

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

Course Number: SW 381T	Instructor's Name: Cory Morris, MSW
Unique Number: 61825	Semester: Fall 2023
Meeting Time: TH 2:30 to 5:30pm	Office Hours: By Appointment
Classroom: SSW 2.116	E-mail: cory.morris@austin.utexas.edu

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course examines the reciprocal relationship between human behavior and the social environment at the mezzo and macro levels to prepare students to work in programs, organizations, and communities. Special emphasis is given to the development of assessment skills and intervention methods that are relevant to empowerment, capacity-building and social change within organizations and communities. Drawing on a variety of frameworks, including, but not limited to, feminist theory, ecological systems theory, critical race theory, the strengths-based perspective, social construction theory, and other theories with an anti-oppressive and antiracist focus, students will identify and critique power structures within policies, procedures and organizational culture that create inequitable access to social services and disparate opportunities for communities marginalized by the dominant culture. Students will apply this knowledge and an antiracism lens to understand how power and oppression impact populations and interventions at multiple levels. Understanding the intersectionality of racialization, ethnic origin, class, gender identity and expression, culture, sexual orientation, religion, age, physical and mental abilities, caste, immigration/refugee status, national origin of communities and tribal sovereign status is an integral part of this course. Students will also practice cultural humility by centering community voices throughout assessment, planning, intervention, and evaluation. The complex tensions between dismantling systems of oppression while working within those systems as agents of change will also be discussed.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Explore communities and organizations as a context for social work practice to meet individual needs, build community capacity, and promote social, racial, economic, and environmental justice.
2. Demonstrate knowledge, skills, critical examination, and self-reflection in engaging with value dilemmas and ethical issues confronting social work professionals and their implications for social work practice with organizations and communities.
3. Assess the influence of values and norms, priorities, and competing interests on the social structure of communities and the delivery of human services.

4. Analyze the reciprocal impacts of organizational and community dynamics on client populations and relate those impacts to the participation of clients in organizational and community governance, human service provision, and policy formulation.
5. Gain understanding of the existence of community agency and learning to center on the community's knowledge and determination of its own capacity-building and power.
6. Evaluate strategies for initiating change in organizations and communities, such as planning models, coalition building, community development, direct action, and advocacy at the mezzo and macro levels.
7. Demonstrate knowledge of how bias, power, and privilege as well as personal values and personal experiences may influence assessment, planning, intervention, and evaluation at multiple levels.
8. Compare various evidence-based interventions and emerging approaches for working with organizations and communities.
9. Make use of knowledge and skills in strengths-based approaches to build capacity with client systems, exploring and building on existing individual and community assets.
10. Increase knowledge of internal workings of public and private organizations across the lifecycle.
11. Examine systemic and institutional racism in organizations and institutions in communities, including racial and ethnic representation in the different branches of agencies, analyzing structural elements such as boards, bylaws, and hiring practices of organizations that reinforce white supremacy culture.

EPAS Competencies

The Steve Hicks School of Social Work (SHS) has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, the SHS engages in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS).

Using a common evaluation instrument, this course measures the implementation of knowledge, skills, values, and/or cognitive and affective processes to assess the following competencies:

Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Behavior 6a: Apply knowledge of human behavior and person-in-environment, as well as interprofessional conceptual frameworks, to engage with clients and constituencies

Behavior 6b: Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to engage in culturally responsive practice with clients and constituencies

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Behavior 7b: Demonstrate respect for client self-determination during the assessment process by collaborating with clients and constituencies in developing a mutually agreed-upon plan

Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Behavior 8a: Engage with clients and constituencies to critically choose and implement culturally responsive, evidence-informed interventions to achieve client and constituency goals;

Behavior 8b: Incorporate culturally responsive methods to negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of clients and constituencies

Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Behavior 9a: Select and use culturally responsive methods for evaluation of outcomes

Behavior 9b: Critically analyze outcomes and apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities

III. TEACHING METHODS

This course is designed to include a variety of teaching and learning methods to achieve the course objectives. Course content is covered through reading, listening, thinking, and discussing social work practice with and in communities and organizations. Each class session will focus on a set of materials found in a module in Canvas. Students are expected to complete readings and any other assigned content prior to class each week. Class sessions will be devoted to focused discussions that flow from assigned readings and supplemental materials prepared for the class. Students are expected to ask questions, share experiences, and actively participate in class discussions through available canvas and classroom forums. In addition, the class will include small group experiential learning, videos to generate discussion, and potential guest speakers who have lived experience, work in agency and/or community-based settings. These methods intentionally draw on diverse pedagogical approaches to be inclusive of a variety of learning styles.

