

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
STEVE HICKS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

Course Number:	SW395K	Instructor:	Diana DiNitto
Unique Number	61610	Office Number:	SSW 3.130B
Semester:	Spring 2018	Office Phone:	512-471-9227
Meeting Time:	T 11:30-2:30	E mail:	ddinitto@mail.utexas.edu
Meeting Place:	Room 2.122	Office Hours:	T 2:30-3:45 & by appointment

**Specialization Area Seminar:
Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Course**

I. Standardized Course Description

The purpose of the comprehensive exam is to demonstrate knowledge of the substantive issues, key research questions, theory, empirical evidence, and implications related to a specific area of interest to the student. As such, the exam is an extension of the work that students have conducted during the previous year and a half in the doctoral program. The knowledge gained and summarized for papers from coursework may be useful in defining the scope and content of this exam.

The comprehensive exam is a collaborative process between the student and her/his comprehensive exam committee chair and participation by two other social work faculty members. The paper is meant to assist in building a solid foundation for an extended body of academic work. The topic must be broad enough to give the student a firm foundation in an area of study that can sustain a long-term research agenda, i.e., the comprehensive exam is not intended to be background merely for a single research project such as the dissertation. It aims to include the background and knowledge base of a larger area of focus that will provide the context for the student's dissertation. On the other hand, the topic cannot be so broad that it loses meaning as an identifiable body of work.

The course is a seminar designed to provide on-going support and feedback concerning the process of developing the area of focus and the various components of the comprehensive exam paper. It has been designed to maximize progress on the paper. Unlike other courses in the doctoral sequence, this course focuses more on the process of developing this document than on the mastery of content from lectures and readings. As such, the writing assignments that are to be completed should support the objectives of the comprehensive paper. It is the responsibility of the student to complete the various sections leading to the final document and the various writing assignments are meant to facilitate this process.

II. Standardized Course Objectives

By the beginning of this course, each student should have identified the chair of her/his comprehensive examination and identify two additional social work faculty members to serve on the exam committee. The chair should agree to provide guidance during this course and

throughout the process. By the end of the class, students are expected to develop a first-draft of the comprehensive exam paper that is complete with all areas in the paper outline.

III. Teaching Methods

This course focuses on the development of an individual comprehensive exam paper. The course will be conducted largely in seminar format and will include independent thinking and writing, student presentations of their work, class discussion, and guest speakers (as may be helpful). The bulk of the reading will be on the student's topic area and focus of her/his paper. These substantive readings will be directed by the student, the chair of the comprehensive exam committee, and others that the student views as necessary for additional consultation. The course instructor has suggested additional reading on topics of general interest to the class focused on conducting literature reviews and on the writing process. Class time will be an opportunity to think further about synthesizing readings, ask questions, seek guidance, and receive feedback.

The course instructor will provide critiques of your work (in addition to any critiques your comprehensive exam committee chair and/or committee members wish to provide during the semester). We will also use a buddy or partner system in which each class member will be paired with another class member. Your partner will be asked to read your materials and provide peer support and helpful comments.

We will alternate between group meetings and individual meetings as the course schedule indicates. In addition to any written feedback your comprehensive exam committee chair wishes to provide during the semester, she/he is invited to join us during individual meetings to ensure timely progress and that we are working in concert with one another in the process of developing the comp paper. If our regular class time is not convenient for individual meetings, we will look for mutually agreeable times.

IV. Required Texts and Materials

Reading will focus largely on the comprehensive exam paper topic you have selected. The following texts are suggested as ones that may be helpful in conducting the literature review and in the synthesis, writing, and critical thinking processes. Since students' needs may differ, each student may select readings useful for her/him.

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author. This is the referencing style generally used by social workers and the one adopted for most work in the UT Austin Steve Hicks School of Social Work. Other style manuals are available should students wish to consult them.

Chronicle of Higher Education. (2014). *Why Academic Writing Stinks and How to Fix It* by Steven Pinker. This is the lead article of a packet of five articles. Available at <http://results.chronicle.com/LP=1220?elqTrackId=1D5CFC8051C60C00CC12715CB2C1A948&elq=bf5a43894199447995d625f2c80c3fb7&elqaid=7468&elqat=1&elqCampaignId=2181>

Cooper, H., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (Eds.). (2009). *Handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Russell Sage Foundation. Available electronically at UT

Libraries website. This edited volume contains considerable information specific to meta-analysis such as on analyzing effect sizes. There are chapters particularly relevant to the comprehensive exam paper (e.g., use of databases, research synthesis, assessing quality of literature).

