate the ability to tailor the group work interventions for children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly based on the context in which they are delivered.
9. Demonstrate advanced knowledge of social work values and ethical decision-making processes as they relate to ethical dilemmas in group work with children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly.

III. Teaching Methods

This course is designed to include a variety of teaching methodologies to achieve the expectation of student mastery of theoretically grounded advanced practice skill competencies in the group work method. Learning activities include readings, writing, discussions, lecture, videos, and experiential exercises, including presentations.

The class is organized as a skill laboratory, utilizing the small group context and role play for development of group leadership skill competencies applied differentially to vulnerable populations across the life span.

IV. Grades

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

V. Course Assignments/Requirements

There will be a panel presentation which includes summarizing for the class selected material; a reaction paper to the presentation; & two evaluative papers.

Use of the APA 7th Edition(2020) format, as well as correct spelling and grammar is expected. Late or incomplete assignments will be not be accepted without penalty unless there is a reasonable excuse or accommodations.

Grading:

Paper #1 (30%)

Paper #2 (33%, turned in one half at a time)

Panel presentation (summaries of material in study guide format, 10%; material presentation, 10%)

Role play (5%) {each student may participate in several role plays, as part of their contribution to the learning process; details TBA first class day}

& reaction paper /individual contribution(5% of final grade)

Attendance and participation 2 points

Role Play and Panel Presentation

Panel Presentation

Using the **group presentation** format, each student will participate in a panel to examine and lead a discussion on selected assigned material which will be summarized for the class. An assigned film will be used to illustrate issues that lead to intrapsychic defenses which in turn may lead to group dynamics; and also illustrate theories with the appropriate techniques and treatment strategies.

A **brief study guide** will be prepared by the panel to aid in discussing material assigned to this particular film. Before class discussion of the material, each class member and the instructor are to be provided a copy of the study guide, via Canvas.

The following should be addressed in the study guide:

(a.-j. are group contributions provided to the class; k. & l. are completed after the role play and are an individual contribution of each panel member)

- a. 10 illustrations of defenses and 3 illustrations of dynamics which stemmed from some of those defenses
- b. two affective experiences that are supported/illustrated by assigned material (quote the reference)
- c. one example of empathy for difficult behaviors/attitudes
- d. an example of scapegoating and defenses which underlie scapegoating; an example of role suction
- e. one example of the multiple determinants of behavior(s)
- f. one clinical/practice issue
- g. one ethical issue (quote the Ethical Guideline from NASW Code of Ethics)
- h. describe an exercise from Fehr appropriate to this population
- i. one policy issue, particularly social justice
- j. any of the following 5 elements are to be addressed in the study guide: issues of age, class, color, culture, ethnicity, national origin, race, disability, family structure, marital status, gender, religion/spirituality, sex, sexual orientation, ethical dilemma(s), clinical dilemma(s), or relevant policy issue(s) that are relevant to issues within the film.

a.-j., one point each

Individual contribution, turned in with reaction paper:

- k. one illustration of a theme from the characters' interactions in the film that was manifest in the role play & the advantage and disadvantage of addressing via any intervention in Fehr,

l. an example of two interventions based on any two theories examined; describe the principle/tenet of the theory as relates to your example & give the page reference from text

Reaction paper format:

- i.) thoughts and emotional/psychological effect on student both to a group member and to the group-as-a-whole
- ii.) a defense mechanism that student would erect to being the clinical social worker conducting the group; give example of the interactions
- iii.) what type of anxiety, fear, or dread might elicit the above defense mechanisms
- iv.) a tenet or technique of a theory which has been described in the Rutan, et al., text that may be most sensitive to client system and why?}
- v.) research question as relevant to any issue in the film.

k., l., & reaction paper(5 points)

Role Play

There will be a role play creating a staged “group session” for group members whose issues and personalities are based loosely on characters from the film under study. We will not imitate persons from another culture, but take some of the issues under study and present them in an unscripted manner. Interventions for group: Choose an intervention for the group and describe to class ways to implement it (from Fehr, 2010, 101 Interventions in Group Therapy).

Papers

There are two **evaluative papers** on the role play exercises.