To reach your class site on Canvas, please go to <https://utexas.instructure.com/courses/1375525>. To access the course website, you must have an Internet connection and computer access. You will need a UT EID and password. The Help Desk available through the UT home page (www.utexas.edu/its/help) can assist you with your computer and Canvas questions. You can also call them at 512-475-9400. Additionally, the Learning Resource Center at the SSW has computers and computer assistance.

While readings, videos, and additional discussion and minor assignments will be expected weekly of you and housed on canvas, we have one major, common assignment to meet core competencies for social work accreditation.

IV. REQUIRED TEXT AND MATERIALS

Course text: Netting, F. E., Kettner, P. M., McMurtry, S. L., & Thomas, M. L. (2017). *Social work macro practice* (6th ed.). Pearson.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Assignment: Common Assignment targeting EPAS Competencies

The goal of this assignment is to give students an opportunity to demonstrate an understanding and mastery of the CSWE Competencies taught throughout the semester via readings, lecture, and assignments.

The common assignment will be composed of two parts:

- Group Presentation
- Individual Reflection

As part of an assigned group, students will select a community or organization (i.e. city, county, school district, zip code, for/not-for profit organization) and a topic (i.e. gun violence, social determinants of health, anti-discriminatory practices/policies, housing insecurity) to explore as a community or organizational case study through the EPAS competencies listed in the course syllabus. These competencies guide students as they *Engage, Assess, Intervene, and Evaluate Practice* with individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities.

The results of the community or organizational case exploration will be presented in the form of a 'Needs Assessment' that will provide contextual information on the community/organization, the identified problem, proposed solution(s), and tools to track for evaluation.

NOTE – Sources of data collected on a community and topic may be pulled from but not limited to:

- Actual interviews (i.e. Meeting with community or organizational members/leaders)
- Simulated interviews (i.e. Hypothetical townhall meetings, focus groups)
- Publicly available data (i.e. Census Data, County Health Records, filed 990 tax records)
- Hypothetical data (i.e. Agency turnover rates, Strategic plans)

Group Presentation (30 Points) – Grades will be shared by group members and will reflect how well the group describes and presents efforts to *Engage, Assess, Intervene, and Evaluate Practice* with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities.

Individual Reflection (120 Points) – Students will provide a written reflection that allows them to briefly describe their work within each of the *Competency Behaviors* found across the four EPAS competencies. Responses for each outcome measure will be graded on a score ranging from Advanced Competencies to Insufficient Progress. A minimum of 'Competent' score is

required to achieve full credit for each Outcome measure graded as 'Advanced Competency' will provide the option of extra credit.

Assignment Requirement
Behavior 6a Apply knowledge of human behavior and person-in-environment, as well as interprofessional conceptual frameworks, to engage with clients and constituencies;
Behavior 6b) Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to engage in culturally responsive practice with clients and constituencies
Assignment Requirement
Behavior 7b) Demonstrate respect for client self-determination during the assessment process by collaborating with clients and constituencies in developing a mutually agreed-upon plan

Assignment Requirement
Behavior 8a) Engage with clients and constituencies to critically choose and implement culturally responsive, evidence-informed interventions to achieve client and constituency goals
Behavior 8b) Incorporate culturally responsive methods to negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of clients and constituencies
Assignment Requirement
Behavior 9a) Select and use culturally responsive methods for evaluation of outcomes
Behavior 9b) Critically analyze outcomes and apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities

Assignment: Discussion Questions in Canvas

Students will have opportunities to engage in discussion questions via canvas and should engage with other students to demonstrate understanding of course concepts and in practicing reflection.

Assignment: Modules Completion

Students will also have opportunities to engage in module asynchronous activities in canvas for learning opportunities.

Class Attendance

Class attendance is expected. Attendance is defined as students' attentive physical presence in class for the entire class. The instructor should be notified in advance of any planned absence and as soon as possible in the case of an unforeseen, serious emergency.

