THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

Course Number: SW 383R Instructor: Susan Walsh, MSSW

Semester: Fall 2011 Email: slwalsh@austin.utexas.edu

Unique Number: 61880 Office: 3.124H

Website: TBD Office Phone: 471-7451

Meeting Place: 2.112 Office Hours: Thursdays 8:00 am-

8:30 am, 12:30-1:00 pm and by appointment

SW 383R: Social Work Practice I

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course provides an introduction to social work practice methodology and the professional use of self from a generalist perspective for social work practice with diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities in conjunction with field education.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, the students will be able to:

- 1. Identify and begin to apply social work values and principles of ethical decision making as articulated by the NASW Code of Ethics (PB 2, 8);
- 2. Demonstrate an understanding of professional use of self and awareness of personal values in the development and maintenance of purposeful, problem solving relationships with multiple levels of client systems, as well as cooperative and constructive relationships with agency staff, other professionals and community members (**PB 2, 3, 7**);
- 3. Utilize professional communication skills, supervision and consultation in the effective and ethical provision of services to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities (PB 2, 5, 6, 30);
- 4. Demonstrate an understanding of the impact of organizational and community context on service delivery through the in depth analysis of the assigned field agency (PB 29, 36);
- 5. Demonstrate knowledge of the historical roots and major developments of the social work profession and its commitment to promote social and economic justice for populations at risk (PB 5, 8);
- 6. Systematically apply an eco-systems framework to engage client systems in identifying target systems and goals, and in analyzing, implementing and evaluating interventions that enhance client system strengths, capacities, assets and resources in relation to their environment (PB 33, 34, 38, 39);

- 7. Recognize the influence of socioeconomic status, age, developmental level, gender, culture, race, ethnicity, physical status, sexual orientation and spiritual beliefs on assessment, planning, intervention and evaluation at all levels of practice (**PB 32, 33, 34, 38**);
- 8. Demonstrate familiarity with basic concepts and methodology related to work with small groups in agency, organization and community settings (PB 29, 31, 35, 36);
- 9. Identify the importance of empirically based knowledge to evaluate and improve practice, policy and social service delivery (**PB 35, 36, 37**).

The School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, we engage in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Several required courses in our curriculum are part of this ongoing assessment, including this course. Below is a list of the specific Educational Policies (EP) and Practice Behaviors (PB) that are assessed in this course. The complete EPAS can be optioned from your Student Handbook.

EP 2.1.1 Identify as a professional social worker and conduct oneself accordingly.

PB2 Practice personal reflection and self-correction to assure continual professional development Objectives: 1, 2 and 3

Assignment: Student Interview Project

Values and Ethics Self-Assessment

PB3 Attend to professional roles and boundaries

Objectives: 2

Assignment: Agency Analysis and Poster Presentation

Student Interview Project

PB5 Engage in career-long learning

Objectives: 3 and 5

Assignment: In Class Discussion

PB6 Use supervision and consultation

Objectives: 3

Assignment: Student Interview Project

EP 2.1.2 Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice.

PB7 Recognize and manage personal values to allow professional values to guide practice

Objectives: 2

Assignment: Values and Ethics Self-Assessment

PB 8 Make ethical decisions by applying NASW Code of Ethics and, as applicable,

IFSW/IASSW ethical principles

Objectives: 1 and 5

Assignment: In Class Discussion and activities

EP 2.1.10a. Engagement

PB29 Substantively and affectively prepare for action with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities

Objectives: 4 and 8

Assignment: Agency Analysis and Poster Presentation

Quizzes, Comprehensive case assessment

PB 30 Use empathy and other interpersonal skills

Objectives: 3

Assignment: Student Interview Project

PB31 Develop a mutually agreed-on focus of work and desired outcomes

Objectives: 8

Assignment: Quizzes

Comprehensive Case Assessment

EP 2.1.10b. Assessment

PB 32 Collect, organize, and interpret client data

Objectives: 7

Assignment: Quizzes

Comprehensive Case Assessment

In class activities

PB 33 Assess client strengths and limitations

Objectives: 6 and 7 Assignment: Quizzes

Comprehensive Case Assessment

In class activities

PB 34 Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives

Objectives: 6 and 7 Assignment: Quizzes

In class activity

PB35 Select appropriate intervention strategies

Objectives: 8 and 9 Assignment: Quizzes

Comprehensive Case Assessment

EP 2.1.10c. Intervention

PB36 Initiate actions to achieve organizational goals

Objectives: 4, 8 and 9

Assignment: Agency Analysis and Poster Presentation

PB37 Implement prevention intervention that enhances client capacities

Objectives: 9

Assignment: Comprehensive Case Assessment

In class activities

PB 38 Help clients resolve problems

Objectives: 6 and 7

Assignment: Comprehensive Case Assessment

In class activities

PB39 Negotiate, mediate, and advocate for clients

Objectives: 6

Assignment: Comprehensive Case Assessment In class activities

III. TEACHING METHODS

This class will be taught using a variety of methods with an emphasis on experiential learning via an active learning centered model. Teaching methods might include role-plays and simulations, case examples, student presentations, videos, class discussions, small group interactions, collegial consultation, lectures and guest lectures. The assignments will provide the opportunity for "learning by doing" in role-play, small groups, and individual and group projects. To achieve success in learning in this course, you must be willing to participate, risk yourself, stretch your creativity, and attend class. You are encouraged to ask questions as well as provide the professor with information and feedback.

IV. REQUIRED & RECOMMENDED TEXTS AND MATERIALS

Required: Hepworth, D., Rooney, R., Rooney, G., Strom-Gottried, K.,

Larson, J. (2010). Direct Social Work Practice. Belmont CA:

Brooks/Cole.

Roberts, A.R. (Ed.) (2009). Social Workers' Desk Reference.

Oxford: University Press.

All Required Readings listed on the class schedule, that are not found in the Hepworth/Rooney textbook or Social Workers' Desk Reference, will be available via Blackboard and/or e-reserves. If readings are added after the beginning of the class semester, you will be notified by the Professor.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. Values and Ethics Self-Assessment (50 pts) Due: 9/15/11

In order to begin the process of self-assessment of personal values, students will analyze an area of the NASW Code of Ethics which might challenge personal values and/or beliefs. Detailed guidelines for this assignment will be posted on Blackboard.

2. Agency Analysis Paper and Poster Presentation (60 pts) Due: 10/13/11

This assignment will give students the opportunity to collect information about their field placement agency and present this information in written, visual and verbal format. Students will create an informational poster for presentation to the class and turn in a written paper and reflection. Detailed guidelines for this assignment will be posted on Blackboard.

3. Student Interview Project (40 pts)

Feedback Group Meetings: 10/26/2011, 10/27/2011, 10/28/2011 Self-Assessment paper due: 11/3/11

Each student will select a student from class and videotape an interview with that student. The video will be reviewed by the professor and a video review team, and feedback will be provided. Each student will write a critique of his or her social work skills based on the videotaped interview and feedback from the review team. This assignment will give students an opportunity to practice professional engagement/communication skills, practice giving feedback to peers, and practice self-assessment skills. Detailed guidelines for this assignment will be posted on Blackboard.

4. Comprehensive Case Assessment and Reflection (100 pts)

Draft Due: 11/10/2011

Completed Assessment and Reflection Due: 11/17/2010

A comprehensive case assessment on a client from the student's field caseload is required. The client chosen must be one with whom the student has worked. Students will use a multidimensional approach in critically assessing the client's problem(s), systems, cultural context and strengths and challenges. The final product will state the students impressions based on their critical assessment and a suggested intervention plan. Students will turn in a draft of their assessment for feedback from their peers. The completed assessment will include a brief reflection memo. Detailed guidelines for this assignment will be posted on Blackboard.

5. Quizzes and in Class Application (100 pts total for all quizzes) Random During the semester, unannounced quizzes will be given to encourage timely reading of the course material. Quizzes will be given on random dates. The final quiz will be given on the last day of class. In addition, students may participate in graded in class application of material.

