## THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

### SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

Course Number: N360K/N393R23

Unique Number: 94675/94755 Semester: Summer 2012

**Meeting Time:** Mon & Wed 1:30-4:00pm

**Meeting Place:** SSW 2.116 **Office Number:** SSW1.218G

**Office Phone:** 471-0520

Office Hours: By appointment

**Instructor's name:** 



Clay Shorkey, LCSW, PhD Josleen and Frances Lockhart Professor of Direct Practice in Social Work

### TREATMENT OF CHEMICAL DEPENDENCE

## I. <u>Standardized Course Description</u>

The course is designed to provide a basic understanding of contemporary treatment methods used in work with a diverse range of clients recovering from alcohol and/or drug dependence. Emphasis will be placed on integrating the use of Gestalt Therapy, Rational Emotive Therapy, and Behavior Therapy with the 12 Step Program of Recovery/ Minnesota model, Faith-based Models, Pharmacological Model, the Therapeutic Community Model and the Drug Court Model.

# II. Pre and/or Co-Requisites

Students who plan to specialize in chemical dependence and wish to obtain state licensing as a chemical dependence counselor (LCDC), should take a minimum of three (3) courses in this area. It is suggested that the sequence include: "Dynamics of Chemical Dependence," "Treatment of Chemical Dependence" and one or more from "Dual Diagnosis", "Adolescent Chemical Dependence Prevention/ Intervention", "Relapse and Recovery" or "Women and Chemical Dependence."

# III. Standardized Course Objectives

By the end of the semester,

- 1. The student should be able to work with a broad range of clients with understanding, affirmation, and respect for the positive value of diversity.
- 2. The student should be familiar with basic theoretical concepts and treatment techniques of selected contemporary counseling theories, adjunctive methods and medically supervised programs for treatment of chemically dependent clients.
- 3. The student should be able to describe the concepts, procedures and preliminary outcome data related to six major models for treatment of chemical dependence: Pharmacological, 12 Step, Minnesota, Faith-based, Therapeutic Community and Drug Court.
- 4. The student should be able to describe basic concepts, propositions, treatment techniques, and procedures of major psychosocial theories including: Gestalt, Rational Emotive, and Behavioral.
- 5. The student should be able to integrate counseling techniques derived from psychosocial theories covered in the course with the Pharmacological, 12 Step, Minnesota, the Faith-based, Therapeutic Community, and Drug Court models.

# IV. <u>Teaching Methods</u>

Teaching methods will include lecture, group discussion, group exercises, audio-visual materials, field trips, and guest lecturers.

## V. Required Texts, and Materials

### Required:

- Reading package University Copy Center in the School of Social Work (Telephone 471-8281).
- The Anonymous Press Mini Edition of Alcoholics Anonymous (2010) The Anonymous Press: Malo, WA (this little book can be purchased in class for 1\$)

Dr. Shorkey's website (http://www.utexas.edu/research/cswr/tattc/) resources related to:

- 1. Social work and chemical dependence (social work knowledge, values and practice methods)
- 2. Chemical dependence with diverse population groups: African Americans, Hispanics, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Gays and Lesbians, persons with disabilities, and Dual Diagnosis.
- 3. Models and frameworks of chemical dependence
- 4. Psychosocial theories related to chemical dependence treatment.

### AA and other related websites:

Alcoholics Anonymous http://austinaa.org/

Al-Anon/Alateen http://www.austinalanon.org/

Narcotics Anonymous <a href="http://www.ctana.org/">http://www.ctana.org/</a>

Secular Organizations for Sobriety http://www.cfiwest.org/sos/index.htm

http://www.cfiwest.org/sos/intro.htm

http://www.sossobriety.org/meetings/states.htm#Texas

Lamda (LGBT Friendly Group) http://lambdaaustin.org/index.htm

Women for Sobriety <a href="http://www.womenforsobriety.org/">http://www.womenforsobriety.org/</a>

Smart Recovery http://www.smartrecovery.org/

APA Resources Learning Resource Center (LRC) Reference Book

# VI. Course Requirements

Reading assignments should be completed prior to class and will provide the basis for discussion. Students are encouraged to ask questions and make comments during lectures. Student's questions and comments provide the instructor an important assessment tool for whether or not readings are being completed outside of class.

