## THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

# ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT OF TRAUMATIZED POPULATIONS

Course Number: SW 393R Instructors' names: Allen Rubin

Julie Speir

**Unique Number:** 94660 **Office Number:** 3.130E

Semester: Summer 2013 Office Phone: 471-9218

E-mail arubin@mail.utexas.edu

julie.speir@lifeworksaustin.org

and by appointment

Meeting Time/Place: M/W 8:30-11:00 Office Hours: Rubin: T/Th 4:30-5:30

Room 2.122

## I. Course Description

The course is designed as an advanced clinical selective for graduate students in the School of Social Work who wish to increase knowledge and skills for practice with traumatized populations. Students will develop a working understanding of traumatized populations from diverse backgrounds, affirming and respecting their strengths and differences. This course is grounded in the identification, analysis, and implementation of empirically supported intervention strategies. It will focus on skill building and will include multiple perspectives in the advanced application of theories, models and skills utilized in varying treatment modalities, with emphasis placed at the micro and mezzo levels of practice. The framework of the course is based on social work values and the ethical decision-making process, as illuminated by the NASW Code of Ethics.

### II. Course Objectives

At the end of this course students will:

- 1. develop assessment skills for the diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) as well as the impact of trauma on other disorders;
- 2. demonstrate understanding and skills in the core components of the treatment of trauma, such as treatment stages, psychoeducation, the therapeutic relationship, and safety and arousal reduction techniques;
- 3. describe the key features distinguishing alternative evidence-based treatment approaches for trauma-related symptoms;
- 4. demonstrate skills in at least one trauma treatment modality;
- 5. demonstrate evaluation skills by accurately appraising how well others evince skills in at least one trauma treatment modality;
- 6. identify steps practitioners can take to prevent or ameliorate their own vicarious/secondary trauma;
- 7. demonstrate the ability to adapt intervention models and strategies to reflect an understanding of persons from diverse backgrounds, including (but not limited to) race, ethnicity, culture, class, gender, sexual orientation, religion/spirituality, physical or mental ability, developmental level, age, and national origin;
- 8. demonstrate the ability to tailor and integrate clinical interventions based on the context in which they are delivered; and
- demonstrate advanced knowledge of social work values and ethical decision-making processes as they relate to ethical dilemmas in clinical intervention with traumatized adults and children.

## **III.** Teaching Methods

Instruction methods will include informal lectures (questions and comments are encouraged), guest speakers, viewing and discussing video presentations of therapy sessions illustrating alternative treatment modalities, class discussions, class exercises and role playing and student presentations. Students will be expected to have done the assigned readings before class; consequently, there will be a brief quiz at the start of most class sessions on the required (not supplemental) assigned readings for that session as well as the emphasized concepts of the preceding class session.

### IV. Texts

## Required

Rubin, A., and D. W. Springer (Eds.) (2010). *Treatment of Traumatized Adults and Children. The Clinician's Guide to Evidence-Based Practice*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

## V. Grading

40 points Cumulative quiz percentage

40 points Team papers based on two team videos

10 points Certificate for completing the free online training course in trauma-

focused cognitive behavioral therapy available at

http://www.tfcbt.musc.edu/ Deadline for submission: Final class

session.

10 points Class participation (This involves attendance, arriving on time, and not

leaving early. It also involves participation in class role-plays, contributions to class discussions that reflect advance reading of the assigned readings, as well as informed and thoughtful comments on

videos and role plays.)

Extra Credit 10 points of extra credit for certificate showing you completed a free

online training course offered by the National Crime Victims Research

and Treatment Center and the Medical University of South

Carolina. **Helping-Heroes** is an 8-hour training course for mental health professionals working with firefighters and other first responders who have problems with work-related trauma stress. The course content involves exposure and behavioral activation therapies that have

strong empirical support for their efficacy with this population.