6. Class Participation (50 pts)

Students are expected to attend each class, arrive on time, return from breaks on time, remain awake, stay for the entire class, and to be actively and meaningfully present for effective learning and demonstration of professional behavior. In order to receive the highest level of participation points, healthy risk-taking for professional growth must be demonstrated. Students must demonstrate openness to learning and work to improve his or her social work skills. Participation points will be determined by attendance, preparation for class, level of interest and effort, respect for others' learning needs, participation/completion of in-class individual and group activities, and contributions to classroom discussions Absences and tardiness will result in a lowered participation grade and excessive absences and/or tardiness may result in disciplinary action. Points will be deducted as follows:

Absent without notifying professor prior to class by phone or email: 10 pts Tardy (including returning late from break): 5 pts
Absence due to illness or emergency with prior notification: 0 pts
Voluntary absence with prior notification: 5 pts

Additionally, for **20 participation points**, students will be required to attend/observe a community task group meeting during the semester. Students

will complete an information sheet on the observation and discuss the experience in class. Detailed guidelines for this assignment will be posted on Blackboard.

DUE DATE: December 1, 2011

Evaluation and Grading: All students will be awarded points based on the quality of their work and adherence to assignment instructions.

Values and Ethics Self Assessment	50 pts
Agency Analysis Paper and Poster Presentation	60 pts
Student Interview Project	40 pts
Comprehensive Case Assessment	100 pts
Quizzes	100 pts
Class Participation	50 pts
Total	400 pts

The grading scale is as follows:

376-400 = A 360-375 = A-348-359 = B+ 336-347= B 320-335 = B-308-319 = C+ 296-307 = C 280-295 = C-268-279 = D+ 256-267 = D 240-255= D-239 and below = F

GRADUATE GRADING SCALE (on 100 point scale equivalent)

94-100	A	74-76	C
90-93	A-	70-73	C-
87-89	B+	67-69	D+
84-86	В	64-66	D
80-83	В-	60-63	D-
77-79	C+	59 and below	F

Please note that you will not earn credit for graduate courses if your grade falls below a 'C'. You must earn a 'C' or above for credit to be given for the course.

VI. CLASS POLICIES

The University of Texas Honor Code

The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is

expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

Professional Conduct in Class

The professor expects students to act like professionals in class. This means students must arrive at class on time, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, give and accept feedback in a open, mature manner and show respect for one another's opinions. We will not, nor should we, always agree with one another. In this environment it is a goal to be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with ideas expressed by others. However, the professor does require that students engage one another with respect and professionalism.

Use of Computers/Cell Phones in the Classroom

Practice I is a practice course, and class participation is essential. Generally,

laptop computers will not be used in the classroom. When laptops are being used in the classroom, it is considered unprofessional and disrespectful to use the computer for anything other than note-taking or relevant data search that will benefit the entire class. Internet surfing, instant messaging, emailing, working on material outside of Practice I, etc. is not permitted and will result in lowered professional accountability grades and possible disciplinary action.

Cell phone ringers should be turned off when class begins and remain off throughout the duration of the class. Text messaging during class is not appropriate.

Attendance and Participation

The skills of leadership, assertiveness and critical thinking are an integral part of effective social work practice. All students are expected to participate fully in class activities and class discussion. Since the overall goal of this course is to help students apply knowledge and theory to practice, the success of the course is dependent upon students assuming an active role in class discussion. Attendance and participation for four hours of class is expected of all students. Students who fail to attend class on a regular basis (missing more than two classes without a valid excuse, e.g., medical documentation) will require a Level Review process. Missing more than two classes with valid excuses may require a Level Review, and absences can lead to students being dropped from both Practice I and Field courses. Students will lose points from their participation grades for being tardy and/or absent. If you are going to be absent or tardy, please contact the professor by email prior to class. This exhibits professionalism.

Maintaining Confidentiality

Information shared in class about agencies and clients is considered confidential according to the NASW Code of Ethics re: the sharing of information for educational purposes. However, discussion outside of class with individuals outside of the course regarding information shared in class about clients, supervisors or agencies is a breach of confidentiality. Breach of confidentiality is grounds for disciplinary action within the School and/or possible expulsion from the program.

Time Management

Students will be penalized 10% of the assignment point value **per calendar day** that an assignment is late. Assignments are due at the beginning of class. Papers turned in after the end of class are considered late. On subsequent days, papers must be submitted before

5:00 p.m. to avoid an additional 10% penalty. Late papers will be accepted via email to the professor.

