

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

Course Number: SW N381R **Professor:** Jolynne Batchelor, Ph.D., LCSW-S
Unique Number: 89515 **Email:** jolynne.batchelor@austin.utexas.edu
Semester: Summer 2021 **Office:** Zoom
Meeting Time/Place: Mondays only* - **Cell Phone:** 512-721-8136
1:30pm-3:45pm **Office Hours:** Thursdays 12pm to 1pm
Zoom **Other times by appointment**

**Other course work is asynchronous (NO Wednesday synchronous; you may choose to do group work during the Wednesday class time)*

THEORIES AND CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR AND THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This graduate-level course will focus on empirically based theories and conceptual approaches that undergird social work practice and research with individuals and families in social systems. Ecological-systems theory and a lifespan developmental framework will serve as conceptual guideposts for understanding social work's person-in-environment, contextual approach to the reciprocal relationship between human behavior and the social environment. Other traditional and alternative theories are also examined, including Psychodynamic, Cognitive Behavioral, Social Learning, Empowerment, Transpersonal, and Feminist. A critical perspective is emphasized to understand (1) theoretical knowledge construction, engagement, assessment, intervention, evaluation, and integration, and (2) theoretical relevance to explain the influence and contribution of factors related to race, ethnicity, culture, gender, age, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, and physical and mental ability to human behavior and development. Methodological, empirical, and ethical considerations of contemporary issues (i.e., poverty, privilege, oppression, risk, and resiliency) and multi-dimensional aspects of the human experience and change across the lifespan (i.e., biological, psychological, social, cultural, and spiritual) will be critically examined.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, the student will be able to:

1. Demonstrate knowledge about and critically analyze relevant theories of human behavior and development comparing definitional components, philosophical underpinnings, value assumptions, strengths, and limitations.
2. Analyze the reciprocal relationships of human behavior and social systems, and how this interaction influences health and well-being as well as risk and vulnerability.
3. Describe the social construction of theoretical concepts, empirical evidence, gaps in knowledge, and relevant controversies regarding theories of human behavior and development.
4. Analyze the impact of such factors as race, ethnicity, culture, gender, age, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, and physical and mental ability on risk and resilience, identity development, and human interactions within social systems.

5. Understand the interaction between the biological, psychological, social, cultural, and spiritual dimensions of the human experience and lifespan development.
6. Conduct a scientific review of empirical evidence and theory to address human behavior and development topics.
7. Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person in environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with clients and constituencies, assess data from clients and constituencies, select and implement interventions with clients and constituencies, and evaluate practice with individuals, families, and groups.

EPAS Competencies

The Steve Hicks School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, the UT SHSSW engages in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS).

Using a common evaluation instrument, this course measures the implementation of knowledge, skills, values, and/or cognitive and affective processes to assess the following competencies:

EPAS Competencies

The Steve Hicks School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, the UT SHSSW engages in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS).

Using a common evaluation instrument, this course measures the implementation of knowledge, skills, values, and/or cognitive and affective processes to assess the following competencies:

Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 6.1: Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with clients and constituencies.

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 7.2: Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the analysis of assessment data from clients and constituencies.

Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 8.2: Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in interventions with clients and constituencies.

Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Outcome 9:2: Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in evaluating practice with individuals, families, and groups.

III. TEACHING METHODS

This class is conducted in an active learning approach using a variety of teaching methods such as lectures, videos, guest speakers, and group discussions. Students are expected to complete assigned readings of chapters, cases, and other assigned materials prior to each class period.

IV. REQUIRED TEXTS AND MATERIALS

Hutchison, E. D. (2018). *Dimensions of human behavior: Person and environment* (6th ed.). Sage Publication, Inc., CA.

