

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

Course Number:	SW 381T	Instructor:	Caitlin Sulley, LMSW Pronouns: she/her/hers
Unique Number:	61465	Email:	csulley@austin.utexas.edu
Semester:	Fall 2022	Office:	JHH 1.116
Meeting Time/Place:	Wed	Office Phone:	512-232-4812
	2:30pm – 5:30pm	Office Hours:	Wed 1:00PM-2:00PM
	SSW 2.116		Other time by appointment

Dynamics of Organizations and Communities

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course examines the reciprocal relationship between human behavior and the social environment at the mezzo and macro levels to prepare students to work in programs, organizations, and communities. Special emphasis is given to the development of assessment skills and intervention methods that are relevant to empowerment, capacity-building and social change within organizations and communities. Drawing on a variety of frameworks, including, but not limited to, feminist theory, ecological systems theory, critical race theory, the strengths-based perspective, social construction theory, and other theories with an anti-oppressive and antiracist focus, students will identify and critique power structures within policies, procedures and organizational culture that create inequitable access to social services and disparate opportunities for communities marginalized by the dominant culture. Students will apply this knowledge and an antiracism lens to understand how power and oppression impact populations and interventions at multiple levels. Understanding the intersectionality of racialization, ethnic origin, class, gender identity and expression, culture, sexual orientation, religion, age, physical and mental abilities, caste, immigration/refugee status, national origin of communities and tribal sovereign status is an integral part of this course. Students will also practice cultural humility by centering community voices throughout assessment, planning, intervention, and evaluation. The complex tensions between dismantling systems of oppression while working within those systems as agents of change will also be discussed.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Explore communities and organizations as a context for social work practice to meet individual needs, build community capacity, and promote social, racial, economic, and environmental justice.
2. Demonstrate knowledge, skills, critical examination, and self-reflection in engaging with value dilemmas and ethical issues confronting social work professionals and their implications for social work practice with organizations and communities.
3. Assess the influence of values and norms, priorities, and competing interests on the social structure of communities and the delivery of human services.
4. Analyze the reciprocal impacts of organizational and community dynamics on client populations and relate those impacts to the participation of clients in organizational and community governance, human service provision, and policy formulation.

5. Gain understanding of the existence of community agency and learning to center on the community's knowledge and determination of its own capacity-building and power.
6. Evaluate strategies for initiating change in organizations and communities, such as planning models, coalition building, community development, direct action, and advocacy at the mezzo and macro levels.
7. Demonstrate knowledge of how bias, power, and privilege as well as personal values and personal experiences may influence assessment, planning, intervention, and evaluation at multiple levels.
8. Compare various evidence-based interventions and emerging approaches for working with organizations and communities.
9. Make use of knowledge and skills in strengths-based approaches to build capacity with client systems, exploring and building on existing individual and community assets.
10. Increase knowledge of internal workings of public and private organizations across the lifecycle.
11. Examine systemic and institutional racism in organizations and institutions in communities, including racial and ethnic representation in the different branches of agencies, analyzing structural elements such as boards, bylaws, and hiring practices of organizations that reinforce white supremacy culture.

EPAS Competencies

The Steve Hicks School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, the UT SHSSW engages in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS).

Using a common evaluation instrument, this course measures the implementation of knowledge, skills, values, and/or cognitive and affective processes to assess the following competencies:

Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 6.2: Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to effectively engage diverse clients and constituencies

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 7.1: Collect and organize data, and apply critical thinking to interpret information from clients and constituencies

Outcome 7.3: Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives based on the critical assessment of strengths, needs, and challenges within clients and constituencies

Outcome 7.4: Select appropriate intervention strategies based on the assessment, research knowledge, and values and preferences of clients and constituencies

Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 8.1: Critically choose and implement interventions to achieve practice goals and enhance capacities of clients and constituencies

Outcome 8.3: Use inter-professional collaboration as appropriate to achieve beneficial practice outcomes