**Helping-Heroes** was developed with funding from the Department of Homeland Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency and with

support and consultation from the National Fallen Firefighters
Foundation and the Firefighter Support Team of the CharlestonDorchester Mental Health Center. A total of 8 hours of professional
continuing education credit is awarded after completing the full course.
The course can be accessed at https://helping-heroes.org Deadline for

submission: Final class session.

Each of the grading components as well as the overall course grade will be determined in the following manner:

#### **Accumulated Points and Grading Scale**

100 - 94 = A 93 - 90 = A-89 - 87 = B+ 86 - 84 = B 83 - 80 = B-79 - 77 = C+ 76 - 74 = C 73 - 70 = C-69 - 67 = D+ 66 - 64 = D 63 - 60 = D-59 and below = F

## VI. Team Papers/Videos

Each team member will make a video of approximately 10 minutes in which they role-play the therapist, with another team member role-playing the client. The first video/role-play should illustrate the following:

 Relationship skills in building a therapeutic alliance with a traumatized client. Video and accompanying paper submitted on July 8.

The second video/role-play should illustrate the following:

 Cognitive processing therapy skills of the therapist. Video and accompanying paper submitted on July 24.

Each team will be responsible for making its own videos outside of class. From among the videos it makes, each team should select the one that best illustrates either the most skillful therapist performance or the least skillful one. When presenting the segment to the class, the team should tell the class in advance whether it is going to demonstrate a good performance or a problematic one. After showing the video, the team should tell the class what it was about the video that was so good or so problematic, and why.

The team is also required to submit a written critique of each video/role-play that lists in bulleted format the main strengths and main weaknesses of the therapist's performance. The grade for the paper will be based on the degree to which the team's written critique accurately identifies the main strengths and main weaknesses of the therapist's performance.

THE QUALITY OF THE THERAPIST'S PERFORMANCE WILL NOT INFLUENCE THE PAPER GRADE, AS LONG AS IT MATCHES THE NATURE OF THE CRITQUE. THAT IS, A POOR PERFORMANCE (IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE INSTRUCTORS) COUPLED WITH A VERY NEGATIVE CRITICAL APPRAISAL WILL GET A HIGHER GRADE THAN A STRONG PERFORMANCE COUPLED WITH A NEGATIVE CRITICAL APPRAISAL OR A POOR PERFORMANCE COUPLED WITH A POSITIVE APPRAISAL.

### VII. Class Policies

Students are expected to attend all classes and to be prepared to participate orally and in a thoughtful manner that demonstrates that the assigned readings have been read carefully **prior to class**. Failure to regularly attend the class and demonstrate through exercises and discussions that one has comprehended the readings will be considered in assigning the final grade. Students are responsible for any material missed due to absences. Student feedback is welcome. Students are also encouraged to provide feedback during office hours, by phone, by e-mail, and by appointment if they desire.

Except in the case of extreme emergencies, and then only with the permission of the professor, late assignments will not be accepted without penalty. If accepted, late assignments will be assessed point penalties at the rate of four (4) points each day late. If the due date is a problem, then the student should see the professor and negotiate another due date well in advance.

The university recommends that instructors specify policies on scholastic dishonesty. In this course, scholastic dishonesty may result in a grade of an F in the course and a report to the MSSW Program Committee and the Office of Graduate Studies. While I do not anticipate scholastic dishonesty occurring, for further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/academicintegrity.html).

Any student with a documented disability (physical or cognitive) who requires academic accommodations should contact the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259 (voice) or 471-4641 (TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing) as soon as possible to request an official letter outlining authorized accommodations.

#### VIII. Abreactions

Most of us have experienced varying degrees of trauma in our lives. Some students understandably may have abreactions – based on prior traumas – as they participate in this course. If so, they are encouraged to inform the instructor of this difficulty. Although the instructor can be responsive and supportive regarding the student's participation in course assignments and activities, students should understand that it is not ethically appropriate for the instructor to engage in a dual role involving a therapeutic relationship with the student. If therapy or counseling is needed, students can contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 471-3515 or online at www.utexas.edu/student/cmhc/.