Scales, T. L., & Wolfer, T. A. (2006). *Decision cases for generalist social work practice: Thinking like a social worker*. Thompson: Brooks/Cole, CA.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH PAPER. There will be a literature review research paper due as noted in the course schedule on a social work topic of interest to the student. Paper must adhere to APA version 7. Papers should be typed Times New Roman; 12 point font; within 7-8 double-spaced full pages of text (excluding title and reference pages); there should be no more than one blank line between any lines including paragraphs and heading/subheadings; normal margins; and does not include the title or reference page. Include a title page and abstract. No first/second person. I will stop reading at the end of the 8th page and not include anything beyond that in the grade. If you attempt to shorten the paper by not following the format above, I will adjust everything to the format above and use the length based on the corrected format. Concise writing is important. The paper should include the following elements:

1. Title Page/Abstract – Student name and title of paper included on first sheet of paper. Abstract included on second page.
2. Introduction to the overall issue/problem area (*3 points – about 1 page*)
Provides a clear statement of the issue you are focusing upon, including the importance or significance of the issue to social work.
 - a). Establish the focus of the topic – what is the social or clinical problem you are addressing? What is the research question you want to answer in the paper?(1 point)
 - b). Must include demographic and statistical information: how many people have the problem or are impacted by the topic area (epidemiology) and how large is this problem (does it have societal cost as well as individual cost? (1 point)
 - c). Explain the rationale for why this is an important issue to study; what is its significance to social work? (1 point)

3. Review of the literature (*6 points – about 3-4 pages*)

Although a literature review is a description of relevant research on your topic, it is not simply a summarization of a few studies; the literature must be synthesized as it relates to issues or subtopics in your area. Synthesizing means critical thinking and critiques of the empirical research articles are expected in the review. Organize the review by subheadings that guide the reader through a logical flow of ideas. When

citing literature on your topic, group your references together when they point to a common issue you are discussing. Point out conflicts in the literature, as indicated by critical thinking skills. Use the results of studies you are citing to show empirical evidence related to the topic you are discussing. The literature review presents others' ideas; therefore, it is written in third-person. Do not use first or second person in any part of the literature review.

Be sure your literature review can address a research question that you want to find an answer to; for example, what are some interventions used to avoid burnout? Or what kinds of immediate supports do victims of human trafficking need when they initially settle into the United States?

- a). Use at least 10 evidence-based, peer-reviewed journal articles for your literature review (only 1 website may be used in reference list for demographic information).
- b). Use current literature (try to use literature published no earlier than 2000) from peer-reviewed sources.
- c). Only include literature that is relevant to your topic.
- d). Make sure topic flows logically and moves from one point to another with appropriate transitions between paragraphs.
- e) Be sure to include evidence-based research studies and summarize the sample, methodology, and findings in the empirical studies.

4. Conclusions (3 points- about 2 pages)

Sum up the literature by answering:

- 1) What does this literature review mean for the field of social work? (1 point)
- 2) What are possible implications for research, policy, and practice that you recommend for future directions (2 points)

5. Writing Style and References (3 points)

- a). Style formatting of citations throughout the paper and reference list must follow *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* - APA citations must be used appropriately and often. Remember, if you are quoting material exactly, you must put content inside quotations and add page numbers of where that quote can be found.
 - b). Grammar, spelling errors, incoherence in writing, or using the first/second person instead of the third person voice will be graded. *Use third person voice only.*
 - c). Use at least 6 evidence-based, peer reviewed journal articles for your literature review (only 1 website may be used in reference list for demographics).
 - d). Use current literature (use literature published no earlier than 2000 unless you can justify there is no current literature) from peer-reviewed journal article sources. Magazines and newspapers are not peer-reviewed sources.
 - e). Make sure topic flows logically and moves from one point to another with appropriate transitions between paragraphs.
 - f) Typed, 12 point Times New Roman font, 9-10 full pages, double-spaced, normal margins, no more than one blank line between any parts of the paper including paragraphs and heading/subheadings.
- The assignment is worth total 15 points.

2. HBSE COMMON ASSIGNMENT: CASE ANALYSIS PAPER. The assignment is for students to write a case analysis paper focusing on how to engage, assess, intervene, and evaluate practice with an individual, a family, a group, an organization, or a community. Each student

will sign up for the case they want to analyze. The case choices are #3, #4, and #8 from the Scales & Wolfer book. Students need to choose a case different from cases they may be assessing in other classes. Your paper must be guided by one or two – no more than two – of the theoretical frameworks presented in the Hutchison book/class.

The paper will have several parts:

Competency 6/Part 1 and 2:

6.1) Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person in environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with clients and constituencies.

PART ONE Introduction and relevant background information (3pts): In the introduction be sure to clearly indicate who you are identifying as the targeted client system, which can be an individual, family, group, organization, or community, and why you chose that system as the client. The introductory background information is to include detailed relevant facts related only to the targeted client system you chose. This is grounded in the case information presented about the client system you chose to focus on in the case and not based on unwritten assumptions, stereotypes, or biased opinions. This information should help explain the behavior of the client system in its social environment.

