

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN  
STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

**Course number:** SW 383 T

**Unique number:** 60900

**Semester:** Spring 2023

**Meeting time/place:** Thursdays

5:30- 8:30pm,

Field Seminar 4:30-5:30pm

**Instructor:** Mary Beer, LCSW (*She/Her*)

Clinical Assistant Professor

**Email:** mary.beer@austin.utexas.edu

**Office number:** 3.124 G

**Cell phone:** 512-529-0313

**Office hours:** Thursdays 3:00-4:00  
and by appointment

**Social Work Practice II**

**I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION**

This course builds upon Social Work Practice I by deepening students' knowledge of the generalist social work perspective in the application of theory and practice methods for effective and ethical service delivery to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities in conjunction with field education.

**II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES**

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Critically analyze professional values, ethical dilemmas and ethical decision making and their impact on service delivery, policy and practice;
2. Identify, critique, apply and evaluate social work theories and methods from a strengths based generalist perspective for effective service delivery to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities;
3. Apply beginning practice skills in the development, leadership and evaluation of small groups in agency, organization and community settings;
4. Identify aspects of human diversity within and between groups and the implications for this diversity in assessment, planning, intervention and evaluation;
5. Demonstrate knowledge of leadership and advocacy skills, conflict management and Inter-professional collaboration at all levels of social work practice to promote social and economic justice;
6. Apply a beginning level of skill in utilizing empirical knowledge to evaluate theoretical frameworks, intervention plans and practice effectiveness;
7. Communicate effectively and professionally, both orally and in writing, assessment, intervention and evaluation plans for diverse client systems that enhance client strengths, capacities, assets and resources.

## **EPAS Competencies**

The Steve Hicks School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, the UT SHSSW engages in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS).

**Using a common evaluation instrument, this course measures the implementation of knowledge, skills, values, and/or cognitive and affective processes to assess the following competencies:**

### **Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior**

Outcome 1.1: Make ethical decisions by applying the standards of the NASW Code of Ethics, relevant laws and regulations, models for ethical decision-making, ethical conduct of research, and additional codes of ethics as appropriate to context

Outcome 1.2: Use reflection and self-regulation to manage personal values and maintain professionalism in practice situations

Outcome 1.3: Demonstrate professional demeanor in behavior; appearance; and oral, written, and electronic communication

Outcome 1.4: Use technology ethically and appropriately to facilitate practice outcomes

Outcome 1.5: Use supervision and consultation to guide professional judgment and behavior

### **Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families & Groups**

Outcome 6.2: Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to effectively engage diverse clients and constituencies

### **Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families & Groups**

Outcome 7.1: Collect and organize data, and apply critical thinking to interpret information from clients and constituencies

Outcome 7.3: Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives based on the critical assessment of strengths, needs, and challenges within clients and constituencies

Outcome 7.4: Select appropriate intervention strategies based on the assessment, research knowledge, and values and preferences of clients and constituencies

### **Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families & Groups**

Outcome 8.1: Critically choose and implement interventions to achieve practice goals and enhance capacities of clients and constituencies

Outcome 8.3: Use inter-professional collaboration as appropriate to achieve beneficial practice outcomes

Outcome 8.4: Negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of diverse clients and constituencies

Outcome 8.5: Facilitate effective transitions and endings that advance mutually agreed-on goals.

### **Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families & Groups**

Outcome 9.1: Select and use appropriate methods for evaluation of outcomes

Outcome 9.3: Critically analyze, monitor, and evaluate intervention and program processes and outcomes

Outcome 9.4: Apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels

### **III. TEACHING METHODS**

This class will be taught using a variety of teaching methods to foster a stimulating, creative and collaborative learning community. These methods intentionally draw on diverse pedagogical approaches to be inclusive of a variety of learning styles; activities include readings, writings, small group discussions, role-play, lectures, videos, in-class group activities, learning activities in the community and self-reflection. Experiential learning that builds upon students' field instruction will be emphasized. For a meaningful experience in this class, students must actively participate, take risks, stretch their creativity, apply their critical thinking skills and attend class regularly. This course will highlight diverse perspectives of thought, and encourages students to engage in new ways of thinking that may be represented by speakers, the professor, and classmates from diverse backgrounds. All students are encouraged to ask questions, raise issues, provide the instructor feedback and meet with the instructor individually as needed to enhance their learning.