Paper #1[30% final grade](choose either of the first 2 presentations for content; October 15 @ midnight , due date if using first presentation; November 12@ midnight, due date if using second presentation)

Using *Chapter 13* (Rutan, et al.) as a model, address the following:

- a. the group history & patient description **(3 points)**
- b. a brief history of one main member **(1 point)**
- c. the context
- d. rendering of the group process (the meeting, p. 296-316) (5 points) & a commentary (similar to clinician’s comments) including addressing the some of the same points, which are a combination of group dynamics (choose 3 dynamics) (Ch. 3); therapeutic factors (choose 3 factors) (Ch. 4); & the role of the therapist (Ch. 9); include an error the therapist made and the reference for the correction for the error **(10 points)**
- e. a commentary of your own reactions (like group leaders) which contains transference/ counter transference reactions (define) **(3points)**
- f. three illustrations of defenses, including the coping style and function with examples; describe three different intrapsychic and/or interpersonal defenses which became or had the potential to become a collusive defense|dynamic; speculate how that might occur**(7 points)**
- g. suggest an intervention that should have been made in the group and one that was made; give the reference for both **(5points)**

Use any two references from the bibliography and or material assigned for panel presentations to support, illustrate, or otherwise elaborate on your observations of the group role play. **(1 point)**

Paper #2(content based on 3rd presentation, 1st one half due Nov. 30 @ 12 midnight; 2nd one half due last day of semester @12:00 midnight)

Modeling your paper after *Chapter 15* (Rutan, et al), address the following regarding the group role play experience:

1st half of paper(23 points)

- a. which dynamic theory of time-limited therapy is the best fit and why?

- b. which of the same theories would most poorly serve the group and why?
- c. using the outline (p. 361) provided in Chapter 15, define & illustrate from the role play, the following elements:

goals
composition,
patient selection and preparation
leadership roles
 activity and nonactivity
 gratification & frustration
 transparency and opaqueness
 leadership foci
 past-present-future
 group-as-a-whole-interpersonal-individual
 in-group and out-or-group
 affect and cognition
 process and content
 the relational experience & understanding

2nd half of paper(10 points)

- d. describe 2 defenses that evolved into a group dynamic with a bit of dialogue. Indicate clearly the dynamic which evolved. Mention and give examples of the coping style and function (hand-outs on defenses); speculate on your own countertransference reactions to these defenses/dynamics; and speculate what it might be about you(as the clinician) that might make you have a sensitivity to such defenses (use 2 concepts from Montgomery, Chapter 6 discussion of group session to address this part of the question).
- e. speculate on the advantage/disadvantage of longer-term psychodynamic work for this particular population. Cite your sources.
- f. from Montgomery, Ch.6, use any concept to support your answer in “e.” above

VI. Required Texts and Materials

Rutan, S.J. & Stone, W. N., & Shay, J.J. (2014). *Psychodynamic group psychotherapy (5rd ed.)*. New York: The Guilford Press.

Fehr, S. S., Ed. (2016). *101 Interventions in group therapy: Edition 2*. New York: Routledge.

VII. Class Policies

Students are expected to read the assigned material before the class and be prepared to discuss it as appropriate. Attendance will be taken each class; leaving during class will be considered an absence unless previously discussed with instructor. One absence will be allowed without an excuse and instructor requires notice, if possible; otherwise, notify the instructor about absences for consideration of excused absence. Late work may be accepted with some grade deduction, to be determined by instructor. Participation in presentations and role play(s) is required; should there be issues with participation, notify instructor. Personal email of instructor(marksak@utexas.edu) is preferred over Canvas for turning in work and general communication. When students send presentation materials to the class via Canvas, instructor also needs same sent to marksak@utexas.edu.

VIII. University Policies

COVID-19 RELATED INFORMATION. The University's policies and practices related to the pandemic may be accessed at: <https://protect.utexas.edu/>

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

ACCESSIBLE/COMPLIANT STATEMENT: If you are a student with a disability, or think you may have a disability, and need accommodations please contact Disability and Access (D&A). You may refer to D&A's website for contact and more information: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>. If you are already registered with D&A, please touch base with your instructor to discuss your Accommodation Letter as early as possible in the semester so your approved accommodations can be implemented.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. This atmosphere includes working intentionally to recognize and dismantle racism, sexism, heterosexism, and ableism in the classroom. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment, we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) and other forms of electronic communication (e.g. blogs) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged

to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. Students who violate University rules on academic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and / or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on academic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, please visit the Student Conduct and Academic Integrity website at: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/conduct>.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

UNIVERSITY ELECTRONIC MAIL STUDENT NOTIFICATION. Electronic mail (email), like postal mail, is a mechanism for official University communication to students. The University will exercise the right to send email communications to all students, and the University will expect that email communications will be received and read in a timely manner. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <https://it.utexas.edu/policies/university-electronic-mail-student-notification-policy>.

RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS. A student who misses classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day should inform the instructor as far in advance of the absence as possible so that arrangements can be made to complete an assignment within a reasonable period after the absence. A reasonable accommodation does not include substantial modification to academic standards, or adjustments of requirements essential to any program of instruction. Students and instructors who have questions or concerns about academic accommodations for religious observance or religious beliefs may contact the [Office for Inclusion and Equity](#). The University does not maintain a list of religious holy days.

TITLE IX DISCLOSURE. Beginning January 1, 2020, Texas Education Code, Section 51.252 (formerly known as Senate Bill 212) requires all employees of Texas universities, including faculty, to report to the [Title IX Office](#) any information regarding incidents of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking that is disclosed to them. Texas law requires that all employees who witness or receive information about incidents of this type (including, but not limited to, written forms,

applications, one-on-one conversations, class assignments, class discussions, or third-party reports) must report it to the Title IX Coordinator. Before talking with me, or with any faculty or staff member about a Title IX-related incident, please remember that I will be required to report this information.

Faculty, staff, graduate assistants, teaching assistants, and graduate research assistants are [mandatory reporters](#) under federal Title IX regulations and are required to report [a wide range of behaviors we refer to as sexual misconduct](#), including the types of misconduct covered under Texas Education Code, Section 51.252. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 is a federal civil rights law that prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex – including pregnancy and parental status – in educational programs and activities. The Title IX Office has developed supportive ways and compiled campus resources to support all impacted by a Title IX matter.

If you would like to speak with a case manager, who can provide support, resources, or academic accommodations, in the Title IX Office, please email: supportandresources@austin.utexas.edu. Case managers can also provide support, resources, and accommodations for pregnant, nursing, and parenting students.

For more information about reporting options and resources, please visit: <https://titleix.utexas.edu>, contact the Title IX Office via email at: titleix@austin.utexas.edu, or call 512-471-0419.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on campus carry may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS and COVID-19 ADVICE LINE (BCCAL). If students have concerns about their behavioral health, or if they are concerned about the behavioral health of someone else, students may use the Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <https://safety.utexas.edu/behavior-concerns-advice-line>. The Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line has been expanded to support The University of Texas at Austin community during the COVID-19 pandemic. By calling 512-232-5050 - Option 2 for COVID-19, students, faculty and staff can be assisted in English and Spanish with COVID-19 support.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.

If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.

In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.

Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

IX. COURSE SCHEDULE

The due date of text assignment will be announced after presentation schedule determined, first class day. All read the text material. The readings will be summarized and illustrated by presentation panel and are optional readings for rest of class.

Date	Topic	Assignment Due	Readings
<p>08-22, 29</p> <p>Sept. 5,12, 19,26 (Role play, 09-26)</p>	<p>Introduction of class requirements</p> <p>Film, <i>Smoke Signals</i></p> <p>Topic: Intrapsychic, interpersonal, and group experiences that can become group dynamics. Basic approaches to understanding group including the psychodynamic and systems.</p> <p>Sub-topics: family structure as it evolved with both normative life cycle stressors and trauma; certain aspects of religion and spirituality as related to family dynamics; personal reactions of the clinical social worker, especially potential value and ethical conflicts.</p>	<p>Summaries on the following chapters from the text: <u>Chapter 1</u> Group's in Today's Society; <u>Chapter 2</u> History of Small Group Theory & Practice; and <u>Chapter 3</u> Group Dynamics and Group Development; Discussion of psychological defensive strategies that may evolve into group dynamics, <u>Chapter 4</u> Therapeutic Factors in Group Psychotherapy; and <u>Chapter 8</u> Patients and the Group Agreements</p>	<p>Napoli, M. (1999). The Non-Indian therapist working with American Indian Clients: Transference-countertransference implications.</p> <p>Schermer, V.L, (2000), Contributions of Object Relations Theory and Self Psychology to Relational Psychology and Group Psychotherapy.</p> <p>Weaver, H.N. (1997). The Native American family circle: Roots of resiliency.</p> <p>Brave Heart, M.Y(1999).Return to Sacred Path: Healing historical trauma and historical unresolved grief response among Lakota through psychoeducational intervention. Smith College Studies in Social Work, 68(3).pub. On- 27 Feb., 2010.</p> <p>Grayshield, L., et al. (2015, Oct.). Understanding and healing historical trauma: The perspectives of Native American Elders. 37(4), 295-307.doi:10.17744/mech.37.4.02.</p>