PART TWO Engagement of the Client in the Case (3pts): Use a strengths-based and empowerment theoretical framework to engage the client. It is important to engage the client and develop a relationship so the client will be willing to seek and receive help. Be sure to describe the engagement strategies such as empathy, reflection and interpersonal skills used with the targeted client system in the case. Remember the client is the person/s with the presenting problem. The targeted client system should include anyone who is involved in the problem or can help with a solution; this can include family, group, organization, community, etc..

Competency 7/Part 3:

7.2) Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the analysis of assessment data from clients and constituencies.

PART THREE Assessment of the Case (3pts): In reviewing the case, collect and organize the information data from the case study and describe a single problem that the targeted client system is facing in the case. Demonstrate critical thinking skills in analyzing the assessment of the case. Choose one theoretical framework that guided the assessment of the problem of the targeted client system. Use 3 references/citations of evidence-based, peer-reviewed literature (journal articles) to offer support documentation about the assessments of the problem described. All have to be referred journal articles published later than the year 2000.

Competency 8/Part 4:

8.2) Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in interventions with clients and constituencies

PART FOUR Intervention Strategies (3pts): Develop intervention goals and select appropriate intervention strategies. Describe how the intervention strategies will be implemented. Be sure the problem has its own intervention strategy to address it. Be sure to use a theoretical

framework to guide the intervention selection and implementation. Use 3 references of referred journal articles supporting the intervention chosen to address the problem identified and clearly stated in the assessment section of the case.

Competency 9/Part 5:

9.2) Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks in evaluating practice with individuals, families, and groups

PART FIVE Evaluation of Practice (3pts): Select and use appropriate methods to evaluate the interventions selected. Write up how you would know if the intervention you chose was effectively dealing with the problem. In other words, tell how you would know if the intervention is working to address the selected problem. Be sure to use a theoretical framework to guide the evaluation of the intervention selected and implemented.

APA/Writing/Grammar/Paper Length (5pts):

Paper must adhere to APA version 7. Papers should be typed Times New Roman; 12 point font; within 7-8 double-spaced full pages of text (excluding title and reference pages); there should be no more than one blank line between any lines including paragraphs and heading/subheadings; normal margins; and does not include the title or reference page. No first/second person. *Use third person voice only.* I will stop reading at the end of the 8th page and not include anything beyond that in the grade. If you attempt to shorten the paper by not following the format above, I will adjust everything to the format above and use the length based on the corrected format. Concise writing is important. Each paper should be supported with a minimum of 8 referenced journal articles. ALL 8 journal articles have to be recent ones, published in the year 2000 and later. Three references are to be used as evidence-based sources of documentation in the assessment of the case and three references are to be used as evidence-based sources for the intervention strategies sections of the case analysis paper. You do not need an abstract. Please include a title page. The total points for the case analysis paper is 20 points.

3. CASE ANALYSIS GROUP PRESENTATION. Students will be assigned to a group in which the case which will be analyzed and presented to the class in a group presentation.

Students will be assigned into a group to lead the case discussion for each case. The group will present the introduction to the case, engagement of the client system, analysis of assessment of the 3 problems with a theoretical framework, and 3 interventions addressing each of the 3 problems for the case, grounded in a theoretical framework/s presented in the Hutchison book/class. *Do not use more than two theories to analyze the case.* The group will lead a mock team meeting where classmates will contribute to further analysis of the case based on the homework sheet done by all students, except those who are leading the group presentation.

The purpose of presenting your case analysis is to gain experience presenting a case and engaging and describing your opinions to your peers. The presentation will mirror a case meeting that many social workers have at their practice settings. Thus, the entire class will be contributing to the discussion (which means if you are not presenting that week, you will need to read the case assigned to another group prior to their presentation). Presentations may not exceed 25 minutes. I will stop you at 25 minutes, **including class questions and feedback – so allow 5-10 minutes for that**, and give you a grade based on what you've presented at that point. The

group will address all the components listed for the final case analysis write-up. Presenters will lead the discussion and class members will actively ask questions throughout the presentation and provide feedback to the presentation in terms of implications of the findings of the group. Creativity is HIGHLY encouraged...please make it interesting! Each member of the group will be given a group grade. Please troubleshoot issues among yourselves, but contact me timely if you cannot resolve an issue. The assignment is 10 points.