Students with disabilities are expected to inform the instructor so appropriate academic accommodations may be planned. Any student with a documented disability (physical or cognitive) who requires academic accommodations should contact the Services for Students with Disabilities in the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259 (voice) or 471-4641 (TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing) as soon as possible to request an official letter outlining authorized accommodations.

### **IV. REQUIRED TEXTS AND MATERIALS**

Walsh, J. (2014). *Theories for Direct Social Work Practice*. Stamford, CT: Cengage Learning. (3rd Edition)

Wing Sue, D., Rasheed, M. N., Rasheed, J. M. (2016). *Multicultural Social Work Practice* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

All required readings listed on the class schedule that are not found in the required texts will be available on Canvas. If readings are added to the reading list after the beginning of the class semester, you will be notified by the professor, generally by email.

### **V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS**

Students will be required to complete the assignments listed below. Detailed assignment learning objectives and instructions, including expected demonstration of content mastery and skill development will be provided in a separate handout. All assignments will be discussed in detail in class and students are encouraged to meet with the instructor should they have any questions about the assignments. Grading sheets with point allocation will be

provided with the assignment guidelines. All assignments will be graded on depth and quality of required content, creativity and critical thinking skills, overall professionalism and quality of writing. Specific point totals for each assignment are indicated below.

**Participation and Preparedness (70 points)**

**Bring to each class**

It is important to attend class on time, remain for the entire class, and be actively and meaningfully present for effective learning and demonstration of professional behavior. Because you are graduate-level social work students, it is expected that you will be able to participate on a deeper level in class discussions, taking healthy risks to work outside of your comfort zone. In order to receive the highest level of participation points, healthy risk-taking for increasing self-awareness, cultural humility, and professional growth must be demonstrated. Participation points will be determined by attendance, level of interest, respect for others' learning needs and **contributions** to classroom discussions and activities. Being prepared for class by reading assigned material is part of this professional expectation as well. There will be the possibility of in-class activities throughout the semester that will gauge your preparedness for class.

Students are expected to communicate with the professor about absences or late arrivals/early departures. Please text if you are running late to class. More than two absences and/or a pattern of late arrivals or early departures may result in disciplinary action. If a student is concerned about their participation grade, they should arrange to meet with the professor to discuss their grade before the end of the semester. All electronic devices, including laptops, must be put away and silenced during class unless their use is explicitly requested by the professor for a class activity.

Points will be deducted as follows:

First absence	No deduction with timely email (Five points without email)
Second absence	Five points deducted
Third absence	Ten additional points deducted

**After two absences the student's final grade will be lowered by one grade (A to an A-, A- to a B+, etc.).**

**Video Role Play and Critique (60 points)**

**Paper due at time of video review (Week of 3/27)**

The purpose of this assignment is to provide students with an opportunity to further develop the social work practice skills learned in Practice I and to demonstrate a beginning level of skill in the application of a social work practice theory/intervention. Students will prepare a video role-play with a partner from class and participate in small group viewing and feedback sessions to deepen their clinical learning and further develop their comfort

and skill in the use of supervision and consultation. A written critique of the video is also a part of this assignment.

**Social Work Theory Presentation (80 points)      Due 2/16 through 3/23**

The purpose of this assignment is to further develop student's research, critical thinking, and presentation skills while increasing their knowledge and skill in the application of a social work practice theory with diverse populations. Students will also have the opportunity to develop their effective task group membership skills. Students will collaborate in a small group project that culminates in a "professional staff development training" on a skill-based application of a practice theory for the class.

**Advocacy Project (30 points)      Poster due 4/20**

The purpose of this assignment is to provide an opportunity for you to participate in a community event that represents one of the Social Work Grand Challenges and then write a reflective paper about your involvement. You will also be required to conduct a persuasive poster presentation about a social/political issue related to your experience. This assignment is designed to provide students with a community based learning experience to foster the development of their leadership and advocacy skills along with their "professional voice" in promoting social justice. There are three components to this assignment:

- 1) Proposal: Student writes a proposal identifying an advocacy event they plan to participate in, and submits to Prof. Beer for approval.
- 2) Paper: Student critiques their event and reflects on their experience as a participant.
- 3) Poster presentation: Student posts a poster of the event to canvas. The poster highlights key elements of the experience.