Mid-Semester and Final Quiz

The quizzes will test students' knowledge of the therapeutic approaches covered in the course and students ability to critically analyze and compare these models. The test formats will include short answer questions and essay questions. The mid-semester quiz will be a take-home quiz whereas the second quiz will be an open book quiz that takes place in the classroom. Quizzes are scheduled at the times indicated on the course outline.

Quizzes: 30 points each

### VII. Class Policies

#### Attendance

Class attendance is required to complete all of the assignments. Students may miss no more than two (2) class sessions. Students who fail to attend class on a regular basis (missing more than 2 classes without a valid excuse, e.g., medical documentation) will receive one course grade lower than their final grade when points are totaled. Students who miss more than three unexcused classes may receive two grades lower than their final grade. Students who leave at the mid-point break of the class will be counted as attending ½ of the only class. Students who are one or two points below the cut-off for a letter grade may receive the higher grade at the end of the semester based on class participation.

# **Late Assignments**

Assignments are due on the dates indicated in the course syllabus. Late assignments will not be accepted without penalty. Two points will be deducted from the assignment for each class session past the due date.

Gra	din	g
		_

	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>
2 Quizzes 10 Assignments Graduate Assignment (TBA) Attendance	60 (30 pts. each) 100 (10 pts. each)  15 pts.	60 (30 pts. each) 100(10 pts each) 25 pts. 15 pts.
	175	200

## Attendance:

0 to 1 missed class:	15 points	164-175 (94%-100%) = A	188-200 (94%-100%) = A
1½ -2 missed classes:	: 10 points	157-163 (90%- 93%) = A-	180-187 (90%-93%) = A-
		152-156 (87%-89%) = B+	174-179 (87%-89%) = B+
		147-151 (84%-86%) = B	168-173 (84%-85%) = B
		140-146 (80%-83%) = B-	160-167 (80%-83%) = B-
		135-139 (77%-79%) = C+	154-159(77%-79%) = C+
		129-134 (74%-76%) = C	148-153 (74%-76%) = C
		122-128 (70%-73%) = C-	140-147(70%-73%) = C-
		117-121 (67%-69%) = D+	134-139(67%-69%) = D+
		112-116 (64%-66%) = D	128-133(64%-66%) = D
		105-111 (60%-63%) = D-	120-127(60%-63%) = D-
		104 & below (59% & below) =F	119& below (59%&below= F

Grading Scale: (Grades are rounded up to the next number at .5). Grading of all written assignments will take into account the quality of the writing as well as the content. The current APA format should be followed. Written material should be carefully proofread corrected for errors in punctuation, typographical errors, and spelling errors. Good writing requires a reiterative process that must be followed if quality is to improve. It is a good idea to read your paper several times and if possible have someone else read it.

Students requesting an incomplete for medical problems or family emergencies must fill out the required form available in the Student Service office and discuss their request with the instructor.

# School of Social Work Policy

Read the School of Social Work Safety statement: As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve being in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety.

Students should notify instructors regarding any safety concerns. Information shared in class about agencies and clients is considered to be covered by the NASW Code of Ethics regarding the sharing of information for supervisory purposes. Agencies are aware that information is shared in class for this purpose. However, discussion outside of class with individuals not in this class or with other class members in settings where you cannot assure that no one else may overhear the conversation is considered a breach of confidentiality and will result in recommendation against admission to the BSW program.

### University Policy

The University of Texas at Austin is proud of its students' commitment to academic integrity and their pledge to abide by its policy on scholastic dishonesty. The tradition of intellectual honesty is maintained by the cooperation of students and faculty members. School policy on this subject can be found in <u>General Information 2007-2008</u> Scholastic dishonesty in this class may result in a grade of F for the course with possible reporting to the Dean of the School of Social Work and the Dean of the Graduate School.

The University of Texas at Austin provides upon request, appropriate academic accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. Any student with a documented disability (physical or cognitive) who requires academic accommodations should contact the Service for Students with Disabilities area of the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259 (voice) or 471- 4641 (TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing) as soon as possible to request an official letter outlining authorized accommodations.

**Required Assignments**: 10 points each – Due on assigned date. If assignments are turned in after the assigned date, students can earn no more than 50% of the points for the assignment. Assignments that are turned in more than 1 week after the assigned date will be graded 0. All assignments must adhere to APA format and reference all sources as well as include in-text citations and a works-cited page.

