

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

Course Number:	SW393 R13	Instructor:	Yessenia Castro, PhD (Dr. Castro)
Unique Numbers:	59750	Email:	ycastro@austin.utexas.edu
Semester:	Fall 2020	Office:	SSW 3.130E, Online/Internet
Meeting Times:	Tues.5:30pm-8:30pm	Office Phone:	512-232-0778
Meeting Place:	Online/Internet (Zoom)	Office Hours:	By appointment, Online/Internet

COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL THERAPY

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course is designed as an advanced clinical selective for graduate students in the Steve Hicks School of Social Work who wish to increase knowledge and skills for practice in cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). Students will learn the theoretical and practical foundations of CBT. Students will learn other theories relevant to CBT as well as the CBT practice model. Students will learn how to apply relevant theories and the CBT practice model to client case conceptualization, treatment planning, and intervention. Students will learn the foundational skills, techniques, and structure of delivery of CBT and how to incorporate them with other models of social work practice.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, students will:

1. Demonstrate the ability to articulate specific cognitive behavioral and interpersonal theories of behavior and behavior change relevant to the CBT practice model.
2. Demonstrate basic competency in applying relevant empirically supported theories and the CBT practice model to the processes of client engagement, assessment, intervention, and evaluation.
3. Demonstrate basic competency in the process of cognitive behavioral case formulation.
4. Demonstrate the ability to structure the course of treatment and individual therapy sessions in a manner consistent with the CBT practice model.
5. Identify the need for and appropriately adapt CBT approaches for use with individuals from diverse social, gender, age, ethnic, and other cultural groups, at risk groups, and other groups with diverse life experiences.
6. Demonstrate awareness of the impact of values conflicts and ethical dilemmas related to the therapeutic process.
7. Demonstrate an ability to integrate the CBT orientation with other models of social work practice.

III. TEACHING METHODS

The primary teaching methods will be lecture, small group discussion and activities, role play exercises, video and live demonstration, online quizzes, individual assignments and group

assignments. Each class contains readings and/or video assignments, and homeworks that are expected to be completed prior to class. Students are expected to ask questions, share experiences, and actively participate in class discussions.

IV. REQUIRED TEXT AND MATERIALS

Required Textbook:

- 1) Wright, JH, Brown, GK, Thase, ME, & Basco, MR (2017). *Learning Cognitive Behavior Therapy: An Illustrated Guide, 2nd Edition*. Washington, DC; American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- 2) Additional required readings will be made available via Canvas.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Students are required to attend all classes, complete all preparatory work and assignments, turn assignments in on time, and participate meaningfully in class discussions and activities. Ten homeworks will be completed for 10 points each. Each student's lowest scored homework will be dropped from their total points earned. Thus, each student's nine highest scored homeworks will count toward the student's final grade, for a total of 90 points possible. One Case Conceptualization assignment worth 15 points will be completed in groups of approximately 4 students, and one Video Role Play assignment worth 25 points will be completed with a partner. Thus, student grades will be based on the percentage of points earned out of 130.

VI. GRADES

The grading scale for this course is as follows:

94.0 and Above	A	74.0 to 76.999	C
90.0 to 93.999	A-	70.0 to 73.999	C-
87.0 to 89.999	B+	67.0 to 69.999	D+
84.0 to 86.999	B	64.0 to 66.999	D
80.0 to 83.999	B-	60.0 to 63.999	D-
77.0 to 79.999	C+	Below 60.0	F

VII. CLASS POLICIES

ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION. This class contains a combination of synchronous and asynchronous course content. Students are expected to attend all classes and arrive on time on days when class convenes. *Students requesting entry more than 10 after their designated meeting time will be considered late and will not be allowed entry into the class.* Students are expected to be prepared for each class, complete and turn in all assignments, and contribute meaningfully each class discussions. If a student cannot attend a class, they should notify Dr.