**Final Case Assessment (60 points)      Draft due 4/6, Final due 4/13**

The purpose of this assignment is to help students consolidate their knowledge and skills, and to comply with the school's CSWE accreditation process. Students will read a fictional case and answer a set of questions about the case. The case and questions will test students' competency in the areas of ethics & professionalism, and engagement, assessment, intervention and evaluation of individuals, groups & families.

**VI. GRADES**

94.0 and Above A  
90.0 to 93.999 A-

87.0 to 89.999 B+  
84.0 to 86.999 B  
80.0 to 83.999 B-  
77.0 to 79.999 C+  
74.0 to 76.999 C  
70.0 to 73.999 C-  
67.0 to 69.999 D+  
64.0 to 66.999 D  
60.0 to 63.999 D-  
Below 60.0 F

## **VII. CLASS POLICIES**

### **Attendance and participation**

Attendance and participation for the full three hours of class and the additional hour of field seminar is expected for all students. Classroom exercises, discussions, role-plays, guest speakers and other class experiential exercises are essential for the students' professional learning and continued development of self-awareness. This form of learning cannot be "made up" once missed. Students will be expected to contact their peers to secure any missed notes or handouts. The student may schedule an office visit with the professor if they wish to discuss missed classroom material in more detail after meeting with peers. Students are to notify the instructor prior to class via email if they cannot attend class due to an illness or emergency. While there are no penalties for absences, a conversation and subsequent level review may occur if the student is missing excessive content.

### **Assignments and Papers**

Students are expected to produce high quality work in terms of appearance, writing style and content. When using information from other sources, references and bibliography should conform to the current APA style of citation. Students are encouraged to consult with the SSW writing consultant if needed. Plagiarism is a serious violation of university rules and will be dealt with according to university policy. Students will be asked to grade their own work at the time of submission based on a learning rubric which is available on canvas. The professor may change a student's final grade as appropriate. Some assignments have the option of being submitted as a video or a PowerPoint. The standards for quality and citations still apply for these submissions. The quiz grades will be automated based on the number of correct answers and will not be self-graded.

### **Time management**

Due dates are designed to help keep students on track with the course load and required assignments. There are no penalties for late assignments, however students are encouraged to stay on track as possible. If students need additional time on assignments,

be sure to contact the professor with the anticipated submission date prior to the due date listed in the syllabus. If concerns arise about submissions, the professor will request a meeting with the student to address the barriers to timely completion.

### **Use of computers/cell phones in the classroom**

Please bring a device to class in order to access canvas. All materials for class are available on the canvas page and we will be accessing them periodically during our class time.

### **Feedback**

Students will be asked to provide feedback on their learning and the professor's teaching strategies in informal as well as formal ways. It is very important for the professor to know the students' reactions to what is taking place in class, ensuring that together the professor and students can create a dynamic and effective learning community. Students are encouraged to provide ongoing feedback to the instructor during class and office visits.

### **Use of Canvas in class**

In this class the professor uses Canvas Web based course management system with password protected access at <http://canvas.utexas.edu> to distribute course materials, to communicate and collaborate online, to post grades, to submit assignments, and to give students online quizzes and surveys. Students can find support in using Canvas at the ITS Help Desk. For more information go to <http://www.utexas.edu/its/helpdesk/>

### **Grading Breakdown**

The following graduate grading scale will be used to determine the final letter grade for the course. The student who earns an A for this course is one who, over the course of the semester, consistently submits work that exceeds expectations. Assignments will not be given letter grades individually; instead, a number of points will be awarded for each assignment, leading to the cumulative grade for the semester.

Class Participation and Preparedness	70 pts
Video Role Play and Paper	60 pts
Social Work Theory Presentation	80 pts
Advocacy Project	30 pts
Final Case Assessment	60 pts
<b>TOTAL=</b>	<b>300 pts</b>

## **VIII. UNIVERSITY POLICIES**

**COVID-19 RELATED INFORMATION.** The University's policies and practices related to the pandemic may be accessed at: <https://protect.utexas.edu/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE.** The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

**DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT.** Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). A student should present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed and followed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>.

**PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM.** The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. This atmosphere includes working intentionally to recognize and dismantle racism, sexism, heterosexism, and ableism in the classroom. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment, we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

**UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS.** Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

**POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION.** Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.



Social work students who use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) and other forms of electronic communication (e.g. blogs) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

**POLICY ON ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.** Students who violate University rules on academic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and / or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on academic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, please visit the Student Conduct and Academic Integrity website at: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/conduct>.

**USE OF COURSE MATERIALS.** The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

**CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY.** Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions

taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

**UNIVERSITY ELECTRONIC MAIL STUDENT NOTIFICATION.** Electronic mail (email), like postal mail, is a mechanism for official University communication to students. The University will exercise the right to send email communications to all students, and the University will expect that email communications will be received and read in a timely manner. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <https://it.utexas.edu/policies/university-electronic-mail-student-notification-policy>.

**RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS.** A student who misses classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day should inform the instructor as far in advance of the absence as possible so that arrangements can be made to complete an assignment within a reasonable period after the absence. A reasonable accommodation does not include substantial modification to academic standards, or adjustments of requirements essential to any program of instruction. Students and instructors who have questions or concerns about academic accommodations for religious observance or religious beliefs may contact the [Office for Inclusion and Equity](#). The University does not maintain a list of religious holy days.

**TITLE IX REPORTING.** In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct on the basis of sex <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>. Faculty, field instructors, staff, and/or teaching assistants in their supervisory roles are mandated reporters of incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual violence, stalking, dating violence, or any other forms of sexual misconduct. Students who report such incidents will be informed of University resources. Incidents will be reported to the University's Title IX Coordinator. Further information, including student resources related to Title IX, may also be found at <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>.

**CAMPUS CARRY POLICY.** The University's policy on campus carry may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>.

**SAFETY.** As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

**BEHAVIOR CONCERNS and COVID-19 ADVICE LINE (BCCAL).** If students have concerns about their behavioral health, or if they are concerned about the behavioral health of someone else, students may use the Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns. This service is provided through a partnership between

the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <https://safety.utexas.edu/behavior-concerns-advice-line>. The Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line has been expanded to support The University of Texas at Austin community during the COVID-19 pandemic. By calling 512-232-5050 - Option 2 for COVID-19, students, faculty and staff can be assisted in English and Spanish with COVID-19 support.

**EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY.** Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor’s instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

**IX. COURSE SCHEDULE**

The following is a general guide to the Spring 2023 semester. In covering each topic, the class will examine issues specific to diverse populations. The instructor reserves the right to modify the calendar as needed to correct errors, to better meet learning objectives and to accommodate guest speakers and in-class discussions and tasks. Changes will be made only when necessary and, if made, students will be notified in class and/or by email. Please check canvas and email regularly for any updates.

**MSWP=Multicultural Social Work Practice**  
**CANVAS=Additional readings on Canvas**  
**HRRSL=Hepworth Rooney Direct Social Work Practice text**  
**Walsh=Walsh text**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Assignment Due</b>	<b>Readings</b>
<b>1/12 #1</b>	Course and Syllabus Review Managing Barriers to Change		<b>MSWP-Chapter 8</b>
<b>1/19 #2</b>	The Social Worker as Leader in Group Work	<b>F-Field journal</b> Seminar Team #1	<b>MSWP-Chapter 9</b> <b>HRRSL- Ch. 16</b>

<b>1/26 #3</b>	Healing Centered Engagement Trust Based Relational Intervention	<b>F-Field journal</b> Seminar team #2	<b>Canvas:</b> Dr. Karyn Purvis video  Shawn Ginwright- article Healing Centered Practice article or you tube video <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fjOoCQBE2a8">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fjOoCQBE2a8</a> <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pr91roUTBRo">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pr91roUTBRo</a>  Dr. Nadine Burke Harris video
<b>2/2 #4</b>	Making the Theory to Practice Connection & Solution Focused Therapy	<b>F-Field journal</b> Macro project proposal Seminar team #3	<b>Walsh Ch. 10</b> <b>MSWP-Chapter 13</b>
<b>2/9 #5</b>	Telehealth <b>ZOOM CLASS</b>	<b>F-Field journal</b> Seminar team #4 PR #3 Learning contract	<b>One of the following canvas articles:</b> ~NASW Standards for Technology in Social Work Practice ~Tips and Practices article ~The Continued Growth of Telemental Health