Outcome 8.4: Negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of diverse clients and constituencies

Outcome 8.5: Facilitate effective transitions and endings that advance mutually agreed-on goals

Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 9.1: Select and use appropriate methods for evaluation of outcomes

Outcome 9.3: Critically analyze, monitor, and evaluate intervention and program processes and outcomes

Outcome 9.4: Apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels

III. TEACHING METHODS

This course is designed to include a variety of teaching and learning methods to achieve the course objectives. Course content is covered through reading, listening, thinking, and discussing social work practice in organizations and communities. Each class session will focus on a set of materials found in a module in Canvas. Students are expected to complete readings and any other assigned content prior to class each week. Class sessions will be devoted to focused discussion that flow from assigned readings and supplemental materials prepared for the class. Students are expected to ask questions, share experiences, and actively participate in class discussions. In addition, the class will include small group experiential learning, videos to generate discussion, and guest speakers who work in agency and community-based settings. These methods intentionally draw on diverse pedagogical approaches to be inclusive of a variety of learning styles.

IV. REQUIRED TEXT AND MATERIALS

The primary text for this course will be the [Community Tool Box](https://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents) (<https://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents>) developed by the Work Group for Community Health and Development at the University of Kansas. This work group is part of the World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Community Health and Development. The Community Tool Box is available for free online.

While the focus of much of the content is on community health, the tools and skills covered in the book are easily translatable to other kinds of community issues. All assigned readings and other course materials are available in the class Canvas page, which is organized into modules for each class session. Additional readings and materials may be assigned as we progress through the semester. These readings will also be available on-line in the course modules. If additional readings are assigned, the class will be notified the week before so that students will have adequate time to read it before class.

The assigned readings for this course represent the minimum required reading for this course. There is a vast body of literature available on organizations and communities. An extensive bibliography is provided at the end of the syllabus. You might peruse the bibliography to see if there are titles you find interesting and would like to investigate.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Reflection Papers (25% of final course grade) – Writing prompts will be used for students to reflect or respond to topical issues or case scenarios. Each reflection should be written in journal format of no more than 2-pages, double spaced, including references. Each reflection will score a

maximum of five (5) points and will be scheduled through the semester. Specific prompts will be published on Canvas one week before the due date for each reflection.

Extra Credit Reflection Paper (5 additional points) – Students will have the option to complete one additional reflection paper over the course of the semester. Students may choose to respond to one of several prompts provided by the instructor and posted on Canvas. Students who choose to complete the extra credit reflection paper **must inform the instructor one week in advance** prior to submitting the paper.

Common Assignment Project (55% of final course grade) –

Assignment Overview:

Using a community case, groups will simulate engaging with and assessing a community, identifying strengths and concerns, and proposing an intervention with an evaluation. The end products are 1. a presentation to the class, 2. a group portfolio, and 3. an individually written assignment. A detailed assignment and grading rubric will be posted on CANVAS.

Assignment Introduction:

Professional social workers use task groups to accomplish much of their work. Task groups are working groups designed to achieve specific goals or objectives. Examples of task groups include staff meetings to clarify agency policies, team meetings to coordinate treatment plans, interagency councils to work out service agreements, Board of Directors to provide community oversight, committees to plan fund-raising events, conference planning committees for professional associations, and community meetings to help residents voice their concerns and solve community problems.

Task groups are an essential tool for community practice and working effectively with task groups is a critical professional skill. Like other professional skills, working with task groups involves a deliberate process focused on achieving specific goals. It requires preparation before the meeting and follow-up after. It means developing professional relationships with others that are grounded in trust and respect, even when we disagree.

Students will work in task groups of 4-5 members each to complete this assignment. Students will be assigned to groups by the course instructor at the second class on August 31, 2022.