Professional Communication and Conflict Resolution

In the Practice courses, the student is expected to bring any concerns directly to the professor on an individual basis, if the issue is not appropriate to be discussed in class. Students should be prepared to discuss the concern openly, with willingness to accept feedback, and offer possible solutions.

Email will be a frequently used mode of communication between the professor and cohort. All students are responsible for checking their email on a regular basis for class updates. Students are also responsible for alerting the instructor about any changes to their email addresses. Students can use email to ask the instructor questions between class meetings. However, a student will be expected to use sound professional judgment when deciding what is appropriate to discuss in email as opposed to scheduling an office appointment or visiting the professor during office hours.

Respect for Colleagues/Classmates

Part of professional accountability includes treating others with respect and courtesy. Within the class this entails listening to the opinions and concerns of others with openness, offering suggestions and ideas in a positive and respectful manner, and being willing to promote group cohesiveness in the learning environment.

Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty

Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the website of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (http://www.utexas.edu/depts/dos/sjs/).

APA Guidelines and Crediting Sources

When using information from other sources, references and bibliography should conform to current APA style. Instances of plagiarism will be dealt with according to University policy.

Documented Disability Statement

Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471-6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hearing-impaired). Present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit http://www.utexas.edu/diversity/ddce/ssd/.

Safety

As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and

practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should also notify professors regarding any safety concerns as soon as possible.

Concerns about Grades

Students with concerns or questions about grades are invited to discuss these with the professor. The professor cannot consider grade revisions beyond **one week** after the assignment is returned to the student. When a student requests a grade revision, the assignment will be re-graded. This may result in the grade remaining the same, being raised or being lowered.

Students with concerns related to equitable distribution of work on a group project should first give the colleague in question a chance to address the concerns. Discussing the problem with your peer first is requested, using skillful and direct communication provided in a way that can be heard. If significant concerns remain, the student(s) is/are encouraged to approach the professor during the assignment's completion process, **before** the assignment is due.

Policy on Social Media and Professional Communication

Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image. Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including any information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in any way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

Religious Holidays

By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must

miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

Use of E-Mail for Official Correspondence to Students

Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible to keep the university informed about changes to their e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php.

Use of Blackboard in Class

In this class the professor uses Blackboard—a web-based course management system with password-protected access at http://courses.utexas.edu —to distribute course materials, to communicate and collaborate online, to post grades, to submit assignments, and to give students online quizzes and surveys. Students can find support in using Blackboard at the ITS Help Desk by calling 475-9400, Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Please plan accordingly.

Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)

If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided through a partnership among the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit:

http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal

Emergency Evacuation Policy

Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- •Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- •If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- •In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- •Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

Course-Instructor Survey

Students are encouraged to use their professional social work skills to discuss issues related to class. It is helpful to know of concerns in or about the class before the course-instructor survey results, and the input students provide is very beneficial to planning for the course each week. Students are encouraged to participate in the course/instructor survey at the end of the semester as well, but if issues can be addressed prior to that time, it is usually time well spent. Students are also encouraged to provide ongoing feedback to the professor during office hour visits throughout the semester.

Feedback Statement

During this course the professor will ask students to provide feedback on their learning in informal as well as formal ways, including through anonymous surveys about how the professor's teaching strategies are helping or hindering student learning. It is very important for the professor to know the students' reactions to what is taking place in class, so students are encouraged to respond to these surveys, ensuring that together the professor and students can create an environment effective for teaching and learning.

VII. COURSE SCHEDULE

The following is a general guide to the 15-week fall 2011 semester. The instructor reserves the right to modify the calendar as needed to correct errors, to better meet learning objectives and to accommodate guest speakers and in-class discussions and tasks. Changes will be made only when necessary and, if made, students will be notified in class or by email. Please check BB & email regularly for updates.