<b>2/16 #6</b>	Theory group presentation	<b>P-</b> Theory group Cognitive  <b>F-</b> Field journal Seminar team #5	<b>Walsh Ch. 8</b>
<b>2/23 #7</b>	School Social Work Conference-No class  <b>NO CLASS-CONFERENCE</b>	<b>F-</b> No log this week.  Attend conference, if possible, use class time for presentation preparation	
<b>3/2 #8</b>	Theory group presentation	<b>P-</b> Theory groups Crisis  <b>F-</b> Field journal Mid-semester evaluation review	<b>Walsh Ch.13</b>
<b>3/9 #9</b>	Theory group presentation	<b>P-</b> Theory groups Feminist  <b>F-</b> Field journal Seminar team #6	<b>Canvas Turner Ch. 11</b>
<b>3/16</b>	<b>SPRING BREAK</b>		
<b>3/23 #10</b>	Theory group presentation	<b>P-</b> Theory groups Narrative  <b>F-</b> Field journal Seminar team #7	<b>Walsh Ch. 12</b>

<b>3/30 #11</b>	Video reviews	<b>F-</b> PR #4 Field journal  <b>P-</b> Video review and critique paper due	
<b>4/6 #12</b>	Putting it all together, peer consultation with final assignment	<b>P-</b> Final case analysis draft due  <b>F-</b> Field journal Seminar team #8	
<b>4/13 #13</b>	The Social Worker as Leader in Social Justice	<b>P-</b> Final case analysis due  <b>F-</b> Field journal Seminar team #9	<b>MSWP-Chapter 12</b>
<b>4/20 #14</b>	Endings, Transitions, and Celebrations	<b>P-</b> Advocacy posters due to canvas  <b>F-</b> Final Field Reflection	

## **X. BIBLIOGRAPHY**

*The reading list for this course was designed to explore issues of diversity. All readings are available through the library and/or canvas.*

Abrams, L. S., & Moio, J. A. (2009). Critical Race Theory and the cultural competence dilemma in social work education *Journal of Social Work Education, 45*(2), 245–261.  
<https://doi.org/10.5175/JSWE.2009.200700109>

Acho, E. (2020). *Uncomfortable conversations with a black man*. (1st ed.). Flatiron Books.

Anechiarico, B. (n.d.). Vicarious trauma: What are the protective measures? Retrieved from: <http://www.cpcamerica.com/Presentations/Vicarious%20Trauma%20Article.pdf>.

- Bennett, C. (Host). (2020, January 2). Professionalism or Socialized White Supremacy [Audio podcast episode]. In SA Voices From the Field. NASPA Stories. <https://naspastories.libsyn.com/professionalism-or-socialized-white-supremacy>
- Blundo, R. (2001). Learning strengths-based practice: Challenging our personal and professional frames. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Human Services*, 82(3), 296-304.
- Calderwood, K.A. (2011). Adapting the transtheoretical model of change to the bereavement process. *Social Work*, 56(2), 107-118.
- Compton, B., Galaway, B. & Cournoyer, B. (2005). *Social work processes* (7th ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Corcoran, K. (2015). *Social workers' desk reference*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Delgado, R & Stefancic, J. (2017). *Critical Race Theory*. New York: New York University Press.
- De Jong, P. & Berg, I. (2002). *Interviewing for solutions* (3rd ed.) (pp.20-51). Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.
- Dewane, C. J. (2006). Use of self: A primer revisited. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 34 (4), 543-558.
- DiClemente, C. & Velasquez, M. (2002). Motivational interviewing and the stages of change. In Miller, W. & Rollnick, S. (Eds.), *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing people for change* (pp. 201-217). New York: Guilford Press. 16
- Dillon, C. (2003). *Learning from mistakes in clinical practice*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Facione, P. (2013). *Critical thinking: what it is and why it counts*. Retrieved from: [InsightAssessment.com](http://InsightAssessment.com).
- Faulkner, M., Fernandez-Castro, M., Padilla, Y., & Shapiro, E. (2008). Our nation's immigrants in peril: An urgent call to social workers, *Social Work*, 53(1), 5-8.
- Fine, S.F. & Glasser, P.H. (1996). *The first helping interview: Engaging the client and building trust*. (pp.58-78, 118-134). London: Sage Publications.
- Furman, R., Negi, N., Iwamoto, D., Rowan, D., Shukraft, A. & Gragg, J. (2009). Social work practice with Latinos: Key issues for social workers. *Social Work*, 54(2) 167-174.