4. TAKE HOME MIDTERM AND FINAL EXAMS. There will be a take home mid-term exam and final exam on dates noted in the course schedule. Exams will cover readings and class lectures covered prior to the exam date (for the midterm this is Hutchison chapters 1-6 and for the final this is Hutchison chapters 7-12). The final is not cumulative. Videos, class activities, and guest lecturers are part of the class and will also be covered on exams. Both midterm and final exam are 20 points each. The exams will be opened during a designated period of time and do not need to be completed in one sitting.

5. Asynchronous Group Case Analysis. You will work in a group to analyze an assigned case following a designated format. Your paper must be guided by one or two – no more than two – of the theoretical frameworks presented in the Hutchison book/class. One group analysis will be completed at 10 pts.

6. Class Participation and Attendance. Students will receive allotted 5 points of their total grade for their class attendance, class participation, and professional conduct. Attendance will be taken every class period. A student may be excused and miss two classes without penalty. For each additional class missed, one point will be deducted from the class participation grade.

The use of computer is not allowed in class unless you have a professional letter explaining the need. This letter needs to be presented to the professor within the first 2 weeks of the course. Cell phones need to be turned off and put away during class time.

In addition, students who inappropriately use cell phones, computers, or any other technological device during class will have points deducted from their participation grade.

In order to receive a passing grade for the course, ALL assignments need to be completed or you may be in jeopardy of failing the course.

<u>Assignments</u>	<u>Points</u>
Literature Review Research Paper	15 pts
Group Case Analysis	10 pts
Mid-term Exam	20pts
Case Analysis Group Presentation	10pts
Case Analysis Paper	20 pts
Final Exam	20pts
Class Participation and Attendance	5pts

TOTAL 100 pts

The final total of 100 points will be scaled to the 100 point grading scale for the final grade.

VI. GRADES

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

VII. CLASS POLICIES

- 1. Class Attendance.** Class attendance is required every class period for the entire class time period in order to get credit for attending class that day. Attendance will be taken each class. It is the student's sole responsibility to sign the attendance sheet each class. Students may not sign in for each other because that would be forgery and violating UT's expectations for academic integrity. This behavior may result in academic dismissal from UT or from the SSW.
- 2. Excused Absences.** Students are allowed to have two excused absences due to unforeseen circumstances. Any classes missed beyond the 2 excused absences will be deducted 1 point per class missed. Any class material missed due to class absence is the student's sole responsibility. If students know that they will have to miss a class, it is advised to notify the professor ahead of time.
- 3. Class preparation.** Before class time students are expected to read and/or complete the assigned chapter, handouts, case readings, and case homeworks prior to class. Students should be well prepared to participate in discussions and experiential learning assignments. Failure to demonstrate through discussions that one has comprehended the readings will be considered in assigning the class participation grade. If you do not verbally participate in class, it can affect your grade for participation.
- 4. General assignment requirements.** Assignments should be printed and handed to the professor during the class period on the due date. All assignments must be typed in double spaced and have one inch margins, typed in Times New Roman 12 point font. References/sources used in papers must be in American Psychological Association (APA) format and from evidenced based, peer reviewed journals. Do not write in first person. If questions about the credibility of a reference arise, consult with the professor. The professor is available by email to answer questions about assignments. Students should submit questions or requests for clarifications at least 24 hours before an assignment is due. If an assignment is due in less than 24 hours, the professor cannot guarantee a timely response. Assignments are expected to be completed by the class time. Assignments submitted after the class period (even on the same day) will be considered late. The professor may change the assignment, which if done will be with class discussion and majority vote approval before the assignment is changed. Students will be informed of any changes in assignments, due dates, and other class content. UT does not allow Incompletes (grade of X) to provide additional time to complete assignments.
- 5. Assignment due dates.** Assignments are due as indicated in the syllabus. Students will be

penalized 3 points for each calendar day that an assignment is late and not turned in on the day it is due by the end of the class period. Assignments should be submitted through Canvas and not email. If for some reason the professor gives you approval to email an assignment, make sure your assignment when emailed includes your attachment and it successfully reaches the professor on time. If not, it may result in not receiving credit for the assignment if you did not check your computer and the professor never got the assignment.