Attendance and Participation (20% of final course grade) – Students will engage in experiential learning through class engagement and participation. To achieve these learning objectives, it is important that students attend class on-time, are engaged, and present free of distraction (email, texting, etc.). Students are expected to attend class, to complete assigned reading prior to class, and be prepared to demonstrate familiarity with major concepts and ideas from the readings during class discussions and learning activities. For some students, this will mean learning to engage with discussion points, comments, and questions. For others, this may mean being sensitive to classroom dynamics and allowing others to contribute.

Students are allowed one unexcused absence and will lose five (5) points for each subsequent absence. Arriving to class after start time will result in a deduction of two (2) points per incident. Extenuating circumstances involving documented medical emergencies may be considered on a case-to-case basis. Students are responsible for any material (class notes, handouts, etc.) missed due to absence.

Assignments, Due Dates, Grading

Assignment		% Of Course Grade	Due Date
Biweekly Reflection Papers		25%	
Reflection Paper 1	5%		August 31
Reflection Paper 2	5%		September 14
Reflection Paper 3	5%		September 28
Reflection Paper 4	5%		October 12
Reflection Paper 5	5%		November 2
Common Assignment Project		55%	
Group Portfolio	25%		November 30
<i>CAP Meeting Agenda and Minutes</i>			September 7
<i>CAP Community Description Draft</i>			September 21
<i>CAP Needs Assessment Draft</i>			October 5
<i>CAP Intervention Proposal Draft</i>			October 26
Class Presentation	15%		November 30
Individually Written Assignment	15%		November 30
Attendance and Participation		20%	
Total		100%	

VI. GRADES

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

VII. CLASS POLICIES

Class Attendance - Punctuality and timeliness are important for social work practitioners. Class attendance is expected. Attendance is defined as students' attentive physical presence in class for the entire class. Establishing a pattern of late arrivals and early departures will negatively affect your grade. A pattern is considered three or more late arrivals and early departures during the semester. Late arrivals and early departures are defined as student arriving 10 minutes after class starting or prior to class ending. Missing more than two classes may result in loss of a letter grade. The instructor should be notified in advance of any planned absence and as soon as possible in the case of an unforeseen, serious emergency.

Class attendance will be taken at the *beginning* of the class on a *random basis* throughout the semester. It is the students' responsibility to ensure that they have signed the attendance Signing

an attendance sheet for a missing classmate is a form of academic dishonesty and will be referred to the Dean of Students for disciplinary action.

Students are responsible for checking CANVAS and reaching out to peers for any information and class notes missed.

Readings and Preparatory Assignments - Students are expected to be active and participate in the learning process, and in an interactive framework between collegiate students and instructor. Students are expected to complete readings and preparatory assignments prior to class. Failure to demonstrate through discussions in class, online, and through assignments that one has comprehended the readings will be considered when assigning the final grade. Students are responsible for the material in the assigned readings whether or not the material is covered in class. Professor reserves the right to conduct quizzes should there be a pattern of students not demonstrating that they have completed the readings and are not prepared to engage in thoughtful discussion.

Electronic Devices – Laptops and handheld computers may be used during class in silent mode to support notetaking and learning. Students are expected to refrain from texting, browsing other sites, or answering emails while in class; and are expected to contribute to a distraction-free environment focused on classroom learning. The final grade is negatively impacted by student's failure to comply with this policy.

Assignment Deadlines and Late Submissions - Assignments are due no later than 2:30 PM (at the start of class) on the assigned due date. Assignments submitted past the deadline will result in a deduction of five (5) points per day. Assignments that are three (3) days past due will require a meeting with the instructor before being accepted.

Writing Style - Certain assignments will require students to follow APA 7th Edition guidelines regarding professional writing (cover page, running head, in-paper references, bibliography). Students are encouraged to access UT resources through the University Writing Center to review papers: <http://uwc.utexas.edu/>.