- Gerdes, K. & Segal, E. (2011). Importance of empathy for social work practice: Integrating new science. *Social Work*, 56(2), 141-148.
- Giordano, J. (2000). Effective communication and counseling with older adults. *Aging and Human Development*, 51(4), 315-324.
- Glicken, M.D. (2004). Using the strengths perspective in social work practice: A positive approach for the helping professions (pp.48-61). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Golden, R. & Sonneborn, S. (1998). Ethics in clinical practice with older adults: Recognizing biases and respecting boundaries. *American Society on Aging*, 22(3).
- Hare, I. (2004). Defining social work for the 21st century. *International Social Work*, 47(3), 407-424.
- Hepworth, D.H., Rooney, R.H., Rooney, G.D., Strom-Gottfried, K. (2013). *Direct social work practice: Theory and skills* (9th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Hick, S. F. (2009). Mindfulness and social work: Paying attention to ourselves, our clients, and society. In S. Hick (Ed.), *Mindfulness and Social Work* (pp. 1-26). Chicago, IL: Lyceum Books.
- Higgins, E. (2019, December 5). *The Dangerous Narrative That Lurks Under the 'Achievement Gap' and the counternarrative about Black student potential*. EducationWeek. <https://www.edweek.org/leadership/opinion-the-dangerous-narrative-that-lurks-under-the-achievement-gap/2019/12>
- Hiraldo, P. (2010). The Role of Critical Race Theory in Higher Education. *The Vermont Connection*, 31(1). <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/tvc/vol31/iss1/7>
- Hodge, D. (2005). Epistemological frameworks, homosexuality, and religion: How people of faith understand the intersection between homosexuality and religion. *Social Work*, 50(3), 207-218.
- Kendi, I. X. (2016). *Stamped from the beginning: the definitive history of racist ideas in America*. (1st ed.). Nation Books.
- Kessler, P. (2019, January 10). *How to Plan a White Caucus Agenda*. Medium. <https://medium.com/@PippiKessler/how-to-plan-a-white-caucus-agenda-9049847e9bd5>.
- Klein, W.C. & Bloom, M. (1995). Practice wisdom. *Journal of the National Association of Social Workers*, 40(6), 799-807.
- Kolivoski, K. (2020). Applying Critical Race Theory (CRT) and Intersectionality to Address the Needs of African American Crossover Girls. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*. 1-13. 10.1007/s10560-020-00726-9.



Kolivoski, K. M., Weaver, A., & Constance-Huggins, M. (2014). Critical Race Theory: Opportunities for Application in Social Work Practice and Policy. *Families in Society*, 95(4), 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.1606/1044-3894.2014.95.36>

Martinez, E. (1998, February). *What is White Supremacy*. Pittsburgh Arts Council. <https://www.pittsburghartscouncil.org/storage/documents/ProfDev/what-is-white-supremacy.pdf>

McLaughlin, A. (2009). Clinical social workers: advocates for social justice. *Advances in Social Work*. 10(1), 51-68.

McNeil, J., Toliver, M., Grinnell, M., & Wiltey, J. (Hosts). (2019-present). *The Melanated Social Work Podcast* [Audio podcast]. Melanated Social Work.

Menakem, R. (2017). *My grandmother's hands: racialized trauma and the pathway to mending our hearts and bodies*. (1st ed.). Central Recovery Press.