Date	Description	Relevant Readings
8/25	Syllabus Review History of Social Work	Hepworth, Chapter 1-2
9/1	Ethics and Values Ethical Decision Making Professional Boundaries Social Work Roles	Dewane "Respecting Boundaries" Hepworth Chapter 2,4
9/8	The Context of Social Work Practice: from Big to Small Ecological Systems Theory The Helping Process	Miley, O'Melia and Dubois Hepworth Chapter 3 Rogers
9/15	Engagement/Relationship Building Skills Professional vs. Personal Uses of Self Values and Ethics Self-Assessment Due	Hepworth Ch. 5: pgs. 83-94 Shulman Dewane: "Use of Self: A primer revisited
9/22	The Developmental Context Communication Barriers	Lukas, Chapter 5 Hepworth, Chapter 7

9/29	The Strengths Perspective Resilience Transtheoretical Model	Benard Blundo SWDR Ch. 91 "Transtheoretical Model Guidelines for Families with Abuse and Neglect" pg. 641-647
10/6	Comprehensive Assessment	McMillen, Morris & Sherraden Hepworth, Chapter 8, 9
10/13	Agency Analysis Due Agency Poster Presentation Comprehensive Assessment	Saleeby
10/20	Comprehensive Assessment Professional Communication Skills Goal Setting Critical Thinking Activity	Reamer: Documentation SWDR Ch. 73 "Developing Goals" Hepworth, Chapter 10 Dejong and Miller
10/26- 28	Student Interview Project Feedback Group Meetings	
11/3	Student Interview Project Self Assessment Paper Due Introduction to Intervention Planning Case Planning and Management Diversity	SWDR Chapters: 110, 112 SWDR Ch. 21, 136, 139-144 Fine: ch. 9 "Working with Clients who are Different"
11/10	In class- Case Assessment Review Research Informed Practice/Evidence Based Practice	O'Hare: Evidence Based Practices
	Comprehensive Case Assessment Draft <u>Due</u>	
11/17	Termination Practice Integration Comprehensive Case Assessment and Reflection Due	Shulman "Endings and Transitions"

11/24	University Holiday – No Class	
12/1	Quiz	
	Community Task Group Observation	HAPPY HOLIDAYS!
	Notes Due	
	End of Semester Gathering	

Special Events:

Joyce Edward, LCSW, BCD on "The Sibling Relationship: A Force for Growth and Conflict Friday 10/14/11 1-4pm in Utopia Theater

Free, but RSVP to Liz Nowicki at Sue Fairbanks Lecture in Psychoanalytic Knowledge lbnowicki@mail.utexas.edu

First Annual Social Justice Week October 17-21

- 10/17 6-9pm "What Social Justice Means to Me" Art Show
- 10/18 12-1:45pm Film "When I Rise" and Discussion
- 10/19 6-8pm Ethics Presentation "American Whistleblower Tour: Essential Voices of Accountability
- 10/20 6-8pm Call to Activism Event
- 10/21 8:30-11:00am Disability Visibility Presentation & Discussion, 11:15-12:15pm Presentation & Discussion: "How to Facilitate Difficult Conversations About Diversity and Social Justice", 12:30-1:30pm End of Week Celebration & Presentation of Social Justice Awards

VIII. Bibliography

- Benard, B. (2004). Resiliency: What we have learned. (pp. 13-40). San Francisco, CA: WestEd.
- Blundo, R. (2001). Learning strengths-based practice: Challenging our personal and professional frames. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Human Services*, 82(3), 296-304.
- Browne, C. & Mills, C. (2001). Theoretical frameworks: Ecological model, strengths perspective, and empowerment theory. In Fong, R. & Furato, (Eds.), *Culturally competent practice: Skills, interventions and evaluations*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Crisp, C. (2006). The gay and affirmative practice scale (GAP): A new measure for assessing cultural competence with gay and lesbian clients. *Social Work*, 51(2), 115-126.
- Dewane, C. J. (2006). Use of self: A primer revisited. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 34(4), 543-558.
- Dewane, C. J. (2010). Respecting boundaries: The don'ts of dual relationships. *Social Work Today*, 10 (1), 18-21.