- 1. 2-3 page reaction paper related to Bill Wigmore's presentation. Due June 11
- 2. 2-3 page reaction paper related to the presentation by Mary Boone. Due June 13
- 2-3 page reaction paper related to the field visit to Austin Recovery for the Musical Journey.
   Due June 20
- 4. 2-3 reaction paper related the field visit to drug court. Due June 25
- 5. 2-3 page reaction paper related to the field visit to Phoenix Academy. Due June 27
- 6. 2-3 page reaction paper related to the Kyle Correctional Facility. Due July 2
- 7. 2-3 page reaction paper related to the field visit to Salvation Army. Due July 9
- 8. 2-3 page reaction paper related to Michael Uebel's presentation. Due July 11
- 2-3 page reaction paper related to the presentation on pharmacological treatment of chemical dependence by Reid Minot Due July 16
- 10. 2-3 page reaction paper related to an <u>open</u> "12-step meeting."

  Due July 18 (you are strongly encouraged to turn this in prior to the due date)

## **Special Note on Assignments**

Regular Reaction Papers are not required for the session on Gestalt Therapy, Behavioral Couples Therapy, Contingency Management & Rational/Emotive Cognitive Therapy. However, if you missed a previous class and you have a valid excuse (e.g. doctor's note) for one missed class, you may make up a reaction paper based on this presentation.

# **Reaction Paper Outline**

2-3 pages, double spaced, 12pt Times New Roman (not including works cited), in-text citations, APA works cited, include headings

### I. Observations (3-4 paragraphs, 3 points)

In this section the student will briefly describe the proceedings of the event in which they attended. This includes the components of the event and the order in which they occurred.

# II. Analysis and Interaction of Reading (3-4 paragraphs, 5 points)

The student will also identify the treatment modality or practice model in which the event is classified and explain specifically how the event was an example of the model or modality. What elements of the specific event that you attended concur with the characteristics of its model as explained by the readings? Discuss your reactions to the event. Did the event deviate from the readings' account of its practice model? How did it differ and why? How did attending the event differ or concur with your expectations?

# III. Brief Personal Reaction (1-2 paragraphs, 2 points)

Did you agree or disagree with certain elements of the event? Why? How did you feel about attending the event? Would you recommend it to a client faced with chemical dependence? Why or why not? The student is expected to provide thorough rationale for points of agreement and disagreement. In this process, the students are free to use their personal opinion and are encouraged to cite in-class readings as well. Personal opinions can use life experiences or practice wisdom. However, multiple sides of the issue must be examined. Why would some individuals/clients find this treatment beneficial if you do not? The student is also expected to conclude their assignment with a 1-2 sentence summary of the main things they learned from attending the event.

Date	Description	Text/Readings
Jun 4	Definitions and Entrance into	Course Syllabus
Class 1	Treatment	Course by habus
Class 1	<ul><li>New definition of addiction</li><li>Barriers to treatment</li></ul>	ASAM, New definition of addiction. p. 1-7.
	<ul> <li>Stages of change</li> <li>Motivational Interviewing</li> <li>Intervention</li> <li>Spontaneous/Natural Recovery</li> </ul>	The NSDUH Report, Alcohol treatment: Need, utilization, and barriers. p.1-10
	Handouts: DSM-IV Diagnostic Criteria, Motivational Interviewing & Stages of	Cunningham, Why do people stop their drug use? p.695-710.
	Change Video: Motivational Interviewing	Ludwig, Cognitive processes associated with "spontaneous" recovery from alcoholism, p. 57-62.
		Whitten, Court-mandated treatment works as well as voluntary, p. 1 & 6
Jun 6	Recovery Group Models	Alcoholics Anonymous
Class 2		Alcoholics Anonymous, ch.1-5.
	12 Step, Minnesota Model, Spontaneous Recovery/Natural Recovery, Secular Organization for Sobriety, Women for Sobriety, Smart Recovery.	Krentzman, Evidence base for effectiveness of Alcoholics Anonymous, p. 27-48.
	Guest Lecture: Rev. Bill Wigmore	Minnesota Model Shorkey & Uebel, Minnesota Model, p. 547-549.
		Other 12-Steps Groups Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. Secular Organizations for Sobriety, p. 815-816
		Shorkey & Uebel, Women for Sobriety, p. 1007-1008.
		Smart Recovery: www.smartrecovery.org
Jun 11	The Family & Intervention	Johnson, Intervention: How to help
Class 3	Guest Lecture: Mary Boone, LCDC, LCSW	someone who does not want help, p. 61-87.
		Liepman, Nirenberg & Begin,