Students can use Purdue University online resources to help guide APA formatting: https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_style_introduction.html

CANVAS - All assignments, relevant instructions, grades, and announcements will be posted through shared class CANVAS page. Students will be expected to maintain their UT provided emails to continue to receive timely communications regarding class or assignments.

Online Etiquette and Professionalism – If we have portions of classes online, all University Policies including but not limited to those regarding conduct, civility, and professional communication remain in effect with regards to remote/online instruction courses. As a professional courtesy to fellow peers, students will be expected to focus in class and refrain from texting, browsing other sites, or answering emails while in class. All information shared in class is expected to be held in confidence.

Course Modification - To ensure achievement for course objectives, the professor reserves the right to make modifications to any part of this syllabus related to schedule and assignment due

dates. Should any modifications be made, students will be notified in class and modifications will be posted to Canvas. Students should check their email frequently. Note that some of the links to documents and videos on the Internet may change. Documents, new links, or alternate options will be provided.

VIII. UNIVERSITY POLICIES

COVID-19 RELATED INFORMATION. The University's policies and practices related to the pandemic may be accessed at: <https://protect.utexas.edu/>

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT. Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). A student should present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed and followed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. This atmosphere includes working intentionally to recognize and dismantle racism, sexism, heterosexism, and ableism in the classroom. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment, we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) and other forms of electronic communication (e.g., blogs) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. Students who violate University rules on academic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and / or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on academic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, please visit the Student Conduct and Academic Integrity website at: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/conduct>.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the

policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

UNIVERSITY ELECTRONIC MAIL STUDENT NOTIFICATION. Electronic mail (email), like postal mail, is a mechanism for official University communication to students. The University will exercise the right to send email communications to all students, and the University will expect that email communications will be received and read in a timely manner. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <https://it.utexas.edu/policies/university-electronic-mail-student-notification-policy>.

RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS. A student who misses classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day should inform the instructor as far in advance of the absence as possible so that arrangements can be made to complete an assignment within a reasonable period after the absence. A reasonable accommodation does not include substantial modification to academic standards, or adjustments of requirements essential to any program of instruction. Students and instructors who have questions or concerns about academic accommodations for religious observance or religious beliefs may contact the [Office for Inclusion and Equity](#). The University does not maintain a list of religious holy days.

TITLE IX REPORTING. In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct on the basis of sex <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>. Faculty, field instructors, staff, and/or teaching assistants in their supervisory roles are mandated reporters of incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual violence, stalking, dating violence, or any other forms of sexual misconduct. Students who report such incidents will be informed of University resources. Incidents will be reported to the University's Title IX Coordinator. Further information, including student resources related to Title IX, may also be found at <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on campus carry may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS and COVID-19 ADVICE LINE (BCCAL). If students have concerns about their behavioral health, or if they are concerned about the behavioral health of someone else, students may use the Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <https://safety.utexas.edu/behavior-concerns-advice-line>. The Behavior Concerns and COVID-19 Advice Line has been expanded to support The University of Texas at Austin community during the COVID-19 pandemic. By calling 512-232-5050 - Option 2 for COVID-19, students, faculty, and staff can be assisted in English and Spanish with COVID-19 support.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor’s instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

GRADING SCALE

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

IX. COURSE SCHEDULE

Acronyms

- CTB – Community Tool Box
- CAP – Common Assignment Project

Date/2022	Topic	Assignment Due	Readings
Class 1: Aug 24	Course Introduction, Requirements, & Community-Building	None	No assigned reading See course module in Canvas
Class 2: Aug 31	Exploring and Understanding Organizations and Communities <i>Assign task groups for Common Assignment Project</i>	<i>Reflection #1</i>	CTB Ch. 1, 2, & 16