Students are responsible for checking CANVAS and reaching out to peers for any information and class notes missed.

Due Dates & Grading

Assignment		% Of Course Grade	Due Date
Common Assignment Group Work	30pts	10%	Nov 28
Common Assignment Self Reflection	120pts	40%	Nov 28
Discussion Questions, class group work, & module asynchronous activities in canvas	75pts	25%	Ongoing
Attendance and Participation	75pts	25%	Ongoing
Total		100%	

V. CLASS POLICIES

Conduct and Civility: Social work students adhere to the Student Standards for Professional Conduct of the NASW Code of Ethics and assume responsibility for their conduct. Scholastic honesty and integrity are to be consistent social work values. The instructor will comply with University guidelines regarding scholastic dishonesty, including plagiarism. Social work practitioners respect others; therefore, differences in values, opinions, and feelings of class members and guest speakers will be respected. Students will help create and support a learning environment that does not interfere with the learning of fellow students. When students voice an opinion with which you do not agree, feel free to voice opinions in a civil manner that can enhance the flow of ideas and dialog.

Readings and Preparatory Assignments: Students are expected to be active and participate in the learning process, and in an interactive framework between collegiate students and professor. Students are also expected to complete readings and preparatory assignments. Students are responsible for the material in the assigned readings whether or not the material is covered in class.

Professional Communication and Interactions: Disrespect toward the professor, students, or others is unacceptable and will be handled directly. Disrespectful behavior includes overt acts, such as making derogatory remarks based on another person's age, race, class, gender, sexual orientation, religious preference, and ability.

Electronic Devices – Laptops and handheld computers may be used during class in silent mode to support notetaking and learning. Students are expected to refrain from texting, browsing

other sites, or answering emails while in class; and are expected to contribute to a distraction-free environment focused on classroom learning. The final grade is negatively impacted by student's failure to comply with this policy.

Assignment Deadlines and Late Submissions - Assignments should be turned in online on the date they are due, barring excused late submission from professor. Assignment due dates are noted in canvas

Writing Style - Certain assignments will require students to follow APA 7th Edition guidelines regarding professional writing (cover page, running head, in-paper references, bibliography). Students are encouraged to access UT resources through the University Writing Center to review papers: <http://uwc.utexas.edu/>.

Students can use Purdue University online resources to help guide APA formatting: https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_style_introduction.html

CANVAS - All assignments, relevant instructions, grades, and announcements will be posted through shared class CANVAS page. Students will be expected to maintain their UT provided emails to continue to receive timely communications regarding class or assignments.

Course Modification: To ensure achievement for course objectives, the professor reserves the right to make modifications to any part of this syllabus related to schedule and assignment due dates. Should any modifications be made, students will be notified via Canvas. Students should check their email frequently. Note that some of the links to documents and videos on Canvas may change. Documents, new links or alternate options will be provided.

VII. UNIVERSITY POLICIES

COVID-19 RELATED INFORMATION. The University's policies and practices related to the pandemic may be accessed at: <https://protect.utexas.edu/>

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

ACCESSIBLE/COMPLIANT STATEMENT: If you are a student with a disability, or think you may have a disability, and need accommodations please contact Disability and Access (D&A). You may refer to D&A's website for contact and more information: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>. If you are already registered with D&A, please touch base with your instructor to discuss your Accommodation Letter as early as possible in the semester so your approved accommodations can be implemented.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. This atmosphere includes working intentionally to recognize and dismantle racism, sexism, heterosexism, and ableism in the classroom. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment, we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) and other forms of electronic communication (e.g. blogs) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way.

Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. Students who violate University rules on academic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and / or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on academic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, please visit the Student Conduct and Academic Integrity website at: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/conduct>.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

UNIVERSITY ELECTRONIC MAIL STUDENT NOTIFICATION. Electronic mail (email), like postal mail, is a mechanism for official University communication to students. The University will exercise the right to send email communications to all students, and the University will expect that email communications will be received and read in a timely manner. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <https://it.utexas.edu/policies/university-electronic-mail-student-notification-policy>.

RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS. A student who misses classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day should inform the instructor as far in advance of the absence as possible so that arrangements can be made to complete an assignment within a reasonable period after the absence. A reasonable accommodation does

not include substantial modification to academic standards, or adjustments of requirements essential to any program of instruction. Students and instructors who have questions or concerns about academic accommodations for religious observance or religious beliefs may contact the [Office for Inclusion and Equity](#). The University does not maintain a list of religious holy days.

TITLE IX DISCLOSURE. Beginning January 1, 2020, Texas Education Code, Section 51.252 (formerly known as Senate Bill 212) requires all employees of Texas universities, including faculty, to report to the [Title IX Office](#) any information regarding incidents of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking that is disclosed to them. Texas law requires that all employees who witness or receive information about incidents of this type (including, but not limited to, written forms, applications, one-on-one conversations, class assignments, class discussions, or third-party reports) must report it to the Title IX Coordinator. Before talking with me, or with any faculty or staff member about a Title IX-related incident, please remember that I will be required to report this information.

Faculty, staff, graduate assistants, teaching assistants, and graduate research assistants are [mandatory reporters](#) under federal Title IX regulations and are required to report [a wide range of behaviors we refer to as sexual misconduct](#), including the types of misconduct covered under Texas Education Code, Section 51.252. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 is a federal civil rights law that prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex – including pregnancy and parental status – in educational programs and activities. The Title IX Office has developed supportive ways and compiled campus resources to support all impacted by a Title IX matter.

If you would like to speak with a case manager, who can provide support, resources, or academic accommodations, in the Title IX Office, please email: supportandresources@austin.utexas.edu. Case managers can also provide support, resources, and accommodations for pregnant, nursing, and parenting students.

For more information about reporting options and resources, please visit: <https://titleix.utexas.edu>, contact the Title IX Office via email at: titleix@austin.utexas.edu, or call 512-471-0419.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on campus carry may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS and COVID-19 ADVICE LINE (BCCAL). If students have concerns about their behavioral health, or if they are concerned about the behavioral health of someone else, students may

use the Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <https://safety.utexas.edu/behavior-concerns-advice-line>. The Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line has been expanded to support The University of Texas at Austin community during the COVID-19 pandemic. By calling 512-232-5050 - Option 2 for COVID-19, students, faculty and staff can be assisted in English and Spanish with COVID-19 support.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

GRADING SCALE

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

Date:	Topic	Readings & Resources	Assignments Due
Aug 24	Semester Overview Welcome	Group assignments	See Module 1 in Canvas
Aug 31	Engaging Communities Defining Communities Introducing Community Practice Models Theoretical Perspectives on Communities	Netting, chapter 5	See Module 2 in Canvas
Sept 7	Assessing Communities Locating Community Data Understanding Community Capacity Situating Communities in Context	Netting, chapter 6	See Module 3 in Canvas
Sept 14	Intervening in a Community Citizen mobilization Situational prevention Media interventions	See reading in canvas.	See Module 4 in Canvas
Sept 21	No Class		Break
Sept 28	Engaging with Organizations Theoretical Perspectives on Organizations Empowerment Applied to Organizations Understanding the Organizational Ecosystem	Netting, chapter 7	See Module 5 in Canvas
Oct 5	Assessing Organizations Program Evaluation Stakeholder analysis Cultural Competency	Netting, chapter 8	See Module 6 in Canvas
Oct 12	Planning Organizational Interventions	Netting, chapter 11	See Module 7 in Canvas

	Logic Models Intervention Goals Intervention Objectives Action Planning		
Oct 19	Monitoring & Evaluating Org Interventions Designing Interventions with Evaluation in Mind Types of Evaluations Data Management	Netting, chapter 12	See Module 8 in Canvas
Oct 26	No Class	Work on common assignment	
Nov 2	Strategic Change in Organizations Conflict Resolution Strategic Change Management	Netting, chapter 10	See module 9
Nov 9	Presentations		Group presentations for Common Assignment
Nov 14	Presentations		Group presentations for Common Assignment
Nov 21	No class – break		
Nov 28	Last in-person class		Group presentations for Common Assignment All assignments due

X. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alinsky, S. D. (1972). Rules for Radicals: A Pragmatic Primer for Realistic Radicals. New York: Vintage Books.

Alinsky, S. D. (1974). Reveille for Radicals. New York: Vintage Books.