<p>Oct. 3,10,, 17, 24 (Role play 10-24)</p>	<p>Film, <i>The Joy Luck Club</i></p> <p>Topic: Issues of differential diagnosis of immigrants and related theories (Social Constructionist, Family Therapy, Self Psychology, Relational Therapy and Object Relations Theory on Group Psychotherapy) and some relevant neurological issues related to relationship experiences</p> <p>Subtopics: immigration status and selected policy issues, culture and race, selected child welfare policy issues related to racism/prejudice</p>	<p>Text: <u>Chapter 5</u> Mechanisms and Process of Change; <u>Chapter 6</u> Forming a Group; <u>Chapter 7</u> Patient Selection; <u>Chapter 9</u> The Role of the Group Therapist; and <u>Chapter 10</u> Beginning the Group</p>	<p>Chung, R, C-Y & Bemak, F.(2007). Immigrant and refugee populations. In M.G. Constantine(Ed.), <i>Clinical Practice with People of color: A guide to becoming culturally competent</i>(pp125-244)2nd ed., New York: Teacher’s College, Columbia University.</p> <p>DeVries, M.W. (1996). Trauma in cultural perspective.</p> <p>Fong, R. (1997). Child welfare practice with Chinese families: Assessment issues for immigrants from the People’s Republic of China.</p> <p>Kira, I.A., Ahmed, A., Wasim, F., Mahmoud, V., Colrain, J., & Rai, D. (2012). Group therapy for refugees and torture survivors: Treatment model innovations. <i>International Journal of group psychotherapy</i>. 62(1),69-90.</p> <p>Wu, S., Enders L. E. & Ham, M.A.D. (1997). Social constructionist inquiry in family therapy with Chinese Americans.</p>
<p>Nov. 7,14,, 28 (role play)</p> <p>Dec. 4, 1st ½ ppr. due</p>	<p>Film, <i>The Color Purple</i></p> <p>Topic: Issues around historical issues of slavery and the intergenerational transmission of trauma; selected concepts from relevant treatment theories such as Family Therapy, Object Relations Theory, Couples Therapy, and Life Cycle issues as they influence Group Psychotherapy.</p> <p>Sub-topics: Social/environmental pressures around sexual, emotional & physical abuse in the family as affected by marital status, class, gender & color</p>	<p>Text: <u>Chapter 11</u> Special Leadership Issues; <u>Chapter 12</u> Expressions of Affect in Group Psychotherapy; and <u>Chapter 14</u> Difficult Groups and Difficult Patients; <u>Chapter 16</u> Termination in Group Psychotherapy</p>	<p>Cirillo, S. & Deblasio, P. (1989). Games typical of abusive families.</p> <p>Gans, J. G. & Weber, R.L. (2000). The detection of shame in group psychotherapy: Uncovering the hidden emotion.</p> <p>Pillari, V. (1991). <i>Scapegoating in families: Intergenerational patterns of physical and emotional abuse</i></p> <p>African American sibling relationships. In M. McGoldrick (Ed.)</p>

<p>Last class day 2nd 1/2 ppr due</p>	<p>factors, aging and mental health issues.</p>		<p>Wright, F. (2000). The use of the self in group leadership: A relational perspective.</p> <p>Bateman, A. & Fonagy, P.(2019). Ch. 7 Group therapy for adults and adolescents. <i>Handbook of mentalizing in mental health practice</i>, 2nd ed., American Psychiatric Association Publishing.</p>
	<p>Ethics including duty to warn</p>	<p><i>Brabender, V.M. & Fallon, A. (2009). Ethical hot spots of combined individual and group therapy: Applying four ethical standards.</i></p>	<p>Montgomery, A.(2013). Chapter 6 Adolescents. <i>Neurobiology essentials for therapists</i>. NY: Norton</p>

X. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ainslie, R. C. (1995). *No Dancin' in Anson: An American story of race and social change*. Northvale, NJ.
- Ashby, M., Gilcrest, L. & Miramontez, A. (1987). Group treatment for sexually abused Indian adolescents. *Social Work with Groups*, 10(4), 21-32.
- Bateman, A. & Fonagy, P.(2019). Ch. 7 Group therapy for adults and adolescents. *Handbook of mentalizing in mental health practice*, 2nd ed., American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- Bakali, J. V., Wilberg, T., Klungsoyr, O., & Lorentzen, S. (2013). Development of group climate in short-term-and long-term psychodynamic group psychotherapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 63(3), 347-366.
- Book, H. E. (1998). *How to practice brief psychodynamic psychotherapy: The core conflictual relationship theme method*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Boyd-Franklin, N. (1989). *Black families in therapy: A multisystems approach*. New York: The Guilford Press.

- Bouwkamp, C.G., de Kruijff, M. E., van Troost, T.M., Snippe, D., Blom, M. J., Winter, R.F.P., & Haffmans, P.M.J. (2013). Interpersonal and social rhythm group therapy for patients with bipolar disorder. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 63(1), 97-116.
- Brabender, V.M. & Fallon, A. (2009). Ethical hot spots of combined individual and group therapy: Applying four ethical standards. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 59(1).127-147.
- Brandler, S. & Roman, C.P. (1991). Glossary of group names and exercises. *Groupwork: Skills and strategies for effective interventions*. New York: Haworth Press. 221-233.
- Brannen, S. J. & Rubin, A. (1996). Comparing the effectiveness of gender-specific and couples groups in a court-mandated spouse abuse treatment program. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 6, 405-424.
- Brown, P. M. & Shallet, J. S.(Eds.). (1997). *Cross-Cultural practice with couples and families*. New York: The Haworth Press, Inc.
- Carrell, S. (2010). *Group exercises for adolescents: A manual for therapists*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Chalfin, F. (2014). The role of a visible/visual disability in the clinical dyad: Issues of visibility/invisibility for the client and clinician. *Psychoanalytic Social Work*. 21(1).
- Cirillo, S. & Deblasio, P. (1989). Games typical of abusive families. *Families that abuse*. New York: WW Norton, 82-119.
- Brabender, V.M. & Fallon, A. (2009). Ethical hot spots of combined individual and group therapy: Applying four ethical standards. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 59(1).127-147.
- Corey, G. (1995). *Theory and practice of group counseling* (4th ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Cornish, M.A. Wade, N.G., & Knight, M.A. (2013). Understanding group therapist's use of spiritual and religious interventions in group therapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 63(4), 573-592.
- Cox, D.W., Westwood, M. J., Hoover, S.M., Chan, E.K. H., Kivari, C.A., Dadson, M.R., & Zumbo, B.D. (2014). Evaluation of a group intervention for veterans who experienced military-related trauma. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*.64(3),367-380.
- Davis, L. E., Galinsky, M.J. & Schopler, J. H. (1995). RAP: A framework for leadership of multiracial groups. *Social Work*, 40 (2), 155-165.
- DeVries, M.W. (1996). Trauma in cultural perspective. In B.A. van der Kolk, A.C., & L. Weisaeth (Eds.), *Traumatic stress*. New York: The Guilford Press.298-416.
- Ellis, A. (1992). Group rational-emotive and cognitive behavioral therapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 42 (1), 63-80.
- Ellis, C.E., Peterson, M., Bufford, R., & Benson, J. (2014). *The importance of group cohesion in inpatient treatment of combat-related PTSD*. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(2), 209-228.
- Ettin, M. F. (1993). Links between group process and social, political, and cultural issues. In H.I. Kaplan B. J. Saddock (Eds.). (pp.699-716). Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.. *Comprehensive Group Psychotherapy*.
- Fester, A. (1996). Group therapy as an effective treatment modality for people of color. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 46 (3), 1996.