Class 3: Sept 7	Understanding and Describing Organizations and Communities	<i>CAP Meeting Agenda and Minutes</i>	CTB Ch. 3, Sections 1, 2, 4, 5, 16, 19, & 21 Okun, Tamaya. 2021, “White Supremacy Culture – Still Here”
Class 4: Sept 14	Project Groups Meet Independently No class	<i>Reflection #2</i>	
Class 5: Sept 21	Assessing Community Needs	<i>CAP Community Description Draft</i>	CTB Chapter 3, Sections 3, 6, 7, 10-15, & 22 W.K. Kellogg Foundation Logic Model Development Guide, Chapter 1
Class 6: Sept 28	Assessing Community Resources		CTB Ch. 3, Sections 8, 18, 20, 23, & 24
Class 7: Oct 5	Strategies for Community Change & Promoting Interest and Participation in Initiatives	<i>CAP Needs Assessment Draft</i>	CTB: Ch. 5, 6, & 7
Class 8: Oct 12	Developing a Strategic Plan and Organizational Structure	<i>Reflection #3</i>	CTB: Ch. 8 & 9
Class 9: Oct 19	Analyzing Community Problems & Designing Community Interventions		CTB Ch. 17, 18, & 19
Class 10: Oct 26	Removing Barriers, Creating Opportunities, & Improving Services	<i>CAP Intervention Proposal Draft</i>	CTB Ch. 23 & 24, Ch. 25, Sections 1 and 10, Ch. 26, Sections 1, 2, 3, & 4
Class 11: Nov 2	Evaluating Community Programs and Initiatives	<i>Reflection #4</i>	CTB Ch. 36, 37, & 38
Class 12: Nov 9	Becoming a Community Leader		Social Workers’ Desk Reference, 2014. Chapter 9, “Social Work Practice and Leadership”

			CTB Ch. 13 & 14
Class 13: Nov 16	Organizing for Effective Advocacy	<i>Reflection #5</i>	CTB Ch. 30, 33, & 35
Nov 24	No class		
Class 14: Nov 30	CAP Presentations Course Reflection & Collective Accomplishment Celebration	<i>Presentation, Group Portfolio, Individually Written Assignment</i>	

X. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alinsky, S. D. (1972). *Rules for Radicals: A Pragmatic Primer for Realistic Radicals*. New York: Vintage Books.

Alinsky, S. D. (1974). *Reveille for Radicals*. New York: Vintage Books.

Austin, J. E. (2000). *The Collaboration Challenge: How Nonprofits and Business Succeed Through Strategic Alliances*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Bangs, D. H. (2006). *Nonprofits Made Easy*. Madison, Wisconsin: Entrepreneur Press.

Baogang, H. & Murphy, H. (2007). Global social justice at the WTO? The role of NGOs in constructing global social contracts. *International Affairs*, 707-727

Barakso, M. & Schaffner, B. F. (2007). Exit, Voice, and Interest Group Governance. *American Politics Research*, 36, 1-25.

Berry, J. M. (2006). *A Voice for Nonprofits*. Washington, DC: Bookings Institute.

Block, P. (2009). *Community: The Structure of Belonging*. San Francisco: Berrett_Koehler.

Boggs, G. L. (2011). *The Next American Revolution: Sustainable Activism for the Twenty-First Century*. LA: University of California Press.

Bolman, L. G. & Deal, T. E. (2003). *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership*, Third Edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Bornstein, D. (2004). *How to Change the World: Social Entrepreneurs and the Power of New Ideas*. NY: Oxford University Press.