Galvan, J. L. (2014). *Writing literature reviews: A guide for students in the social and behavioral sciences* (6th ed.). New York: Routledge. This is a basic, practical guide. Section IV of this syllabus (Class Schedule) refers to chapters in this book should students wish to consult them.

Rudestan, K. E., & Newton, R. R. (2015). *Surviving your dissertation* (4th ed.). Los Angeles: SAGE. There are many books on writing the dissertation. Though our course focuses on the comprehensive exam paper, this book contains information helpful in writing the comprehensive exam such as conducting literature reviews, understanding research studies, etc. It may also help you start thinking ahead to the dissertation.

Strunk, W., Jr., & White, E. B. (2013). *The elements of style* (4th ed.). Boston: Pearson. This classic, relatively brief volume focuses on language usage, rules of grammar, and punctuation.

Williams, J. M., & Bizup, J. (2014). *Style: Lessons in clarity and grace* (11th ed.). Boston: Pearson. This book is designed you help improve your writing.

V. Course Requirements

The reading, writing, class exercises, and discussions are designed to facilitate continuous development of the comprehensive exam paper. The course instructor will do her best to provide feedback on content, substance, writing style, and other elements of the paper (e.g., conformity of the drafts to the purpose and outline of the work). This feedback is meant to be helpful in preparing the comprehensive exam paper. The course instructor assigns a grade (Credit/No Credit) for this course, but the student's comprehensive exam committee chair and committee members determine whether the work meets the comprehensive examination paper requirements. The course assignments and due dates are provided immediately below and are also noted on the course schedule. The outline or structure of each student's comprehensive exam paper may differ somewhat, but these assignments are intended to reflect the material generally included in the comprehensive exam paper.

Assignment Due Dates

Week 1, Jan. 16: A one paragraph description of your comprehensive exam (comp) paper topic, purpose, and importance and tentative title

Week 2, Jan. 23: Ten page initial draft of first section(s) of comp paper

Week 3, Jan. 30: Outline of your comp paper; include the number of pages you tentatively plan to devote to each section. Review, contrast, and be prepared to discuss two successfully defended comp papers (no written report is required).

Week 4, Feb. 6: A table/s summarizing the conceptual literature relevant to your comp paper

Week 5, Feb. 13: A 15-minute discussion of concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks underlying your comp paper based on the work you have done thus far

- Week 6, Feb. 20: Draft of section on concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks underlying comp paper
- Week 7, Feb. 27: A 15-minute discussion of the empirical literature you have reviewed to date
- Week 8, Mar. 6: A table/s summarizing the empirical studies/literature relevant to your comp paper that you have reviewed to date
- Week 9 (prior to spring break): Draft of section on empirical studies/findings relevant to your comp paper based on your work to date (or submit this later if necessary)
- Week 10, Mar. 20: A 15-minute discussion of your critique of the conceptual and empirical literature relevant to your comp paper based on your work to date
- Week 11, Mar. 27: Draft of critique of conceptual and empirical literature relevant to your comp paper
- Week 12, Apr. 3: Draft of section on implications for research, policy, and social work education and practice relevant to your comp paper and a 10-15 minute discussion of it
- Week 13, Apr. 10: Draft of entire comp paper this week or next week
- Week 14, Apr. 17: Work on revising the comp paper draft as needed
- Week 15, Apr. 24: Revised draft of comp paper
- Week 16, May 1: Be prepared to provide a summary of your comp paper and comments on the comp paper process in class

VI. Grades

This course is offered on a CREDIT/NO CREDIT basis. Receiving CREDIT means that the student has met **all** the requirements of written assignments (to at a reasonable degree), presentations, attendance, feedback to class partner, and participation in class discussion and exercises in a timely fashion and at the level of depth the comprehensive exam requirement demands. NO CREDIT means failure to meet one or more of these requirements. Appendix A contains a rubric that can be used as a general guide for assessing the extent to which each component of the paper meets requirements.