Jun 13	Assignment #1 Due: Reaction to Bill Wigmore's Presentation  Recovery and Internet Tools	Evaluation of a program designed to help family and significant others to motivate resistant alcoholics into recovery, p. 209-221.  Loneck, Garrett & Banks, A Comparison of Johnson intervention with four other methods of referral to outpatient treatment, p. 233-246.  SAMHA's working definition of
Class 4	Guest Lecturer: TBA  Assignment #2 Due: Reaction to Mary Boone's Presentation	recovery from mental disorders and substance use disorders. p.1-3  Walsh, Lifestyle and mental health. p.1-14
Jun 18 Class 5	Alternate Therapies & Culturally Competent Services  Integrative Art, Music, and Breathwork-Musical Journey  Field Visit: Tour of Austin Recovery & Musical Journey Tour: Ilana Baar, LMSW Guest Lecturer: Maryse Saffle, LCDC  Personal pillow and blanket encouraged for exercise.	Dingle, Gleadhill, & Baker, Can music therapy engage patients in group cognitive behaviour therapy for substance abuse treatment? p. 190-196.  Rhinewine & Williams, Holotropic breathwork, p. 771-776.  Shorkey, Windsor & Spence, Assessing Culturally Competent Chemical Dependence Treatment Services for Mexican Americans, p. 61-74.  Shorkey, Windsor & Spence, Systematic Assessment of Culturally Competent Chemical Dependence Treatment Services for African Americans, 113-128.
Jun 20 Class 6	No class at regular time on June 20  Field visit: Appear at 5:45, starts at 6:00 p.m.	Finn & Newlyn, Miami's "Drug court": A different approach. p.1-15  Patra, Factors associated with treatment compliance and its effects on retention among participants in a
	Dress professionally (no jeans or sneakers) and bring ID and release	court-mandated treatment program, p. 289-313.

	form	Longshore, et al., Drug Court: A
	A. 1 (M. ) I 10 (W. I) I 20	Conceptual Framework p. 7-26.
	Attend on (Mon) Jun 18 or (Wed) Jun 20	Kerl & Parsons (under supervision of
	Assignment #3 Due: Reaction to Austin	Shorkey, C.), Rearrest and retention
	Recovery / Musical Journey	in the Travis County Drug Court, p.
Jun 25	Therapeutic Community: Session 1	1-17.  DeLeon, The therapeutic community
Class 7	Therapeutic Community: Session 1	and behavioral science, p. 74-99.
	<u>Field Visit</u> : Phoenix Academy	Cudvich Wandaran Cananan Clark
	Assignment #4 Due: Reaction to Drug Court	Gudyish, Werdegar, Sorensen, Clark & Acampora, A day of treatment program in a therapeutic Community setting: Six month outcomes-the
		Walden House day treatment program, p. 441-447.
		Waters, Fazio, Hernandez & Segarra,
		The story of CURA, a Hispanic/Latino drug therapeutic
		community, p. 113-134.
Jun 27	Therapeutic Community: Session 2	Burdon, Differential effectiveness of
Class 8		residential vs. outpatient aftercare for
	Field Visit: Kyle Correctional Facility Dress professionally & bring picture ID	parolees from prison-based therapeutic community treatment
	Diess professionally & ornig picture in	programs, p. 2-16.
	Assignment #5 Due: Reaction to	
	Phoenix Academy	Knight, Simpson & Hiller, Three-
	Pagin completing midterm aniz	year reincarceration outcomes for an
	Begin completing midterm quiz	in-prison therapeutic community treatment in Texas, p. 337-351.
		-
July 2 Class 9	Faith-Based Programs: Session 1	Neff, Shorkey, & Windsor,
Class 9	Field visit: Salvation Army	Contrasting faith-based and traditional substance abuse treatment
	Tota visic. Survacion 7 miny	programs, p. 49-61.
	Assignment # 6 Due: Reaction to Kyle	
	Correctional Facility	Shorkey, C., Uebel, M. & Windsor,
		L. (2008). Measuring dimensions of spirituality in chemical dependence
		treatment and recovery, p. 286-305.
July 9	Faith-Based Programs: Session 2	Bowen, et al, Mindfulness meditation
Class 10	B 1111 B111	and substance use in an incarcerated
	Buddhist Philosophy and Psychology,	population, p. 343-347.
	Mindful Meditation and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)	Groves, Paramabandhu & Farmer,
	Communication (1101)	Buddhism and addictions, P. 183-
	Guest Lecture: Michael Uebel, Ph.D,	194.