- Almeida, R. V., Werkmeister Rozas, L. M., Cross-Denny, B., Lee, K. K., & Yamada, A. M. (2019). Coloniality and intersectionality in social work education and practice. *Journal of Progressive Human Services, 30*(2), 148-164.
- Austin, J. E. (2000). *The Collaboration Challenge: How Nonprofits and Business Succeed Through Strategic Alliances*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Bangs, D. H. (2006). *Nonprofits Made Easy*. Madison, Wisconsin: Entrepreneur Press.
- Baogang, H. & Murphy, H. (2007). Global social justice at the WTO? The role of NGOs in constructing global social contracts. *International Affairs, 707-727*
- Barakso, M. & Schaffner, B. F. (2007). Exit, Voice, and Interest Group Governance. *American Politics Research, 36*, 1-25.
- Beck, A. F., Sandel, M. T., Ryan, P. H., & Kahn, R. S. (2017). Mapping neighborhood health geomarkers to clinical care decisions to promote equity in child health. *Health Affairs, 36*(6), 999-1005.
- Berry, J. M. (2006). *A Voice for Nonprofits*. Washington, DC: Bookings Institute.
- Bhopal, R., & Sheikh, A. (2009). Inclusion and exclusion of ethnic-minority populations in research on the effectiveness of interventions. *Diversity & Equality in Health and Care*.
- Block, P. (2009). *Community: The Structure of Belonging*. San Francisco: Berrett Koehler.
- Boggs, G. L. (2011). *The Next American Revolution: Sustainable Activism for the Twenty-First Century*. LA: University of California Press.
- Bolman, L. G. & Deal, T. E. (2003). *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership*, Third Edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Bornstein, D. (2004). *How to Change the World: Social Entrepreneurs and the Power of New Ideas*. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Brown, M. J. (2006). *Building Powerful Community Organizations: A Personal Guide to Creating Groups that Can Solve Problems and Change the World*. Arlington, MA: Long Haul Press.
- Brueggemann, W. G. (2006). *The Practice of Macro Social Work*, Third Edition. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning, Inc.
- Chambers, E. T. (2008). *Roots for Radicals: Organizing for Power, Action, and Justice*. New York: Continuum.

- Chaskin, R. J., Goerge, R. M., Skyles, A, & Guiltinan, S. (2006). "Measuring Social Capital: Exploration in Community-Research Partnership." *Journal of Community Psychology*, 35 (4), 489-514.
- Chrislip, D. D. (2002). *The Collaborative Leadership Fieldbook: A Guide for Citizen and Civic Leaders*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Chrislip, D. D. & Larson, C. E. (1994). *Collaborative Leadership: How Citizens and Civic Leaders Can Make a Difference*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Corcoran, K., & Roberts, A. (2015). *Social workers' desk reference / Kevin Corcoran, Editor-in-Chief; Albert R. Roberts, Founding Editor-in-Chief*. (Third edition.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Davis, T. S., Saltzburg, S., & Locke, C. R. (2010). Assessing community needs of sexual minority youths: Modeling concept mapping for service planning. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 22(3), 226-249.
- Dees, J. G., Emerson, J. & Economy, P. (2002). *Strategic Tools for Social Entrepreneurs: Enhancing the Performance of Your Enterprising Non-Profit*. NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dolnicar, S. & Randle, M. (2007). What Motivates Which Volunteers? Psychographic Heterogeneity Among Volunteers in Australia. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 18(2), 135-155.
- Edmondson, A. C. (1996). Three faces of Eden: The persistence of competing theories and multiple diagnoses in organizational intervention research. *Human relations*, 49(5), 571-595.
- Ehrenreich, B. (2001). *Nickel and Dimed: On Not Getting by In America*. NY: Henry Holt and Company.
- Ellis, R. A., Mallory, K. C., Gould, M. Y. & Shatila, S. L. (2006). *The Macro Practitioner's Workbook: A Step-by-Step Guide to Effectiveness with Organizations and Communities*. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Books Cole.
- Engelbrecht, L. (2008). Economic literacy and the war on poverty: a Social Work challenge? *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 17, 166–173.

Estrella, M., & Gaventa, J. (1998). [Who counts reality? Participatory monitoring and evaluation: A literature review.](#) Institute of Development Studies.