VII. Class Policies

Professional conduct: Your attendance, attention, and participation are expected for all class sessions. Participation includes completing all required work prior to each class session and engaging in class discussions and exercises. Lively class discussion is encouraged. Respectful communication is necessary to the learning environment. Please turn off cell phone ringers and refrain from text messaging and other non-class activities. Students are also expected to observe professional codes of conduct with regard to confidentiality, language, dress, and other matters and exercise good judgment during classes and, should the need arise, while working with agencies, organizations, and other entities on assignments for this course.

Attendance: Arriving late and/or leaving early are considered class absences. **Ten points** will be deducted from the final course grade for the first class absence not due to illness, an (unforeseen) emergency, or observance of a religious holy day (attending weddings and family reunions or work conflicts are generally not cause for an excused absence). Generally, missing two or more classes will result in a grade of No Credit for the course or the need to

withdraw from the course regardless of circumstances.

Submitting assignments:

- All work should be word-processed (typewritten) and usually double-spaced in 12-point font and with reasonable margins.
- Assignment due dates are noted in the course calendar. Each assignment is due in hard and electronic copy (posted to the Canvas course site) by the date specified. Except in the case of serious illness or an emergency, assignments are expected to be submitted on time.
- The current edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (APA) is the style manual to be used.
- Appropriate referencing of citations (authors, titles, page numbers, etc.) is required. This includes giving due credit to others when indirect quotes (paraphrasing) and direct (verbatim) quotes are used. Short direct quotes (of 5 to 39 words) must be placed in quotation marks. Long direct quotes (40 or more words) must be indented. Paraphrasing requires more than changing a word or two in a sentence. Failure to give due credit to others will result in an “F” for the course. **Plagiarism is a form of scholastic dishonesty and will be addressed as such (see next item).**

Scholastic Dishonesty: Scholastic dishonesty in any of its forms may result in a report to the Assistant Dean for Doctoral Education in the School of Social Work, the Dean of the School of Social Work, the Dean of the Graduate School, and/or Student Judicial Services. Students may receive an “F” for the course and other sanctions in accordance with UT Austin policies, including dismissal from the university. Also see information on UT’s policy on scholastic dishonesty at <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/>.

Course modifications: Should any modifications or changes need to be made to the course (e.g., calendar, assignments), students will be notified in class and/or by e-mail. Other course communications may also be done via e-mail (also see UT’s policy on e-mail below in section VI). Students should check their e-mail frequently, and students who are absent from class should check on announcements made in class during their absence. We will periodically take time to review the course format and schedule and make modifications that the instructor and students deem necessary to facilitate progress on preparing the comprehensive exam paper.

Canvas: The Canvas website may be used for some class functions such as posting course documents and noting completion of assignments.

VIII. University Policies

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND CIVILITY IN THE CLASSROOM. The professor expects

students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at <https://cmhc.utexas.edu/>.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image.

Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as The University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON SCHOLASTIC DISHONESTY. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students: <http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/>.

USE OF COURSE MATERIALS. The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT. Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). A student should present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed and followed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit: <http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/>.

RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS. By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, examination, work assignment, or project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

TITLE IX REPORTING. In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct based on gender. Faculty, instructors, agency-based field instructors, staff, and/or teaching assistants in their supervisory roles are mandated reporters of incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual violence, or sexual misconduct. Students who report such incidents will be informed of University resources. Incidents will be reported to the University's Title IX Coordinator and/or the Title IX Deputy for the SSW, Professor Tanya Voss. Students, faculty and staff may contact Professor Voss to report incidents or to obtain information. Further information, including student resources related to Title IX, may also be found at <http://socialwork.utexas.edu/dl/files/academic-programs/other/qrg-sexualharassment.pdf>.

CAMPUS CARRY POLICY. The University's policy on concealed fire arms may be found here: <https://campuscarry.utexas.edu>. You also may find this information by accessing the Quick Links menu on the School's website.