	LCSW	
	Assignment #7 Due: Reaction to Salvation Army	Heffner, Valued directions: Acceptance and commitment therapy in the treatment of alcohol dependence, p. 378-383.
		Kabat-Zinn, Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Context: Past, Present, & Future, p. 144-156.
July 11 Class 11	Detoxification & Pharmacotherapuetic Treatment of Chemical Dependence and Coexisting Psychiatric Disorders	Parran, et al., Long-term outcomes of office-based buprenorphine / naloxone maintenance therapy, p. 56-60.
	Including: Methadone, Disulfram/Antabuse, Buprenorphine, Naltrexone & Clonidine	Rawson, McCann & Hasson, Pharmacotherapies for substance abuse, p. 18-24.
	Guest Lecture: Reid Minot, Pharmacotherapy, Nurse Practitioner with Prescription Authority	Roman, Abraham & Knudsen, Using medication-assisted treatment for substance use disorders, p. 584-589.
	Assignment #8 Due: Reaction to Michael Uebel's Presentation	Rubio et al, Clinical predictors of response to naltrexone in alcoholic patients: who benefits most from treatment with naltrexone? P. 227-233.
July 16 Class 12	<ul> <li>Empirically Supported Approaches: Session 1</li> <li>SAMHSA's National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices (www.nrepp.samhsa.gov)</li> <li>Gestalt Therapy</li> <li>Behavioral Couples Therapy</li> <li>Contingency Management</li> <li>Rational Emotive/Cognitive Therapy</li> <li>Assignment #9 Due: Reaction to Reid Minot</li> <li>Midterm Quiz Due</li> </ul>	Evidence Based Practice SAMHSA, Reducing wait time improves treatment access, retention, p. 1-5 (Network for the Improvement of Addiction Treatment- NIATx, Strengthening Treatment Access and Retention-State Implementation-STAR-SI)  Sindelar & Ball, Cost Evaluation of Evidence-Based Treatments, p. 44-51.  Gestalt Therapy Buchbinder, Gestalt therapy and its application to alcoholism treatment, p.49-67.  Shorkey & Uebel, Gestalt Therapy, p. 1-6
		1-6.

July 16 Class 12 Cont.		Behavioral Couples Therapy O'Farrell & Fals-Stewart, Behavioral couples therapy for alcoholism and drug abuse, p. 49-58.
		Powers, Vedel & Emmelkamp, Behavioral Couples Therapy: A Meta Analysis, p. 952-962.
		Contingency Management Higgins et al., Contingent reinforcement, p. 64-72.
		Rawson et. al., A comparison of contingency management and cognitive behavioral approach, p. 267-274.
		Promoting Awareness of Motivational Incentives Training Website: www.ATTCnetwork.org/PAMI
		Rational Emotive / Cognitive Therapy Ellis, McInerney, DiGiuseppe & Yeager, Rational emotive therapy with alcoholics and substance abusers, p. 22-37.
		McHugh et. al., Cognitive behavioral therapy for substance use disorders, p. 511-525.
July 18 Class 13	Graduate Student Assignments & Presentations	GCATTC-Post Form
	Assignment #10 Due: Reaction to an open "12-step meeting"	
July 23 Class 14	In Class Second Quiz	GCATTC-Follow-up form
		UT Course Evaluation

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### Introduction

- Clay, R. (2007). Reducing wait time improves treatment access, retention. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 15(5), 1-5.
- SAMHSA. (2007). Reducing wait time improves treatment access, retention. *SAMHSA News*, 15. Retrieved from http://www.samhsa.gov/SAMHSA\_News/VolumeXV\_5/September\_October\_2007.pdf
- Sindelar, J.L. & Ball, S.A. Cost Evaluation of Evidence-Based Treatments. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice*, *5*(2), 44-51.
- Whitten, L. (2006). Court-mandated treatment works as well as voluntary. NIDA Notes, 20(6), 1&6.

### **Spontaneous Recovery/Natural Recovery**

- Cunningham, J.A., Koski-Jännes, A., and Toneatto, T. (2000) Why do people stop their drug use? Results from a general population sample. *Contemporary Drug Problems*, 26, 695-710.
- Ludwig, A. (1985). Cognitive processes associated with "spontaneous" recovery from alcoholism. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 46, 53-58.