- Brown, M. J. (2006). *Building Powerful Community Organizations: A Personal Guide to Creating Groups that Can Solve Problems and Change the World*. Arlington, MA: Long Haul Press.
- Brueggemann, W. G. (2006). *The Practice of Macro Social Work*, Third Edition. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning, Inc.
- Chambers, E. T. (2008). *Roots for Radicals: Organizing for Power, Action, and Justice*. New York: Continuum.
- Chaskin, R. J., Goerge, R. M., Skyles, A., & Gultinan, S. (2006). "Measuring Social Capital: Exploration in Community-Research Partnership." *Journal of Community Psychology*, 35 (4), 489-514.
- Chrislip, D. D. (2002). *The Collaborative Leadership Fieldbook: A Guide for Citizen and Civic Leaders*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Chrislip, D. D. & Larson, C. E. (1994). *Collaborative Leadership: How Citizens and Civic Leaders Can Make a Difference*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Corcoran, K., & Roberts, A. (2015). *Social workers' desk reference / Kevin Corcoran, Editor-in-Chief; Albert R. Roberts, Founding Editor-in-Chief. (Third edition.)*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.**
- Dees, J. G., Emerson, J. & Economy, P. (2002). *Strategic Tools for Social Entrepreneurs: Enhancing the Performance of Your Enterprising Non-Profit*. NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dolnicar, S. & Randle, M. (2007). What Motivates Which Volunteers? Psychographic Heterogeneity Among Volunteers in Australia. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 18(2), 135-155.
- Ehrenreich, B. (2001). *Nickel and Dimed: On Not Getting by In America*. NY: Henry Holt and Company.
- Ellis, R. A., Mallory, K. C., Gould, M. Y. & Shatila, S. L. (2006). *The Macro Practitioner's Workbook: A Step-by-Step Guide to Effectiveness with Organizations and Communities*. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Books Cole.
- Engelbrecht, L. (2008). Economic literacy and the war on poverty: a Social Work challenge? *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 17, 166–173.
- Farmer, P. (2003). *Pathologies of Power: Health, Human Rights, and the New War on the Poor*. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Farruggia, G. (2007). How is the Nonprofit Sector Changing? *Futures Research Quarterly*, 23, 5-16.
- Fauri, D. P., Wernet, S. P. & Netting, F. E. (2000). *Cases in Macro Social Work Practice*.

- Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Fellin, P. (2001). *The Community and the Social Worker*, Third Edition. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc.
- Fellin, P. (2001b). "Understanding American communities." In J. Rothman, J. L. Erlich, and J. E. Tropman (Eds.), *Strategies of community intervention*, 6th edition. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc.
- Figueira-McDonough, J. (2001). *Community Analysis and Praxis: Toward a Grounded Civil Society*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner-Routledge.
- Fisher, R. & Karger, H. J. (1997). *Social Work and Community in a Private World: Getting Out in Public*. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Fleury, M. & Mercier, C. (2002). Integrated local networks as a model for organizing mental health services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health*, 30(1), 55-73.
- Fuller, T, Guy, D. & Pletsch, C. (2002). *Asset Mapping: A Handbook*. Canadian National Rural Conference.
- Gamble, D. N. & Weil, M. (2010). *Community Practice Skills: Local to Global Perspectives*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Gibelman, M. & Furman, R. (2008). *Navigating Human Service Organizations*, 2nd Edition. Chicago: Luceum Books.
- Gonzales, V. (2007). Globalization, Welfare Reform, and the Social Economy: Developing an Alternative Approach to Analyzing Social Welfare Systems in the Post-Industrial Era. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 34(2), 187-211.
- Green, G. P. & Haines, A. (2002). *Asset Building and Community Development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Green, M. (2006). *When People Care Enough to Act*. Toronto: Inclusion Press.
- Gustafson, D.H., Sainfort, F., Eichler, M., Adams, L., Bisognano, M., & Steudel, H. (2003). Developing and testing a model to predict outcomes of organizational change. *Health Services Research*, 38(2), 751-776.
- Handy, F. (2008). The Interchangeability of Paid Staff and Volunteers in Nonprofit Organizations. *Nonprofit & Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 37, 76-92.
- Hardina, D. (2002). *Analytical Skills for Community Organization Practice*. NY: Columbia University Press.
- Hasselbein, F., Goldsmith, M. & Somerville, I. (1999). *Leading Beyond the Walls: How High-Performing Organizations Collaborate for Shared Success*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