Farmer, P. (2003). *Pathologies of Power: Health, Human Rights, and the New War on the Poor*. Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Farruggia, G. (2007). How is the Nonprofit Sector Changing? *Futures Research Quarterly*, 23, 516.

Fauri, D. P., Wernet, S. P. & Netting, F. E. (2000). *Cases in Macro Social Work Practice*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Fellin, P. (2001). *The Community and the Social Worker*, Third Edition. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc.

Fellin, P. (2001b). "Understanding American communities." In J. Rothman, J. L. Erlich, and J. E. Tropman (Eds.), *Strategies of community intervention*, 6th edition. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc.

Figueira-McDonough, J. (2001). *Community Analysis and Praxis: Toward a Grounded Civil Society*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner-Routledge.

Fisher, R. & Karger, H. J. (1997). *Social Work and Community in a Private World: Getting Out in Public*. White Plains, NY: Longman.

Fleury, M. & Mercier, C. (2002). Integrated local networks as a model for organizing mental health services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health*, 30(1), 55-73.

Fuller, T, Guy, D. & Pletsch, C. (2002). *Asset Mapping: A Handbook*. Canadian National Rural Conference.

Gamble, D. N. & Weil, M. (2010). *Community Practice Skills: Local to Global Perspectives*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Gandelman, A. A., DeSantis, L. M., & Rietmeijer, C. A. (2006). Assessing community needs and agency capacity- An integral part of implementing effective evidence-based interventions. *AIDS Education & Prevention*, 18(supp), 32-43.

- Gibelman, M. & Furman, R. (2008). *Navigating Human Service Organizations*, 2nd Edition. Chicago: Luceum Books.
- Glisson, C. A., Dulmus, C. N., & Sowers, K. M. (2012). *Social work practice with groups, communities, and organizations: Evidence-based assessments and interventions*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gonzales, V. (2007). Globalization, Welfare Reform, and the Social Economy: Developing an Alternative Approach to Analyzing Social Welfare Systems in the Post-Industrial Era. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 34(2), 187-211.
- Green, G. P. & Haines, A. (2002). *Asset Building and Community Development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Green, M. (2006). *When People Care Enough to Act*. Toronto: Inclusion Press.
- Gustafson, D.H., Sainfort, F., Eichler, M., Adams, L., Bisognano, M., & Steudel, H. (2003). Developing and testing a model to predict outcomes of organizational change. *Health Services Research*, 38(2), 751-776.
- Handy, F. (2008). The Interchangeability of Paid Staff and Volunteers in Nonprofit Organizations. *Nonprofit & Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 37, 76-92.
- Hardina, D. (2005). Ten characteristics of empowerment-oriented social service organizations. *Administration in Social Work*, 29(3), 23-42.
- Hasselbein, F., Goldsmith, M. & Somerville, I. (1999). *Leading Beyond the Walls: How HighPerforming Organizations Collaborate for Shared Success*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Hoefler, R. A., Hoefler, R. M., & Tobias, R. A. (1994). "Geographic Information Systems and Human Services." *Journal of Community Practice*, 1 (3), 113-128.
- Hick, S. F. & McNutt, J. G (Eds.). (2002) *Advocacy, Activism, and the Internet: Community Organization and Social Policy*. Chicago: Lyceum Books, Inc.
- Homan, M. S. (2008). *Promoting Community Change: Making It Happen in the Real World*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.