- 6. Electronics.** It is expected that students will give full attention to the professor, guest lecturer, classmates, or the classroom activity that is happening. So to facilitate classroom communication, students may not use social media, the Internet, email, or cell phones (including texting) during class. Use of laptop, if required in a letter from the Office of Services for Students with Disabilities, will be accommodated if so stipulated.

VIII. UNIVERSITY POLICIES

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON SCHOLASTIC DISHONESTY. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/>.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT. Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). A student should present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed and followed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>.

RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS. By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, examination, work assignment, or project in order to observe a

religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

TITLE IX REPORTING. In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct based on gender. Faculty, professors, agency-based field professors, staff, and/or teaching assistants in their supervisory roles are mandated reporters of incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual violence, or sexual misconduct. Students who report such incidents will be informed of University resources. Incidents will be reported to the University's Title IX Coordinator and/or the Title IX Deputy for the SSW, Professor Tanya Voss. Students, faculty and staff may contact Professor Voss to report incidents or to obtain information. Further information, including student resources related to Title IX, may also be found at <http://socialwork.utexas.edu/dl/files/academic-programs/other/qrg-sexualharassment.pdf>.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on concealed fire arms may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>. You also may find this information by accessing the Quick Links menu on the School's website.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

USE OF E-MAIL FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO STUDENTS. Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible for keeping the university informed about a change of e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS ADVICE LINE (BCAL). If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor’s instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

GRADING SCALE

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

IX. COURSE SCHEDULE

Date	Topic	Assignment Due	Readings (DUE ON MONDAY’S CLASS of the week assigned)
Week 1 6/7	Welcome/Introduction Review: -Syllabus -HBSE Content VIDEO How to Write a Literature Review	CHOICES for 1) Case Analysis Paper (either #3, #4, or #8) 2) LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH PAPER TOPIC Due Friday, 6/11, at 11:59pm	
Week 2 6/14	Theoretical Perspectives On Human Behavior		Readings Due: Hutchison Ch. 1 & 2

			Scales & Wolfer, Ch. 1& 2
Week 3 6/21	Theoretical Perspectives On Human Behavior (cont.) NASW Code of Ethics	LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH PAPER Due Friday, 6/25, at 11:59pm	Readings Due: NASW Code of Ethics – uploaded on Canvas
Week 4 6/28	Core Social Work Skills The Biological Person The Psychosocial Person The Psychological Person The Spiritual Person Relational Health: Guest Speaker Samantha Zuniga Thompson, MSSW	MIDTERM EXAM OPENED Monday at 4pm and is due Friday, 7/2, at 11:59pm	Readings Due: Hutchison Ch. 3, 4, 5 & 6 Sample Case Analysis on Canvas
Week 5 7/5	Sample Case Review The Physical Environment Culture Immigration and Deportation: Guest Speaker Ana Hernandez	Case 9 Asynchronous Group Analysis Due Friday, 7/9, at 11:59pm	Readings Due: Review Sample Case Hutchison Ch. 7 & 8 Scales & Wolfer, Case 9
Week 6 7/12	Families Kinship and other strengths of black		Readings Due: Hutchison Ch. 9

	families: Nelkasia Graves, M. Ed, LCPAA		
Week 7 7/19	Social Structure and Social Institutions Domestic Violence: Guest Speaker Ann Wasim, MSSW	GROUP CASE ANALYSIS PRESENTATION Scales & Wolfer Case 1 Due in Class CASE ANALYSIS PAPER due Friday, 7/23, at 11:59pm	Hutchison Ch. 10 Scales & Wolfer, Case 1
Week 8 7/26	Groups Formal Organizations	GROUP CASE ANALYSIS PRESENTATION Scales & Wolfer Case 5 Due in Class FINAL EXAM OPENED AT 4pm on Monday, 7/26, and is due Friday, 7/30, at 11:59pm	Readings Due: Hutchison Ch. 11 & 12 Scales & Wolfer, Case 5

X. BIBLIOGRAPHY

(May be helpful in getting your analyses started; not to replace your own literature searches.)

Adams, K. B., Sanders, S, & Auth, E. (2004). Risk and resilience factors of loneliness and depression in residents of independent living retirement communities. *Aging and Mental Health*, 8(6), 465-475.