- Fong, R. (1997). Child welfare practice with Chinese families: Assessment issues for immigrants from the People's Republic of China. In P. M. Brown & J.S. Shalett (Eds.), *Cross-cultural practice with couples and families* (pp. 33-48). New York: The Hayworth Press, Inc.
- Freud, S. (1922). *Group psychology and the analysis of the ego*. London: The International Psychoanalytic Press.
- Freitag, C.M/, et al. (2015). Group-based cognitive behavioral psychotherapy for children and adolescents with ASD: The randomized, multicenter, controlled SOSTA-net trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*: DOI:10.1111/jcpp.23509.
- Furman, R., Rowan, & Bender, K. (2009). *An experiential approach to group work*. Chicago: Lyceum.
- Gans, J. G. & Weber, R.L. (2000). The detection of shame in group psychotherapy: Uncovering the hidden emotion. *International journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 50(3), 381-396.
- Gene-Cos, N., Fisher, J., Ogden, P., & Cantrel, A. (2016). Sensorimotor psychotherapy group therapy in the treatment of Complex PTSD. *Annals of Psychiatry Mental Health*4(6):1080.
- Joyce, S.J. (2002). Introduction to the special section on contemporary group therapy research *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 52(4). 459-462
- Kaplan, H.I. & Sadock, B. J. (Eds.). (1993). *Comprehensive Group Psychotherapy (3rd ed.)*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Kinley, J.L. (2013). Attachment style changes following intensive short-term group psychotherapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 63(1). 53-76.
- Germain, C. B. & Gitterman, A. (1996). *The life model of social work practice: Advances in theory and practice* (2nd ed.). New York: Columbia University Press.
- Hesse, A.R. (1992). Secondary trauma: How working with trauma survivors affects therapists. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 30(3), 293-310.
- Hodgson, J. (1981). Cognitive versus behavioral-interpersonal approaches to the group treatment of depressed college students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28, 243-249.
- Horowitz, L., Gabbard, G.O., Allen, J.G., Frieswyk, S. H., Colson, D. B., Newsome, G.E. & Coyne, L. (1996). *Borderline personality disorder: Tailoring the psychotherapy to the patient*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- International Journal of Group Psychotherapy, Special Issue on Group Therapy Around the World: Reports from Spain, Italy, Germany, Sweden, Finland, Norway, Denmark, Iceland, United Kingdom, Canada, Egypt, Israel, Australia, and Brazil.* (2015). 65(4). New York: Guilford. **(See whole issues)**
- Joel, D., & Yarimi, D. (2014). Consciousness-Raising in a gender conflict group. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(1), 71-76.
- Kanas, N. (1996). *Group therapy for schizophrenic patients*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Kernbern, O. F. (1998). *Ideology, conflict, and leadership in groups and organizations*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Kira, I.A., Ahmed, A., Wasim, F., Mahmoud, V., Colrain, J., & Rai, D. (2012). Group therapy for refugees

- and torture survivors: Treatment model innovations. *International Journal of group psychotherapy*. 62(1),69-90.
- Leszcz, M. (1992). The interpersonal approach to group psychotherapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 42(1), 37-62.
- Lomonaco, S. Scheidlinger, S. & Aronson, S. (2000). Five decades of children's group treatment-an overview. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Group Therapy*, 10(2), 77-96.
- Lowy, L. (1992). Social group work with elders: Linkages and intergenerational relationships. *Social Work with Groups*,15(2/3), 109-127.
- Luborsky, L. (1985). Therapist success and its determinants. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 42, 602-611.
- Marsiali, E., Munroe-Blum, H. & Mc Cleary, L. (1997). The contributions of cohesion and group alliance to the outcome of group psychotherapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 47, 475-497.
- Marsiglia, F.F., Cross,S. & Mitchell-Enos, V. (1998). Culturally grounded group work with adolescent American Indian students. *Social Work with Groups*, 21(½), 89-102.
- Mangione, L. & Nelson, D. (2003). The 1996 Mt. Everest tragedy: Contemplation on group process and group dynamics. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 53(3), 353-374.
- McGoldrick, M., Ed. (1998). *Re-visioning family therapy: Race, culture, and gender in clinical practice*. New York: Guilford.
- Middelberg, C.V. (2001). Projective identification in common couple dances. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*. 27(3), 341-352.
- Montgomery, A. (2013). *Neurobiology essential for clinicians: What every therapist should know*. New York, NY: Norton.
- Napoli, M. (1999). The Non-Indian therapist working with American Indian Clients: Transference-countertransference implications. *Psychoanalytic Social Work*, 6(1), 27-47.
- Noumair, D.A. (2013). Cultural relations: Shining light on organizational dynamics. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 63(2). 153-178.
- O'Brian, P. J. (2003). Hamlet at the millennium: Assisting today's teens through the group psychotherapy process. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 52(1), 39-60.
- O'Neill, S.M. & Kueppenbender, K. (2012). Suicide in group therapy: Trauma and possibility. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 62(4), 587-612.
- Pillari, V. (1991). *Scapegoating in families: Intergenerational patterns of physical and emotional abuse* New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Phillips, D. G. (2003). Dangers of boundary violations in the treatment of borderline patients. *Clinical Social Work Journal*. 31(3), 315-326.
- Pynoos, R., Steinberg, A.M. & Goenjian, A. (1986). Chapter 14 Traumatic stress in childhood and adolescence: Recent developments and current controversies. In Bessel A. van der Kolk, Alexander C. McFarlane & Lars Weisaeth, (Eds.). *Traumatic stress* (pp. 331-358). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Ramirez, M. (1991). *Multicultural psychotherapy: An approach in individual and cultural differences*. (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