CLASSROOM CONFIDENTIALITY. Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and

personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by regulations of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) as well. As such, sharing this information with individuals outside of the educational context is not permitted. Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

USE OF E-MAIL FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO STUDENTS. Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible for keeping the university informed about a change of e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin’s policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student’s responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS ADVICE LINE (BCAL). If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual’s behavior. This service is provided through a partnership between the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors in the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor’s instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

IX. Course Schedule

Date	Topics	Assignments Due	Readings
Week 1 Jan. 16	Course overview Students' presentations of their comprehensive exam paper topic, importance, and purpose Discussion of progress to date and future plans Follow up to conducting literature reviews Preparation for compiling material, developing annotations and tables of relevant literature (conceptual and empirical articles, books, reports), and other resources Questions and concerns	Review comprehensive exam requirements. Please bring to class seven copies (one for each class member and one for the instructor) of a one-paragraph description of your comprehensive exam paper topic and its importance and purpose, including a tentative title for the paper.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Introduction to the Sixth Edition; Chapter 1, Writing Reviews of Academic Literature: An Overview; Chapter 2, Considerations in Writing Reviews for Specific Purposes; Chapter 3, Selecting a Topic and Identifying Literature for Review
Week 2 Jan. 23	Individual meetings with course instructor and comprehensive exam committee chair should she/he be available and wish to participate (hereafter referred to as "individual meetings")	Prior to this meeting , submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a draft of approximately 10 pages or more of the first section(s) of your comprehensive exam paper.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 4, General Guidelines for Analyzing Literature; Chapter 5, Analyzing Quantitative Research Literature; Chapter 6, Analyzing Qualitative Research Literature

<p>Week 3 Jan. 30</p>	<p>Discussion of the outline for your comprehensive exam paper and progress with literature review, compiling material, developing annotations and tables of relevant literature (conceptual and empirical articles, books, reports), and other resources</p> <p>Discussion of successfully defended comprehensive exam papers</p> <p>Preparation for organizing and writing materials on concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks relevant to your comprehensive exam paper</p> <p>Reflections from students in previous cohorts who have successfully defended their comp paper</p>	<p>Bring to class seven copies of the outline for your comprehensive exam paper; include the number of pages you plan to devote to each section.</p> <p>Review two successfully defended comprehensive exam papers (available at the Ph.D. student website) and be prepared to discuss them in class (e.g., describe and compare and contrast them with regard to their structure, strengths, etc.).</p>	<p>Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 7, Building Tables to Summarize Literature; Chapter 8, Synthesizing Literature Prior to Writing a Review; Appendices A-F, Model Literature Reviews</p>
<p>Week 4 Feb. 6</p>	<p>Individual meetings</p>	<p>Prior to this meeting, submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a table/s that summarize/s the conceptual literature you have been reviewing for your comprehensive exam paper.</p>	<p>Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper</p>
<p>Week 5 Feb. 13</p>	<p>Discussion of concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks relevant to your comprehensive exam paper</p> <p>Preparation for writing materials on empirical studies/findings relevant to your comprehensive exam paper</p>	<p>Be prepared to spend 15 minutes discussing the concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks underlying your comprehensive exam paper.</p>	<p>Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 9, Guidelines for Writing a First Draft; Chapter 10, Guidelines for Developing a Coherent Essay</p>
<p>Week 6 Feb. 20</p>	<p>Individual meetings</p>	<p>Prior to this meeting, submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a draft of materials that review the concepts, theories, models, and conceptual frameworks relevant to your comprehensive exam paper based on the table/s submitted in Week 4 and any work done since then.</p>	<p>Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 11, Guidelines on Style, Mechanics, and Language Usage</p>

Week 7 Feb. 27	Initial discussion of empirical studies/findings relevant to your comprehensive exam paper Preparation for writing critique of conceptual literature and research studies relevant to your comprehensive exam paper	Be prepared to spend 15 minutes discussing the empirical literature you have reviewed to date.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 12, Incorporating Feedback and Refining the First Draft
Week 8 Mar. 6	Individual meetings At this point we will assess if modifications are needed to the course schedule.	Prior to this meeting , submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a table/s that summarize/s the empirical literature you have been reviewing for your comprehensive exam paper.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 13, Preparing a Reference List
Week 9 Mar. 13	Spring break	Prior to spring break , submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a draft of the section of your comp paper discussing empirical findings relevant to your comprehensive exam paper based on the table/s submitted in Week 8 and any work done since then, <i>and then get some well deserved R&R</i> . Those who need additional time may submit this section later.	
Week 10 Mar. 20	Discussion of critique of conceptual literature and research studies relevant to your comprehensive exam paper Prepare for writing section on implications for research, policy, and social work education and practice	Be prepared to spend 15 minutes discussing your critique of the conceptual and empirical literature relevant to your comprehensive exam paper.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper
Week 11 Mar. 27	Individual meetings	Prior to this meeting , submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a draft of materials that critique the conceptual literature and research studies relevant to your comprehensive exam paper.	Readings specific to your comprehensive exam paper and, for example, Galvan, Chapter 14, Comprehensive Self-editing Checklist for Refining the Final Draft