Castro ahead of time. Students should also notify Dr. Castro as soon as possible after a ***documentable, unforeseen emergency*** that has caused them to miss class with no prior notice. If a student has a documentable, unforeseen emergency that affects their attendance in this course, they should be prepared to approach Dr. Castro about it with official documentation of the unforeseen emergency. ***In the case of an absence due to a documented and unforeseen emergency, Dr. Castro will assist the student in getting caught up with the missed class.***

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS. Almost every week, students will complete homework in the form of a written assignment or an online quiz. The purpose of the written assignments is to provide students with opportunities for hands-on practice with CBT skills and to gain insight on the experience of learning CBT skills from the perspective of a client. The purpose of the quizzes is to serve as periodic knowledge and comprehension checks for students and to serve as a source of feedback for the instructor, who uses quiz performance to assess what concepts and lessons require clarification or review. Students will receive specific instructions for each written assignment or notice that a quiz will be posted on Canvas before the end of class time one week before the homework assignment/quiz is due. ***Late homework assignments will not be accepted and make up quizzes will not be allowed under any circumstances.***

The purpose of the group Case Conceptualization assignment is to give the students the opportunity to demonstrate their ability to synthesize and apply the knowledge and skills learned in the first half of the class related to developing an understanding of the client's problems under the lens of the cognitive behavioral theory. Furthermore, the group format is meant to encourage peer consultation and consideration of diverse viewpoints. The purpose of the partner Video Role Play assignment is to demonstrate competency in the administration of cognitive behavioral therapy. Partners will take turns in the roles of clinician and client to assist each other in completing the assignment. ***Late Case Conceptualizations will not be accepted under any circumstances. Video Role Plays will ONLY be accepted late in the event of a documentable, unforeseen emergence that has caused the student to be unable to submit the assignment on time.*** If a student experiences such an event, they should be prepared to approach Dr. Castro with documentation of the unforeseen emergency.

All Assignments must be submitted via Canvas ***prior to the start of class*** on the day that it is due. Do not submit assignments to Dr. Castro via email.

USE OF THE CANVAS WEB SITE. Web-based, password-protected class sites using Canvas software are available for all accredited courses taught at The University of Texas. Syllabi, handouts, assignments and other resources are types of information that may be available within these sites. Site activities could include exchanging email, engaging in class discussions and chats, and exchanging files. In addition, class e-mail rosters will be a component of the sites. Students who do not want their names included in these electronic class rosters must restrict their directory information in the Office of the Registrar, Main Building, Room 1. For information on restricting directory information see: <http://www.utexas.edu/student/registrar/catalogs/gi00-01/app/appc09.html>.

This class will utilize Canvas exclusively for the distribution of class readings and any other written or presentation materials, and recording of student grades. Links to online course

meetings are available via the Canvas course website. ***Only assignments submitted via Canvas will be accepted. Absolutely no assignments will be accepted by Dr. Castro via email without her explicit PRIOR permission.*** Please plan accordingly.

The primary mode of communication for this course will be email via the Canvas course website. Note below (in University Policies) that email is an official form of communication for The University of Texas at Austin and students are strongly encouraged to check their email daily.

VIII. UNIVERSITY POLICIES

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT. Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). A student should present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed and followed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. This atmosphere includes working intentionally to recognize and dismantle racism, sexism, heterosexism, and ableism in the classroom. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment, we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may

contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) and other forms of electronic communication (e.g. blogs) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON SCHOLASTIC DISHONESTY. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/>.

SHARING OF COURSE MATERIALS IS PROHIBITED. No materials used in this class, including, but not limited to, lecture hand-outs, videos, assessments (quizzes, exams, papers, projects, homework assignments), in-class materials, review sheets, and additional problem sets, may be shared online or with anyone outside of the class unless you have my explicit, written permission. Unauthorized sharing of materials promotes cheating. It is a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty. I am well aware of the sites used for sharing materials, and any materials found online that are associated with you, or any suspected unauthorized sharing of materials, will be reported to Student Conduct and Academic

Integrity in the Office of the Dean of Students. These reports can result in sanctions, including failure in the course.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

CLASS RECORDINGS. Class recordings are reserved only for students in this class for educational purposes and are protected under FERPA. The recordings should not be shared outside the class in any form. Violation of this restriction by a student could lead to Student Misconduct proceedings.

USE OF E-MAIL FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO STUDENTS. Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible for keeping the university informed about a change of e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>.

RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS. By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, examination, work assignment, or project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

TITLE IX REPORTING. In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct on the basis of sex <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>. Faculty, field instructors, staff, and/or teaching assistants in their supervisory roles are mandated reporters of incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual violence, stalking, dating violence, or any other forms of sexual misconduct. Students who report such incidents will be informed of

University resources. Incidents will be reported to the University's Title IX Coordinator. Further information, including student resources related to Title IX, may also be found at <https://titleix.utexas.edu/>.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on concealed firearms may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>. You also may find this information by accessing the Quick Links menu on the School's website.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS ADVICE LINE (BCAL). If students have concerns about their behavioral health, or if they are concerned about the behavioral health of someone else, students may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

COVID CAVEATS. To help keep everyone at UT and in our community safe, it is critical that students report COVID-19 symptoms and testing, regardless of test results, to [University Health Services](#), and faculty and staff report to the [HealthPoint Occupational Health Program](#) (OHP) as soon as possible. Please see this [link](#) to understand what needs to be reported. In addition, to help understand what to do if a fellow student in the class (or the instructor or TA) tests positive for COVID, see this [University Health Services link](#).

IX. COURSE SCHEDULE

Date	Topic	Assignment Due	Readings Due
<u>WEEK 1</u> Sept 1, 2	Introduction to the Course What is Cognitive Behavioral Therapy?	<u>HW1 Due</u>	Wright et al Ch 1 Syllabus
<u>WEEK 2</u> Sept 8, 9	Self-Determination Theory, Motivational Interviewing, and the Therapeutic Relationship	<u>HW2 Due</u>	Wright et al. Ch 2 Vansteenkiste & Sheldon (2006)
<u>WEEK 3</u> Sept 15, 16	Cognitive Theory Learning and Emotion Theories	<u>HW3 Due</u>	Persons (2012) Ch 2-4
<u>WEEK 4</u> Sept 22, 23	Cognitive Theory and Case Formulation	<u>HW4 Due</u>	Wright et al. Ch 3
<u>WEEK 5</u> Sept 29, 30	Client Assessment and Case Formulation	<u>HW5 Due</u>	Nakash, & Saguy, (2015) DSM-5, pgs. 749-754
<u>WEEK 6</u> Oct 6, 7	The Structure of Therapy	<u>Due: Group Case Conceptualization Worksheet</u>	Wright et al. Ch 4 Holm-Denoma, et al. (2005) Jakobsons et al. (2007)
<u>WEEK 7</u> Oct 13, 14	Cognitive Techniques	HW6 Due	Wright Ch 5, Wright Ch 8
<u>WEEK 8</u> Oct 20, 21	Cognitive Techniques	HW7 Due	Graham-LoPresti et al., 2020
<u>WEEK 9</u> Oct 27, 28	Cognitive Techniques	HW8 Due	TBD
<u>WEEK 10</u> Nov 3, 4	Cognitive Techniques		
<u>WEEK 11</u> Nov 10, 11	Behavioral Techniques	<u>Due: Video Role Plays</u>	Zalta & Foa (2012) TBD
<u>WEEK 12</u> Nov 17, 18	Behavioral Techniques	HW9 Due	TBD
<u>WEEK 13</u> Nov 24, 25	Thanksgiving Holiday! No Class! No Class! No Class! No Class! No Class! No Class!		
<u>WEEK 14</u> Dec 1, 2	Behavioral Techniques	HW10 Due	TBD

X. Bibliography

American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th Edition*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

Beck, A. T., & Haigh, E. A. (2014). Advances in cognitive theory and therapy: The generic cognitive model. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 10, 1-24.

Benuto, L. T., & O'Donohue, W. (2015). Is culturally sensitive cognitive behavioral therapy an empirically supported treatment?: The case for Hispanics. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy*, 15(3), 405-421.

Bruchmüller, K., Margraf, J., Suppiger, A., & Schneider, S. (2011). Popular or unpopular? Therapists' use of structured interviews and their estimation of patient acceptance. *Behavior Therapy*, 42(4), 634-643.

Cardemil, E. V., & Battle, C. L. (2003). Guess who's coming to therapy? Getting comfortable with conversations about race and ethnicity in psychotherapy. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34(3), 278.

Casas, J. B., Benuto, L. T., & González, F. (2020). Latinos, Anxiety, and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Psychology & Psychological Therapy*, 20(1).

Craig, S. L., Austin, A., & Alessi, E. (2013). Gay affirmative cognitive behavioral therapy for sexual minority youth: A clinical adaptation. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 41(3), 258-266.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.