- DiClemente, C. & Velasquez, M. (2002). Motivational interviewing and the stages of change. In Miller, W. & Rollnick, S. (Eds.), *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing people for change*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Dillon, C. (2003). *Learning from mistakes in clinical practice*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Faulkner, M., Fernandez-Castro, M., Padilla, Y., & Shapiro, E. (2008). Our Nation's Immigrants in Peril: An Urgent Call to Social Workers, *Social Work*, 53(1), 5-8.
- Fine, S.F. & Glasser, P.H. (1996). *The first helping interview: Engaging the client and building trust.* (pp.58-78, 118-134). London: Sage Publications.
- Giordano, J. (2000). Effective Communication and Counseling with Older Adults. A*ging and Human Development*, 51(4), 315-324.
- Glicken, M.D. (2004). Using the strengths perspective in social work practice: A positive approach for the helping professions. (pp.48-61). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Golden, R. & Sonneborn, S. (1998). Ethics in Clinical Practice with Older Adults: Recognizing Biases and Respecting Boundaries. *American Society on Aging*, 22(3).
- Hare, I. (2004). Defining social work for the 21st century. *International Social Work*, 47(3), 407-424.
- Hodge, D. (2005). Epistemological Frameworks, Homosexuality, and Religion: How People of Faith Understand the Intersection between Homosexuality and Religion. *Social Work*, 50(3), 207-218.
- Jong, P. & Berg, I. (2002). *Interviewing for solutions, 3rd Edition*. (pp.20-51). Belmont, CA: Thomson and Brooks/Cole.
- Klein, W.C. & Bloom, M. (1995). Practice wisdom. *Journal of the National Association of Social Workers*, 40(6), 799-807.
- Lukas, S. (1993). Where to start and what to ask. (pp.1-12, 58-77, 112-125). New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- McMillen, J. C., Morris, L., & Sherraden, M. (2004). Ending social work's grudge match: Problems versus strengths. Families in Societies: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services, 85(3), 317-325.
- Miller, W. & Rollnick, S. (2002). *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing people for change*. (pp. 3-10, 98-110). New York: Guilford Press.
- Netting, F.E. & O'Connor, M.K. (2003). Organization practice: A social worker's guide to understanding human services. (pp. 5-28). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- O'Hare, T. (2005). Evidence-based practices for social workers: Definition, processes and principles. (pp. 3-13). Chicago: Lyceum Books.

- Powers, J. & Whitlock, J. (2008). Places to Be and to Belong: Youth Perceptions of Life in Community. *The Prevention Researcher*, 15(2), 12-15.
- Raines, J.C. (2004). Evidence-based practice in social work: A process perspective. *Children and Schools*, 26(2), 71-83.
- Reamer, F.G. (2003). Boundary issues in social work: Managing dual relationships. *Social Work*, 48(1), 121-133.
- Reamer, F.G. (2005). Documentation in social work: Evolving ethical and risk-management standards. *Social Work*, 50(4), 325-334.
- Roberts, A.R. (Ed.) (2009). Social Workers' Desk Reference. Oxford: University Press.
- Rodgers, C. R. (1957). The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 21, 95-103.
- Saleeby, D. (2004). "The power of place": Another look at the environment. *Families in Society*, 85(1), 7-16.
- Schnitzer, P.K. (1996). "They don't come in!": Stories told, lessons taught about poor families in therapy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 66(4), 572-582.
- Shulman, L. (1999). *The skills of helping individuals, families, and groups, 4th Ed.* (pp.200-232). Itasca, IL: Peacock Publishers.
- Shulman, L. (2009). *The skills of helping individuals, families, and groups, 6th Ed.* (pp.52-73). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.
- Steen, J. (2006). The roots of human rights: Advocacy and a call to action. *Social Work*, 51(2), 101-105.
- Stricker, G. (2003). The Many Faces of Self-Disclosure. JCLP/In Session, 59(5), 623-630.
- Sweitzer, H. F., & King, M. A. (2009). *The Successful Internship: Personal, Professional and Civic Development*. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Swenson, C.R. (1998). Clinical social work's contribution to a social justice perspective. *Social Work*, 43(6), 527-537.
- Trout, S. (2007). Adolescence from a strengths perspective: A guide for parents and other caring adults. In Henderson, N., Benard, B & Sharp-Light, N. (Eds.), *Resiliency in action: Practical ideas for overcoming risks and building strengths in youth, families, and communities.* San Diego, CA: Resiliency in Action, Inc.
- Walsh, F. (2003). *Family resilience: Strengths forged through adversity*. In Walsh, F. Normal family processes, 3^{rd} Ed. (pp. 399-423). New York City: Guilford Press.