Week 12 Apr. 3	Discussion of section on implications for social work practice, social work education, and social welfare policy and administration relevant to your comprehensive exam paper	Prior to this class , submit to the course instructor, committee chair, and your partner a draft of the implications section of your comprehensive exam paper, and be prepared to spend 10-15 minutes discussing it. Assess overall progress on comp paper.	Any additional readings specific to your comp paper.
Week 13 Apr. 10	Individual meetings	Prior to this meeting or the next , submit a complete draft of your comprehensive exam paper to the course instructor and your committee chair.	
Week 14 Apr. 17	Discussion of comprehensive exam defense procedures/format Reflections from students who have successfully defended their comp paper	Work on revisions to your comprehensive exam paper.	
Week 15 Apr. 24	Individual meetings	Prior to this meeting , submit a revised draft of your comprehensive exam paper to the course instructor and your committee chair	
Week 16 May 1	Final presentations of comprehensive exam papers and comments on the process Course instructor survey Celebration of students' accomplishments (comprehensive exam committee chairs and program administrators will be invited)	Be prepared to summarize the content of your comprehensive exam paper, comment on the process, and provide any feedback on the course you wish.	

X. Course Evaluation

In addition to the official Course Instructor Survey that all students will be asked to complete at the end of the term, the instructor will request feedback from students at various points during the term. Students' input is welcome throughout the term to improve the course.

Appendix A: Rubric for assessing sections of the comprehensive exam paper

	Excellent/good	Poor	Failure	Comments
Outline	Contains all major relevant topics	Fails to include some relevant topics	Fails to include all/almost all relevant topics	
Abstract	Fully summarizes the major points of the paper	Fails to include some of the major points of the paper	Fails to include all/most all major points of the paper	
Introduction (subject, importance, and purpose of the paper)	Fully explicates the topic, importance, and purpose of the paper	Fails to explicate either the topic, importance, or purpose of the paper	Fails to explicate the topic, importance, and purpose of the paper	
Section/s describing concepts, models, theories, and theoretical frameworks	Fully describes the concepts, models, theories, and theoretical frameworks identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully describe some of the concepts, models, theories, and theoretical frameworks identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully describe most of the concepts, models, theories, and theoretical frameworks identified as necessary for the paper	
Conceptual lit table/s	Includes all the relevant conceptual literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully include some of the conceptual literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully include most of the conceptual literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	
Section/s describing empirical literature	Fully describes the empirical literature identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully describe some of the empirical literature identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully describe most of the empirical literature identified as necessary for the paper	
Empirical lit table/s	Includes all the relevant empirical literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to fully include all the relevant conceptual literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	Fails to include most of the relevant conceptual literature and its components identified as necessary for the paper	

Section/s on critique of conceptual and empirical lit	Provides a complete critique of the conceptual and empirical literature on the topic	Fails to include some points necessary for a complete critique of the conceptual and empirical literature on the topic	Fails to include many or most of the points necessary for a complete critique of the conceptual and empirical literature on the topic	
Section/s on implications for research, policy, and social work education and practice	Fully covers the literature's implications for research, policy, and social work education and practice	Fails to include some of the literature's implications for research, policy, and/or social work education and practice	Fails to include many or most of the literature's implications for research, policy, and social work education and practice	
Quality of writing	Adheres to the elements of good writing style (grammatical structure, use of active voice, organization, clarity, etc.) to a high degree	Fails to adhere to some of the elements of good writing style (grammatical structure, use of active voice, organization, clarity, etc.)	Fails to adhere to many or most of the elements of good writing style (grammatical structure, use of active voice, organization, clarity, etc.)	
Referencing style	Contains all relevant references and adheres to APA style	Missing some references and/or fails to adhere to some points of APA style	Missing many references and/or fails to adhere to many or most points of APA style	