- Hudson, M. (2005). Managing at the Leading Edge: New Challenges in Managing Nonprofit Organizations. *Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(19), 42.
- Jamison, I. (2003). Turnover and Retention among Volunteers in Human Service Agencies. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23, 114-132.
- Johnson Butterfield, A. K. & Korazim-Korosy, Yossi (Eds.) (2007). *Interdisciplinary Community Development: International Perspectives*. NY: Routledge.
- Johnson, J. L. & Grant, G., Jr. (2005). *Community Practice*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kettner, P. M., Moroney, R. M., Martin, L. M. (2016). Understanding social problems. *Designing and managing programs: An effectiveness-based approach* (5th Ed.) (pp. 36-48). Sage Publications.
- King, R. M. (2000). *From Making a Profit to Making a Difference*. River Forest, IL: Planning/Communication.
- Kirst-Ashman, K. K. (2008). *Human behavior, communities, organizations and groups in the macro social environment: An empowerment approach*, 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.
- Kirwin Institute (2013). *The Geography of Opportunity in Austin and How it is Changing*. The Ohio State University: Kirwin Institute.
- Kivel, P. (2007). Social service or social change? In Incite! (Ed.), *The revolution will not be funded: Beyond the non-profit industrial complex* (pp. 129-149). South End Press
- Kollock, P. & Smith, M. (Eds.) (1999) *Communities in cyberspace*. London: Routledge Press.
- Kordesh, R. S. (2006). *Restoring Power to Parents and Places: The Case for Family-Based Community Development*. Lincoln, NE: iUniverse.
- Kush, C. (2004). *The One Hour Activist: The 15 Most Powerful Actions You Can Take to Fight for the Issues and Candidates You Care About*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Legace, M. (2005). *Nonprofit Networking: The New Way to Grow*. Harvard Business School: Working Knowledge.
- Lewandowski, C. A. (2003). Organizational Factors Contributing to Worker Frustration: The Precursor to Burnout. *Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare*, 30(4), 175-185.
- Lewis, J. A., Packard, T. R. & Lewis, M. D. (2007). *Management of Human Service Programs*, 4th Edition. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Books Cole.
- Lipman, H. (2006). Techniques Activists Use to Influence Corporations. *Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(14), 14.
- Loeb, P. R. (2004). *The Impossible Will Take A while: A Citizen's Guide to Hope in a Time of Fear*. New York: Basic Books.
- Loeb, P. R. (1999). *Soul of a Citizen: Living with Conviction in a Cynical Time*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Mary, N. L. (2005). Transformational leadership in human service organizations. *Administration in Social Work*, 29(2), 105-118.
- McGuire, D. (2010). Engaging organizations in environmental change: A greenprint for action. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12(5), 508-523.
- Mandell, B. R. & Schram, B. (2009). *Introduction to Human Services: Policy and Practice*, 7th Edition. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Minieri, J & Getsos, P. (2007). *Tools for Radical Democracy*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Minkovitz, C. S., O'Neill, K. M., & Duggan, A. K. (2016). Home visiting: a service strategy to reduce poverty and mitigate its consequences. *Academic Pediatrics*, 16(3), S105-S111.
- Mordaunt, J. (2005). The Challenges of Innovation. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 16, 249-251.
- Myers, J. R. (2007). *Organic Community: Creating a Place Where People Naturally Connect*. Grand Rapids: MI: Baker Books.
- Nagy, R. (2008). Transitional Justice as Global Project: critical reflections. *Third World Quarterly*, 29, 275-289.