Miley, K., O'Melia, M. & Dubois, B. (2013). *Generalist social work practice: An empowering approach* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education. 17

Miller, W. & Rollnick, S. (2002). *Motivational interviewing: Preparing people for change* (pp. 3-10, 98-110). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Murdach, A. (2010). What good is soft evidence? *Social Work*, 55(4), 309-316.

NASW (2009). *Professional self-care & social work policy statement in Social Work Speaks: National Association of Social Workers policy statements 2009-2112* (8th ed.). Washington, DC: NASW Press.

Netting, F.E. & O'Connor, M.K. (2003). *Organization practice: A social worker's guide to understanding human services* (pp. 5-28). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

O'Hare, T. (2005). *Evidence-based practices for social workers: Definition, processes and principles* (pp. 3-13). Chicago: Lyceum Books.

Oluo, I. (2019, March 28). *Confronting racism is not about the needs and feelings of white people*. The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/mar/28/confronting-racism-is-not-about-the-needs-and-feelings-of-white-people>

Pounder, C. C. H., Adelman, L., Herbes-Sommers, C., Strain, T. H., & Smith, L. (2003). *Race the power of an illusion*. California Newsreel; Independent Television Service.

Powers, J. & Whitlock, J. (2008). Places to be and to belong: Youth perceptions of life in community. *The Prevention Researcher*, 15(2), 12-15.

Raines, J.C. (2004). Evidence-based practice in social work: A process perspective. *Children and Schools*, 26(2), 71-83.

Rapp, C. & Goscha, R. (2006). *The strengths model: Case management with people with psychiatric disabilities*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Reamer, F.G. (2003). Boundary issues in social work: Managing dual relationships. *Social Work*, 48(1), 121-133. Reamer, F.G. (2005). Documentation in social work: Evolving ethical and risk-management standards. *Social Work*, 50(4), 325-334. Rothman, J. (2008). *Cultural competence in process and practice*. Boston, MA: Pearson Education.

Saleeby, D. (2004). The power of place: Another look at the environment. *Families in Society*. 85(1), 7-16.

Schnitzer, P.K. (1996). "They don't come in!": Stories told, lessons taught about poor families in therapy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 66(4), 572-582.

Shulman, L. (1999). *The skills of helping individuals, families, and groups* (4th ed.) (pp.200-232). Itasca, IL: Peacock Publishers.

Steen, J. (2006). The roots of human rights: Advocacy and a call to action. *Social Work*, 51(2), 101- 105.

Stevenson, B. (2014). *Just mercy: a story of justice and redemption*. (1st ed.). Spiegel & Grau.

Stricker, G. (2003). The Many Faces of Self-Disclosure. *JCLP/In Session*, 59(5), 623-630. 18

Swenson, C.R. (1998). Clinical social work's contribution to a social justice perspective. *Social Work*, 43(6), 527-537.

Tervalon, M. & Murray-Garcia, J. (1998). Cultural humility versus cultural competence: A critical distinction in defining physician training outcomes in multicultural education. *Journal of Health for the Poor and Underserved*. 9(2), 117-125.

The New Yorker (2018, May. 24). *Rewriting Racist Headlines [Video]*. The New Yorker. <https://www.newyorker.com/video/watch/rewriting-racist-headlines>

Trout, S. (2007). Adolescence from a strengths perspective: A guide for parents and other caring adults. In Henderson, N., Benard, B & Sharp-Light, N. (Eds.), *Resiliency in action: Practical ideas for overcoming risks and building strengths in youth, families, and communities*. San Diego, CA: Resiliency in Action, Inc.

Waites, C. (2009). Building on strengths: Intergenerational practice with African American families. *Social Work*, 54(3), 278-287.

Walsh, F. (2003). Family resilience: Strengths forged through adversity. In Walsh, F. (Ed.) *Normal family processes* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.) (pp. 399-423). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Yarbrough, L. T., & Urgo, R. (2019, September 24). *Professionalism or socialized white supremacy*. NASPA. <https://www.naspa.org/blog/professionalism-or-socialized-white-supremacy>.

Ziyad, H. (2021). *Black Boy Out of Time: A Memoir*. (First edition.). Amazon Publishing.