Arber, S. (2003) Gender, marital status and ageing: Linking material, health and social resources. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 18(1), 91-108.

Atwood, N. C. (2001). Gender bias in families and its clinical implications for women. *Social Work*, 46(1), 23-38.

Biggerstaff, M. A., Morris, P. M., Nichols-Casebolt, A. (2002). Living on the edge: Examination of people attending food pantries and soup kitchens. *Social Work*, 47(3), 267-277.

- Borowsky, I. W., Ireland, M., Resnick, M. D. (2001). Adolescent suicide attempts: Risk and protectors. *Pediatrics*, 107(3), 485-494.
- Bui, H. N. (2003). Help-seeking behavior among abused immigrant women: A case of Vietnamese American women. *Violence Against Women*, 9(2), 207-237.
- Burt, J.A., & Mutchler, J. E. (2003). English language skills, ethnic concentration, and household composition: Older Mexican immigrants. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B*, 58, S83-S92.
- Conyers, J. (2007). The 2005 reauthorization of the violence against women act: Why congress acted to expand protections to immigrant victims. *Violence Against Women*, 13(5), 457-468.
- Eamon, M. K. (2001). The effects of poverty on children's socio-emotional development: An ecological systems analysis. *Social Work*, 46(3), 256-266.
- Ellickson, P., & McGuigan, K. A. (2002). Early predictors of adolescent violence. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(1), 566-572.
- Erich, S., Leung, P., Kindle, P., & Carter, S. (2005). Gay and lesbian adoptive families: An exploratory study of family functioning, adoptive child's behavior, and familial support networks. *Journal of Family Social Work*, 9, 17-32.
- Green, R. J. (2000). Lesbians, gay men, and their parents: A critique of Lasala and the prevailing clinical wisdom. *Family Process*, 39(2), 257-267.
- Jones, B. L. (2008). Promoting health development among survivors of adolescent cancer. *Family & Community Health*, 31, S61-S70.
- Kivnick, H. Q., & Stoffel, S. A. (2005). Vital involvement practice: Strengths as more than tools for solving problems. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 46, 85-116.
- Kulis, S., Marsiglia, F. F., & Hurdle, D. (2003). Gender identity, ethnicity, acculturation and drug use: Exploring differences among adolescents in the Southwest. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 13(2), 167-188.
- Raj, A., & Silverman, J. (2002). Violence against immigrant women: The roles of culture, context, and legal immigrant status on intimate partner violence. *Violence Against Women*, 8(3), 367-398.
- Rawal, P., Ramansky, J., Jenuwine, M., & Lyons, J. S. (2004). Racial differences in the mental health needs and services utilization of youth in the juvenile justice system. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 31(3), 242-254.
- Reimer, M. S. (2002). Gender, risk, and resilience in the middle school context. *Children in Schools*, 24(1), 35-47.

- Richardson, J., Anderson, T., Flaherty, J., & Bell, C. (2003). The quality of mental health for African Americans. *Culture, Medicine, & Psychiatry*, 27, 487-498.
- Rosen, A., & Zlotnik, J. (2001). Demographics and reality: The “disconnect” in social work education.. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 36(3/4), 81-97.
- Scharlach, A., Damron-Rodriguez, J., Robinson, B., & Feldman, R. (2000). Educating Social Workers for an Aging Society: A Vision for the 21st Century. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 36(3), 521-538.
- Sinclair, W., & Presinger, R. W., (2001). Environmental causes of infertility. *Infertility and Miscarriage Research Summaries*. On line: <http://chem-tox.com/infertility/>
- Sternbach, Jack. (2000). Lessons learned about working with men: A prison memoir. *Social Work*, 45(5), 413-426.
- Tanner, E. M., & Finn-Stevenson, M. (2002). Nutrition and brain development: Social policy implications. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 72, 182-193.
- Tickamyer, A. R., Henderson, D. A., White, J. A., & Tadlock, B. L. (2000). Voices of welfare reform: Bureaucratic rationality versus the perceptions of welfare participants. *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*, 15(2), 173-192.
- Worthington, R. L., Savoy, H. B., Dillon, F. R., & Vernaglia, E. R. (2002). Heterosexual identity development: A multidimensional model of individual and social identity. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 30, 496-531.