## IX. Course and Instructor Evaluation

Students will have the opportunity to express their perceptions of the quality of the course and instruction at the end of the semester by participating in the University's Course Instructor Survey.

## X. <u>Course Schedule</u>

<b>Date</b>	Topics	Readings
June 10-17	Introduction to course  Overview of trauma	Rubin & Springer: Ch. 1 and pp. 73-88 Herman, "A Healing Relationship"
	Developing a therapeutic alliance; Generic elements of the healing process.	
	Instructors will conduct role-play interviews with each other to demonstrate do's and don'ts of relationship skills in building a therapeutic relationship with a traumatized client. Students will be expected to identify and discuss the illustrated do's and don'ts. Students also will have the opportunity to do their own role-plays.	
	Quiz in session 3 (June 17), not sessions 1 or 2	
June 19	Differentiating issues in various types of trauma; sexual trauma; neurobiology of trauma; case examples	Taylor, S. (2006). "Neurobiology for the Cognitive-Behavioral Therapist." Ch. 4 of <i>Clinician's Guide to PTSD: A Cognitive-Behavioral Approach</i> . Guilford, p. 56-72.
		Ford, J.D. (2009). "Neurobiological and Developmental Research: Clinical Implications." In C. A. Courtois & J.D. Ford, <i>Treating Complex Traumatic Stress Disorders: An Evidence-Based Guide</i> . Guilford, pp. 31-58.
		Cloitre, M. & Rosenberg, A. (2006). "Sexual Revictimization: Risk Factors and Prevention." In V. M. Follette & J. I. Ruzek, <i>Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies</i> for Trauma, Guilford, pp. 321-361.
June 24	Assessment; Anxiety management techniques; Psychoeducation; Exposure therapy	Rubin & Springer, Ch. 2

I 06 I 1 1		
June 26-July 1	Cognitive processing therapy (CPT); Helping clients uncover positive and negative core schemas  Instructors will conduct role-play interviews with each other to demonstrate do's and don'ts of CPT with a traumatized client. Students will be expected to identify and discuss the illustrated do's and don'ts. Students also will have the opportunity to do their own CPT role-plays.	Rubin & Springer, Ch. 3
July 3	In lieu of class, student teams are expected to use this time to complete Video assignment #1.	
July 8	Team presentations of Video Assignment #1 and submission of accompanying paper.	
July 10	TFCBT online training in lieu of class at: <a href="http://www.tfcbt.musc.edu/">http://www.tfcbt.musc.edu/</a>	Rubin & Springer, Ch. 4
July 15	EMDR	Rubin & Springer, Ch. 5-6
July 17	DBT; Treating complex PTSD  Guest Speaker: Nicole Spotts	Becker & Zayfert, (2001). "Integrating DBT-Based Techniques and Concepts to Facilitate Exposure Treatment for PTSD," Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 8, 107-122.  Wagner, A. W. & Linehan, M. M. (2006). "Applications of Dialectical Behavior Therapy to PTSD and Related Problems." In V. M. Follette & J. I. Ruzek, Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies for Trauma, Guilford, pp. 117-145.
July 22	In lieu of class, student teams are expected to use this time to complete Video assignment #2.	Rubin & Springer, Ch. 5-6
July 24	Team presentations of Video Assignment #2 and submission of accompanying paper.	

July 29		Handouts from Julie on Blackboard
	Putting it all together: integrating various approaches, the healing process; developmental issues; case examples.	Walser, R. D. & Hayes, S. C. (2006). "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in the Treatment of PTSD." In V. M. Follette & J. I. Ruzek, (Eds.), Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies for Trauma, Guilford, pp. 146-172.
	Course Evaluation	Fisher, J. & Ogden, P. (2009). "Sensorimotor Psychotherapy." In C. A. Courtois & J.D. Ford, <i>Treating Complex Traumatic Stress Disorders: An Evidence-Based Guide</i> . Guilford, pp. 312-328.