### Intervention

- Johnson, VE. (1986). Intervention: How to help someone who does not want help. San Francisco: Harper & Row. 61-87.
- Liepman, Nirenberg & Begin (1989). Evaluation of a program designed to help family and significant others to motivate resistant alcoholics into recovery. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 15(2), 209-221.
- Loneck, Garrett & Banks (1996). A Comparison of Johnson intervention with four other methods of referral to outpatient treatment. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 22(2), 233-246.

# <u>12-Step</u>

- Alcoholics Anonymous. (1996). Alcoholics Anonymous. New York: World Service, Inc.
- Krentzman, A. R. (2007). The evidence base for the effectiveness of Alcoholics Anonymous: Implications for social work practice. *Journal of Social Work Practice in the Addications*, 7(4), 27-48.

### **Minnesota Model & Support Groups**

- Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. (Sage, 2008). Minnesota Model. *Encyclopedia of Substance Abuse Prevention*, *Treatment*, and *Recovery*, 547-549.
- Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. (Sage, 2008). Secular Organizations for Sobriety (SOS). *Encyclopedia of Substance Abuse Prevention, Treatment, and Recovery*, 815-816.
- Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. (Sage, 2008). Women for Sobriety, Encyclopedia of Substance Abuse Prevention,

Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. (Sage, 2008). Rational Recovery. *Encyclopedia of Substance Abuse Prevention*, *Treatment*, and *Recovery*, 1759-761.

### **Drug Court**

- Finn, P. & Newlyn, A. K. (June 1993). Miami's "Drug court": A different approach, Dade County diverts drug defendants to court- run rehabilitation program. *Program Focus*. Miami: National Institute of Justice, 1-15.
- Kerl, D. J. & Parsons, K. (Under Supervision of Clayton Shorkey), (2009). Rearrest and retention in the Travis County Drug Court. Austin, TX: University of Texas at Austin.
- Longshore, D., Turner, S., Wenzel, S., Morral, A., Harrell, A., McBride, D., Deschenes, E., & Iguchi, M. (2001). Drug Courts: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 31(1), 7-26.
- Patra, J. et. al. (2010). Factors associated with treatment compliance and its effects on retention among participants in a court-mandated treatment program. *Contemporary Drug Problems*, 37, 289-313.

#### **Therapeutic Communities**

- Bantchevska, D., Erdem, G., Patton, R., Linley, J., Letcher, A., Bonomi, A., & Slesnick, N. (2011). Predictors of Drop-In Center Attendance among Substance-Abusing Homeless Adolescents. *Social Work Research*, 35(1), 58-63.
- Burdon, W. M. et. al. (2007). Differential effectiveness of residential versus outpatient aftercare for parolees from prison-based therapeutic community treatment programs. *Substance Abuse Treatment*, *Prevention*, and *Policy*, 2(16), 2-16.
- DeLeon, G. (1988). The therapeutic community and behavior science. In B.A. Ray <u>Learning factors in substance abuse</u>. Rockville, MD: Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental\_Health Administration.
- Guydish, J., Werdegar, D., Sorensen, J. L., Clark, W., & Acampora, A. (1995). A day treatment program in a therapeutic community setting: Six month outcomes The Walden House day treatment program. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 12(6), 441-447.
- Knight, K., Simpson, D. D., & Hiller, M. L. (1999). Three year reincarceration outcomes for in prison therapeutic community treatment in Texas. *The Prison Journal*, 79(3), 337-351.
- Waters, J. A., Fazio, S. L., Hernandez, L., & Segarra, J. (2002). The story of CURA, a Hispanic/Latino drug therapeutic community. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 1(1), 113-134.

#### **Pharmacological Treatment Approaches**

- Parran, T.V., Adelman, C.A., Merkin, B., Pagano, M.E., Defranco, R., Ionescu, R.A., & Mace, A.G. (2010). Long-term outcomes of office-based buprenorphine / naloxone maintenance therapy. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 106. 56-60.
- Rawson, R. A., McCann, M. J., & Hasson, A. L. (2000). Pharmacotherapies for substance abuse treatment: The beginning of a new era. *Counselor*, 1(1), 18-24.
- Roman, et al., Using medication-assisted treatment for substance use disorders: Evidence of barriers and facilitators of implementation. *Addictive Behaviors*, *36*, 584-589.