- Hoefler, R. A., Hoefler, R. M., & Tobias, R. A. (1994). "Geographic Information Systems and Human Services." *Journal of Community Practice*, 1 (3), 113-128.
- Hick, S. F. & McNutt, J. G (Eds.). (2002) *Advocacy, Activism, and the Internet: Community Organization and Social Policy*. Chicago: Lyceum Books, Inc.
- Homan, M. S. (2008). *Promoting Community Change: Making It Happen in the Real World*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.
- Hudson, M. (2005). *Managing at the Leading Edge: New Challenges in Managing Nonprofit Organizations*. *Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(19), 42.
- Jamison, I. (2003). *Turnover and Retention among Volunteers in Human Service Agencies*. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23, 114-132.
- Johnson Butterfield, A. K. & Korazim-Korosy, Yossi (Eds.) (2007). *Interdisciplinary Community Development: International Perspectives*. NY: Routledge.
- Johnson, J. L. & Grant, G., Jr. (2005). *Community Practice*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- King, R. M. (2000). *From Making a Profit to Making a Difference*. River Forest, IL: Planning/Communication.
- Kirst-Ashman, K. K. (2008). *Human behavior, communities, organizations and groups in the macro social environment: An empowerment approach*, 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.
- Kirwin Institute (2013). *The Geography of Opportunity in Austin and How it is Changing*. The Ohio State University: Kirwin Institute.
- Kollock, P. & Smith, M. (Eds.) (1999) *Communities in cyberspace*. London: Routledge Press.
- Kordesh, R. S. (2006). *Restoring Power to Parents and Places: The Case for Family-Based Community Development*. Lincoln, NE: iUniverse.
- Kush, C. (2004). *The One Hour Activist: The 15 Most Powerful Actions You Can Take to Fight for the Issues and Candidates You Care About*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Legace, M. (2005). *Nonprofit Networking: The New Way to Grow*. Harvard Business School: Working Knowledge.
- Lewandowski, C. A. (2003). *Organizational Factors Contributing to Worker Frustration: The Precursor to Burnout*. *Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare*, 30(4), 175-185.
- Lewis, J. A., Packard, T. R. & Lewis, M. D. (2007). *Management of Human Service Programs*, 4th Edition. Belmont, CA: Thomson/Books Cole.
- Lipman, H. (2006). *Techniques Activists Use to Influence Corporations*. *Chronicle of*

- Philanthropy, 18(14), 14.
- Loeb, P. R. (2004). *The Impossible Will Take A while: A Citizen's Guide to Hope in a Time of Fear*. New York: Basic Books.
- Loeb, P. R. (1999). *Soul of a Citizen: Living with Conviction in a Cynical Time*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Mandell, B. R. & Schram, B. (2009). *Introduction to Human Services: Policy and Practice*, 7th Edition. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Minieri, J & Getsos, P. (2007). *Tools for Radical Democracy*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mordaunt, J. (2005). The Challenges of Innovation. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 16, 249-251.
- Myers, J. R. (2007). *Organic Community: Creating a Place Where People Naturally Connect*. Grand Rapids: MI: Baker Books.
- Nagy, R. (2008). Transitional Justice as Global Project: critical reflections. *Third World Quarterly*, 29, 275-289.
- Netting, F. E., O'Connor, M. K. & Fauri, D. P. (2008). *Comparative Approaches to Program Planning*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Netting, F. E., Kettner, P. M. & McMurtry, S. L. (2008). *Social Work Macro Practice*, 4th Edition. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- O'Connor, M. K. & Netting, F. E. (2009). *Organization Practice: A Guide to Understanding Human Service Organizations*, Second Edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Okun, T. (2021). White Supremacy Culture – Still Here. Retrieved August 8, 2022, from chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.dismantlingracism.org/uploads/4/3/5/7/43579015/white_supremacy_culture_-_still_here.pdf**
- Pakroo, P. H. (2007). *Starting and Building a Nonprofit: A Practical Guide*, 2nd Edition. Berkeley, CA: Nolo Press.
- Perlmutter, F. D., Bailey, D. & Netting, F. E. (2001). *Managing Human Resources in the Human Services: Supervisory Challenges*. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Perry, N. O. (2000). Social Enterprise and Nonprofits: Strategic Alliances. *Nonprofit Management*, 3, 1-4.
- Provan, K. G., Isett, K. R., & Milward, H. B. (2004). Cooperation and compromise: A network response to conflicting institutional pressures in community mental health. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 33(3), 489-514.