- Rice, C. (1993). The community meeting. In A. Alonso & H. Swiller (Eds.), *Group therapy in clinical practice*, (pp.113-135). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Rutan, J.S. (2014). A Psychodynamic approach: Commentary on “Consciousness-Raising in a Gender Conflict Group.” *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(1), 71-76.
- Sahlein, J. (2002). When religion enters the dialogue: A guide for practitioners. *Clinical Social Work Journal*. 31(4), 381-402.
- Schamess, G. (1986). Differential diagnosis and group structure in the outpatient treatment of latency age children. In A.F. Reister & I. Kraft (Eds.) *Child group psychotherapy: Future tense*. (pp. 29-68). New York: International Universities Press.
- Schermer, V.L. (2000). Contributions of Object Relations Theory and Self Psychology to Relational Psychology and Group Psychotherapy. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 50(2),199-217.
- Schore, Allan N. (1994). *Affect regulation and the origin of the self: The neurobiology of emotional development*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Shonfeld-Ringel, S. (2001). A re-conceptualization of the working alliance in cross-cultural practice with Non-Western clients: Integrating relational perspective and multicultural theories. *Clinical Social Work Journal*. 29(1), 53-63.
- Slavson, S.R. & Schiffer, M. (1975). *Group psychotherapies for children and adolescents*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Springer, D.W., Pomeroy, E.C. & Johnson, T. (1999). A group intervention for children of incarcerated parents: Initial pitfalls and subsequent solutions. *Groupwork*, 11(1), 54-70.
- Thomas, N. K. (2014). The personal is political: Gender stereotypes in the unconscious life of groups: Commentary on “Conscious-Raising in a Gender Conflict Group” *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(1), 77-82.
- Troester, J. D. (2002). Working through family-based problem behavior through activity group therapy. *Clinical Social Work Journal*. 30(4), 419-428.
- Vella, N. (1999). Freud on groups. In C. Oakley (Ed.). *What is a group? A new look at theory in practice*. (pp. 8-38). London: Rebus.
- Watson, M. F.(1998). African American sibling relationships. In M. McGoldrick (Ed.), *Re-Visioning family therapy: Race, culture, and gender in clinical practice*.282-294.
- Weaver, H.N. (1997). The Native American family circle: Roots of resiliency. In P.M. Brown & J.S. Shalett (Eds.), *Cross cultural practice with couples and families*. New York: The Haworth Press, 67-79.
- Weinberg, H. (2001). Group process and group phenomenon on the internet. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 51(3), 361-379.
- Winograd, W. (2014). The wish to be a boy: Gender dysphoria and identity confusion in a self-identified transgender adolescent. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 21(1-2)55-74.
- Wright, F. (2000). The use of the self in group leadership: A relational perspective. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 50(2). 181-198.

- Wu, S., Enders L. E. & Ham, M.A.D. (1997). Social constructionist inquiry in family therapy with Chinese Americans. In P.M. Brown & J.S. Shalett (Eds.) *Cross-cultural practice with couples and families*. 111-128.
- Yalom, I. D. (1983). *Inpatient group psychotherapy*. New York; Basic Books.
- Yip, K., Ngan, M. & Lam, I. (2002). An explorative study of peer influence and response to adolescent self-cutting behavior in Hong Kong. *Smith Studies in Social Work*, 72(3), 379-402.
- Zhang, T., Fu, H., & Wan, Y. (2014). The application of group forgiveness intervention for courtship-hurt college students: A Chinese Perspective. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(3), 299-322.
- Zorzella, P.M., Muller, R. T., & Classen, C.C. (2014). Trauma group therapy: The role of attachment and therapeutic alliance. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*. 64(1), 25-48.