Rubio, G., Ponce, G., Rodriguez-Jumenez, R., Jimenez-Arriero, M.A. Hoenicka, J., & Palomo, T. (2005) Clinical predictors of response to Naltrexone in alcoholic patients: who benefits most from treatment with Naltrexone? *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 40(3), 227-233.

#### Faith-Based Programs/Mindfulness/ACT

- Bowen, S., Witkiewitz, K., Dillworth, T.M., Chawla, N., Simpson, T.L., Ostafin, B.D., & Larimer, M.E. (2006). Mindfulness Meditation and Subtance Use in an Incarcerated Population. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 20(3), 343-347.
- Groves, Paramabandhu, & Farmer, Roger (1994). Buddhism and addictions. *Addictions Research*, 2(2), 183-194.
- Heffner, M. et. al. (2003). Valued directions: Acceptance and commitment therapy in the treatment of alcohol dependence. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 10, 378-383.
- Kabat-Zinn, Jon (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 144-156.
- Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. & Windsor, L. (2008). Measuring dimensions of spirituality in chemical dependence treatment and recovery. *International Journal of Mental Health & Addictions*, 6, 286-305.
- Shorkey, C. T. & Windsor, L. C. (2010). Inventory of spirituality in alcohol/other drug research: Psychometric dimensions. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, 28, 17-37.

#### **Special Populations**

- Shorkey, C., Windsor, L.C., & Spence, R. (2008). Assessing Culturally Competent Chemical Dependence Treatment Services for Mexican Americans. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 36(1), 61-74.
- Shorkey, C., Windsor, L.C., & Spence, R. (2009). Systematic Assessment of Culturally Competent Chemical Dependence Treatment Services for African Americans. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, 8, 113-128.

### **Alternate Therapies**

- Dingle, G.A., Gleadhill, L., & Baker, F.A. (2008). Can music therapy engage patients in group cognitive behaviour therapy for substance abuse treatment? *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 27, p. 190-196.
- Rhinewine, J.P. & Williams, O.J. (2007). Holotropic Breathwork: The Potential Role of a Prolonged, Voluntary Hyperventilation Procedure as an Adjunct to Psychotherapy. *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 13(7), 771-776.

# Empirically/Clinically Supported Approaches: Gestalt, Cognitive & Behavioral Techniques

- Buchbinder, J. (1986). Gestalt therapy and its application to alcoholism treatment. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, *3*(3), 49-67.
- Shorkey, C. & Uebel, M. (2008). Gestalt Therapy, Encyclopedia of Social Work, 1-5.
- Ellis, A., McInerney, J. F., DiGiuseppe, R., & Yeager, R. J. (1988). *Rational-Emotive therapy with alcoholics and substance abusers*. New York: Pergamon Press, 22-37.

- Higgins, S. T., Wong, C. J., Ogden, D. E., & Dantona, R. L. (2001). Contingent reinforcement increases cocaine abstinence during outpatient treatment and one year follow-up. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(1), 64-72.
- McHugh, R. K., Hearon, B. A. & Oho, M. W. (2010). Cognitive behavioral therapy for substance use disorders. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, *33*, 511-525.
- O'Farrell, T. J. & Fals-Stewart, W. (2000). Behavioral couples therapy for alcoholism and drug abuse. *The Behavior Therapist*, 23(3), 49-58.
- O'Farrell, T.J., Murphy, M., Alter, J., & Fals-Stewart, W. (2010). Behavioral family counseling for substance abuse: A Treatment Development Pilot Study. *Addictive Behaviors*, 35(1), 1-6.
- Petry, N., Martin, B., Cooney, J. L., & Kranzler, H. R. (2000). Give them prizes and they will come: Contingency management for treatment of alcohol dependence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(2), 250-257.
- Powers, M. B., Vedel, E. & Emmelkamp, P. M. C. (2008). Behavioral couples therapy (BCT) for alcohol and drug use disorders: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 28, 952-962.
- Rawson, R. A. et. al. (2006). A comparison of contingency management and cognitive-behavioral approach for stimulant-dependent individuals. *Addictive*, 101, 267-274.
- Ruff, S., McComb, J., Coker, C.J., & Sprenkle, D.H. (2010). Behavioral Couples Therapy for the Treatment of Substance Abuse: A Substansive and Methodological Review of O'Farrell, Fals Stewart, and Colleagues' Program of Research. *Family Process*, 49(4), 439-456.