- Puntenney, D. L. (2000). *A Guide to Building Sustainable Organizations from the Inside Out*. Chicago, IL: ACTA Publications.
- Rettig, H. (2006). *The Lifelong Activist: How to Change the World without Losing Your Way*. New York: Lantern Books.
- Robinson, A. (2002). *Selling Social Change (Without Selling Out): Earned Income Strategies for Nonprofits*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Rothman, J. (1995). Approaches to community intervention. In J. Rothman, J. L. Erlich, and J. E. Tropman (Eds.). *Strategies of Community Practice, Fifth Edition*. Itasca, IL: F. E. Peacock Publishers, Inc., 26-63.
- Rubin, H. J. (2000). *Renewing Hope Within Neighborhoods of Despair: The Community-Based Development Model*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.
- Rubin, H. J. and Rubin, I. S. (2008). *Community organization and development, 4th edition*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Sachs, J. (2005). *The End of Poverty: Economic Possibilities for Our Times*. NY: The Penguin Press.
- Sands, M. A. (2005). *How to Manage an Effective Nonprofit Organization*. Franklin Lakes, NJ: Career Press.
- Scales, L., & Streeter, C.L. (Eds.), (2003). *Rural Social Work: Building and Sustaining Community Assets*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Sen, R. (2003). *Stir it up: Lessons in Community Organizing and Advocacy*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Schmid, H. (2006). Leadership Styles and Leadership Change in Human and Community Service Organizations. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 17(2), 179-194.
- Stoecker, R. (2007). The Research Practices and Needs of Non-Profit Organizations in an Urban Center. *Journal of Sociology & Social Welfare*, 34(4).
- Streeter, C. L. (2013). Community: Overview. In *Encyclopedia of Social Work, 20th Edition, Volume 1*. Washington DC. NASW Press.
- Szakos, K. L. & Szakos, J. (2007). *We Make Chang*. Nashville: Vanderbilt University Press.
- Tang, F. (2007). Socioeconomic Disparities in Voluntary Organization Involvement Among Older Adults, *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 37, 1-20.
- University of Kansas. (2022). Community Tool Box. Retrieved August 8, 2022, from <https://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents>.**

- W.K. Kellogg Foundation. (2004). W.K. Kellogg Foundation evaluation handbook.**
<https://www.wkkf.org/resource-directory/resources/2004/01/logic-model-developmentguide>
- Warren, M. R. (2001). *Dry Bones Rattling: Community Building to Revitalize American Democracy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Warren, R. B. & Warren, D. I. (1977). *The neighborhood organizer's handbook*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Warren, R. L. (1978). *The community in America*, 3rd Edition. NY: University Press of America.
- Wells, R. (2006). Managing child welfare agencies: What do we know about what works? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28(10), 1181-1194.
- Weil, M. (Ed.). (2005). *The Handbook of Community Practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Wuenschel, P. (2006). The Diminishing Role of Social Work Administrators in Social Service Agencies: Issues for Consideration. *Administration in Social Work*, 30(4), 5-18.
- Yoo, J., Brooks, D., & Patti, R. (2007). Organizational Constructs as Predicators of Effectiveness in Child Welfare Interventions. *Child Welfare*, 86(1), 53-79.
- Young, D. (2000). Alternative models of government-nonprofit sector relations: theoretical and international perspectives. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 29, 142-172.