- Netting, F. E., O'Connor, M. K. & Fauri, D. P. (2008). *Comparative Approaches to Program Planning*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Netting, F. E., Kettner, P. M. & McMurtry, S. L. (2008). *Social Work Macro Practice*, 4th Edition. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- O'Connor, M. K. & Netting, F. E. (2009). *Organization Practice: A Guide to Understanding Human Service Organizations*, Second Edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Okun, T. (2021). White Supremacy Culture – Still Here. Retrieved August 8, 2022, from chromeextension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.dismantlingracism.org/uploads/4/3/5/7/43579015/white_supremacy_culture_-_still_here.pdf
- Pakroo, P. H. (2007). *Starting and Building a Nonprofit: A Practical Guide*, 2nd Edition. Berkeley, CA: Nolo Press.
- Perlmutter, F. D., Bailey, D. & Netting, F. E. (2001). *Managing Human Resources in the Human Services: Supervisory Challenges*. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Perry, N. O. (2000). Social Enterprise and Nonprofits: Strategic Alliances. *Nonprofit Management*, 3, 1-4.
- Provan, K. G., Isett, K. R., & Milward, H. B. (2004). Cooperation and compromise: A network response to conflicting institutional pressures in community mental health. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 33(3), 489-514.
- Puntenney, D. L. (2000). *A Guide to Building Sustainable Organizations from the Inside Out*. Chicago, IL: ACTA Publications.
- Rahim, M. A. (2017). Reducing job burnout through effective conflict management strategy. *Intelligence, Sustainability, and Strategic Issues in Management: Current Topics in Management*, 18, 201-212.
- Rettig, H. (2006). *The Lifelong Activist: How to Change the World without Losing Your Way*. New York: Lantern Books.
- Robinson, A. (2002). *Selling Social Change (Without Selling Out): Earned Income Strategies for Nonprofits*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Rothman, J. (1995). Approaches to community intervention. In J. Rothman, J. L. Erlich, and J. E. Tropman (Eds.). *Strategies of Community Practice*, Fifth Edition. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc., 26-63.
- Rubin, H. J. (2000). *Renewing Hope Within Neighborhoods of Despair: The Community-Based Development Model*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.
- Rubin, H. J. and Rubin, I. S. (2008). *Community organization and development*, 4th edition. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Sachs, J. (2005). *The End of Poverty: Economic Possibilities for Our Times*. NY: The Penguin Press.
- Sakamoto, I., & Pitner, R. O. (2005). Use of critical consciousness in anti-oppressive social work practice: Disentangling power dynamics at personal and structural levels. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 35(4), 435-452.
- Sandel, M., Faugno, E., Mingo, A., Cannon, J., Byrd, K., Garcia, D. A., Collier, S., McClure E., & Boynton Jarrett, R. (2016). Neighborhood-level interventions to improve childhood opportunity and lift children out of poverty. *Academic Pediatrics*, 16(3), S128-S135.
- Sawhill, J. C., & Williamson, D. (2001). Mission impossible?: Measuring success in nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit management and leadership*, 11(3), 371-386.
- Scales, L., & Streeter, C.L. (Eds.), (2003). *Rural Social Work: Building and Sustaining Community Assets*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Sands, M. A. (2005). *How to Manage an Effective Nonprofit Organization*. Franklin Lakes, NJ: Career Press.
- Sen, R. (2003). *Stir it up: Lessons in Community Organizing and Advocacy*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Schmid, H. (2006). Leadership Styles and Leadership Change in Human and Community Service Organizations. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 17(2), 179-194.
- Sowa, J. E., Selden, S. C., & Sandfort, J. R. (2004). No longer unmeasurable? A multidimensional integrated model of nonprofit organizational effectiveness. *Nonprofit and voluntary sector quarterly*, 33(4), 711-728.
- Staller, K., & Mafile'o, T. (2009). Community. In I. Shaw (Ed.), *The SAGE handbook of social work research* (pp. 365–377). Sage Publications.
- Stoecker, R. (2007). The Research Practices and Needs of Non-Profit Organizations in an Urban Center. *Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare*, 34(4).

- Streeter, C. L. (2013). Community: Overview. In *Encyclopedia of Social Work*, 20th Edition, Volume 1. Washington DC. NASW Press.
- Szakos, K. L. & Szakos, J. (2007). *We Make Chang*. Nashville: Vanderbilt University Press.
- Tang, F. (2007). Socioeconomic Disparities in Voluntary Organization Involvement Among Older Adults, *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 37, 1-20.
- University of Kansas. (2022). Community Tool Box. Retrieved August 8, 2022, from <https://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents>.
- W.K. Kellogg Foundation. (2004). W.K. Kellogg Foundation evaluation handbook. <https://www.wkkf.org/resource-directory/resources/2004/01/logic-modeldevelopmentguide>
- Warren, M. R. (2001). *Dry Bones Rattling: Community Building to Revitalize American Democracy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Warren, R. B. & Warren, D. I. (1977). *The neighborhood organizer's handbook*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Warren, R. L. (1978). *The community in America*, 3rd Edition. NY: University Press of America.
- Weigel, D. J., & Martin, S. S. (2006). [Identifying Key Early Literacy and School Readiness Issues: Exploring a Strategy for Assessing Community Needs](#). *Early Childhood Research & Practice*, 8(2).
- Weil, M. (Ed.). (2005). *The Handbook of Community Practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Wells, R. (2006). Managing child welfare agencies: What do we know about what works? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28(10), 1181-1194.
- Wuenschel, P. (2006). The Diminishing Role of Social Work Administrators in Social Service Agencies: Issues for Consideration. *Administration in Social Work*, 30(4), 5-18.
- Yoo, J., Brooks, D., & Patti, R. (2007). Organizational Constructs as Predicators of Effectiveness in Child Welfare Interventions. *Child Welfare*, 86(1), 53-79.
- Young, D. (2000). Alternative models of government-nonprofit sector relations: theoretical and international perspectives. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 29, 142-172.