Graham-LoPresti J.R., Abdullah T., Calloway A. (2020) Culturally Responsive Assessment and Treatment of Generalized Anxiety Disorder. In: Benuto L., Gonzalez F., Singer J. (eds) *Handbook of Cultural Factors in Behavioral Health*. Springer, Cham.

Gregory Jr, V. L. (2016). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for depressive symptoms in persons of African descent: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 42(1), 113-129.

Hays, P. A. (2008). *Addressing Cultural Complexities in Practice: Assessment, Diagnosis, and Therapy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Hays, P. A., & Iwamasa, G. Y. (2006). *Culturally responsive cognitive-behavioral therapy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Holm-Denoma, J. M., Gordon, K. H., Donohue, K. F., Waesche, M., Castro, Y., Brown, J. S., Jakobsons, L. J., Merrill, K. A., Buckner, J., & Joiner, T. E. Jr. (2008). Patients' affective reactions to receiving diagnostic feedback. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 27(6), 555-575.

Hofmann, S. G., Asnaani, A., Vonk, I. J., Sawyer, A. T., & Fang, A. (2012). The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 36(5), 427-440.

Hofmann, S. G., Asmundson, G. J., & Beck, A. T. (2013). The science of cognitive therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 44(2), 199-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2009.01.007>

Horrell, S. C. V. (2008). Effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy with adult ethnic minority clients: A review. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 39(2), 160.

Jakobsons, L. J., Brown, J. S., Gordon, K. H., & Joiner, T. E. (2007). When are clients ready to terminate? *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 14(2), 218-230.

- Jankowska, M. (2019). Cultural modifications of cognitive behavioural treatment of social anxiety among culturally diverse clients: a systematic literature review. *The Cognitive Behaviour Therapist*, 12.
- Kanter, J. W., & Puspitasari, A. J. (2012). Behavioral Activation. In Fisher, J. E., & O'Donohue, W. T. (Eds.). *Cognitive Behavior Therapy : Core Principles for Practice*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Kazantzis, N., Luong, H. K., Usatoff, A. S., Impala, T., Yew, R. Y., & Hofmann, S. G. (2018). The processes of cognitive behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 42(4), 349-357.
- Leahy, R. L. (Ed.). (2006). *Roadblocks in Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy: Transforming Challenges into Opportunities for Change*. Guilford Press.
- Leichsenring, F., & Steinert, C. (2017). Is Cognitive Behavioral Therapy the Gold Standard for Psychotherapy?: The Need for Plurality in Treatment and Research. *JAMA*, 318(14), 1323–1324.
- Markland, D., Ryan, R. M., Tobin, V. J., & Rollnick, S. (2005). Motivational Interviewing and self-determination theory. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 24(6), 811-831.
- McMain, S., Newman, M. G., Segal, Z. V., & DeRubeis, R. J. (2015). Cognitive behavioral therapy: Current status and future research directions. *Psychotherapy Research*, 25(3), 321-329.
- Mennin, D. S., Ellard, K. K., Fresco, D. M., & Gross, J. J. (2013). United we stand: emphasizing commonalities across cognitive-behavioral therapies. *Behavior Therapy*, 44(2), 234–248.
- Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2012). *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change*. Guilford press.
- Nakash, O., & Saguy, T. (2015). Social identities of clients and therapists during the mental health intake predict diagnostic accuracy. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 6(6), 710-717.
- Persons, J. B. (2012). *The Case Formulation Approach to Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*. Guilford Press.
- Rosmarin, D. H., Bocanegra, E. S., Hoffnung, G., & Appel, M. (2019). Effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety and depression among orthodox Jews. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 26(4), 676-687.
- Tang, J., Li, C., Rogers, R., & Ballou, M. (2016). Effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy with Asian American patients in an acute psychiatric partial hospital program. *North American Journal of Medicine and Science*, 8(4).
- Tewary, S., Jani, N., & Anstadt, S. P. (2012). Cognitive behavior therapy: A potential treatment for depression among Asian Indian Immigrant women in the United States. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 22(4), 463-478.
- Vansteenkiste, M., & Sheldon, K. M. (2006). There's nothing more practical than a good theory: Integrating motivational interviewing and self-determination theory. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 45(1), 63-82.
- Zalta, A. K., & Foa, E. B. (2012). Exposure therapy: promoting emotional processing of pathological anxiety. In Fisher, J. E., & O'Donohue, W. T. (Eds.). *Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Core Principles for Practice*. Hoboken: Wiley.