

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN  
SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

<b>Course Number:</b>	SW383T Practice II	<b>Instructor:</b>	Sarah K. Sloan, LCSW
<b>Unique Number:</b>	63430	<b>Office Number:</b>	SWB 3.124K
<b>Semester:</b>	Spring 2013	<b>Office Phone:</b>	471-9107
<b>Meeting Time/Place:</b>	Wednesdays 8:30-11:30am Field Seminar 11:30am-12:30pm Room 2.118	<b>Office Hours:</b>	Wednesdays 12:30-1:30pm and by appointment
		<b>email:</b>	ssloan@austin.utexas.edu

**SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE II**

**Course Description**

This course builds upon Social Work Practice I by deepening students' knowledge of the generalist social work perspective in the application of theory and practice methods for effective and ethical service delivery to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities in conjunction with field education.

**Course Objectives**

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Critically analyze professional values, ethical dilemmas and ethical decision-making and their impact on service delivery, policy and practice; **(PB 4, 9, 10)**
2. Identify, critique, apply and evaluate social work theories and methods from a strengths based generalist perspective for effective service delivery to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities; **(PB 31, 35, 37)**
3. Apply beginning practice skills in the development, leadership and evaluation of small groups in agency, organization and community settings; **(PB 29, 31, 35, 36, 40)**
4. Identify aspects of human diversity within and between groups and the implications for this diversity in assessment, planning, intervention and evaluation; **(PB 1, 29, 35, 36, 39)**
5. Demonstrate knowledge of leadership and advocacy skills, conflict management and interprofessional collaboration at all levels of social work practice to promote social and economic justice; **(PB 29, 35, 36, 37, 39)**

6. Apply a beginning level of skill in utilizing empirical knowledge to evaluate theoretical frameworks, intervention plans and practice effectiveness; **(PB 35, 36, 37)**
7. Communicate effectively and professionally, both orally and in writing, assessment, intervention and evaluation plans for diverse client systems that enhance client strengths, capacities, assets and resources. **(PB 1, 4)**

The School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, we engage in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Several required courses in our curriculum are part of this ongoing assessment, including this course. Below is a list of the specific Educational Policies (EP) and Practice Behaviors (PB) that are assessed in this course. The complete EPAS can be obtained from your Student Handbook.

EP2.1.1 Identify as a professional social worker and conduct oneself accordingly. Social workers serve as representatives of the profession, its mission, and its core values. They know the profession's history. Social workers commit themselves to the profession's enhancement and to their own professional conduct and growth.

PB1 Advocate for client access to the services of social workers

Objectives 4, 7

Assignments: SW Advocacy Assignment

PB4 Demonstrate professional demeanor in behavior, appearance, and communication

Objectives 1, 7

Assignments: SW Theory Application

Video Role Play and Critique

EP2.1.2 Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice. Social workers have an obligation to conduct themselves ethically and to engage in ethical decision-making. Social workers are knowledgeable about the value base of the profession, its ethical standards, and relevant law.

PB9 Tolerate ambiguity in resolving ethical conflicts

Objective 1

Assignments: Ethics Application Assignment

PB10 Apply strategies of ethical reasoning to arrive at principled decisions

Objective 1

Assignments: SW Advocacy Assignment

### EP2.1.10a Engagement

PB29 Substantively and affectively prepare for action with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities

Objectives 3, 4, 5

Assignments: SW Theory Application  
SW Advocacy Assignment

PB31 Develop a mutually agreed upon focus of work and desired outcomes

Objectives 2, 3

Assignments: SW Theory Application

### EP2.1.10b Assessment

PB35 Select appropriate intervention strategies

Objectives 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

Assignments: Video Role Play and Critique  
SW Theory Application

### EP2.1.10c Intervention

PB36 Initiate actions to achieve organizational goals

Objectives 3, 4, 5, 6

Assignments: Video Role Play and Critique

PB37 Implement prevention intervention that enhances client capacities

Objectives 2, 5, 6

Assignments: Video Role Play and Critique

PB39 Negotiate, mediate, and advocate for clients

Objectives 4, 5

Assignments: SW Advocacy Assignment

PB40 Facilitate transitions and endings

Objective 3

Assignments: Video Role Play and Critique

### Teaching Methods

This class will be taught using a variety of methods to foster a stimulating, creative and collaborative learning community. Experiential learning that builds upon students' field instruction will be emphasized. Other teaching methods include small group interaction, class discussion, role-plays, videos, guest speakers, lecture and assigned learning activities in the community. For a meaningful experience in this class, students must actively participate, take risks, stretch their creativity and critical thinking skills and attend class regularly. All students are encouraged to provide the instructor feedback and meet with the instructor individually as needed to enhance their learning.

## Required and Recommended Texts and Materials

### Required Texts:

Corey, M. and Corey, G. (2010). *Groups: Process and practice* (8th Ed.). Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.

Turner, Francis J. (ed.). (2011). *Social work treatment: Interlocking theoretical approaches*. (5<sup>th</sup> Ed.) New York: Oxford University Press.

The following text is required of all students upon admission and readings will be assigned throughout the course of a student's program.

Roberts. A.R. (Ed.) (2009). *Social workers' desk reference*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Students will also continue to use their texts from Practice I and Field I:

Hepworth, D., Rooney, R., Rooney, G., Strom-Gottfried, K. and Larsen, J. (2010). *Direct social work practice: Theory and skills*. (9<sup>th</sup> Ed.) Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.

Sweitzer, H. and King, M. (2009). *The successful internship: Transformation and empowerment in experiential learning*. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.) Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning.

## Course Requirements

Students will be required to complete the assignments listed below. Detailed assignment learning objectives and instructions, including expected demonstration of content mastery and skill development will be provided in a separate handout. All assignments will be discussed in detail in class and students are encouraged to meet with the instructor should they have any questions about the assignments. Grading sheets with point allocation will be provided with the assignment guidelines. All assignments will be graded on depth and quality of required content, creativity and critical thinking skills, overall professionalism and quality of writing. Specific point totals for each assignment are listed below. **All students are required to complete a grading sheet that is to be turned in with each assignment to foster their skill and comfort in self evaluation – a critical component of practice evaluation.**

### Community Support Group Observation

**50 Points**

**Due 2/13**

This assignment is designed to provide students with a community based learning experience to increase their knowledge of therapeutic group process and leadership skills. This observation is meant to complement the community task group observation that was required in Practice 1. Students will attend a community support group meeting and write a brief analysis of their observations demonstrating their knowledge of group process, group leadership skills, actual or potential ethical dilemmas in groups and the important role of community or organizational context.

**Theory Interviews                      50 points    Due 2/20**

This assignment is designed to provide students with a community based learning experience to further their understanding of the important relationship between theory and practice. Students will select and interview 2 practicing social workers in the community about the relationship between theory and practice. Guidelines for interview questions will be provided to facilitate an in depth exploration of this multifaceted relationship. Students will then complete a brief reflection paper to critically analyze the results of their interviews.

**Social Work Practice Theory Application                      100 points    Due 3/6-3/27**

The purpose of this assignment is to further develop student's research, critical thinking, and presentation skills while increasing their knowledge and skill in the application of a social work practice theory. Students will also have the opportunity to develop their effective task group membership skills. Students will collaborate in a small group project that culminates in a "professional staff development training" on a skill-based application of a practice theory for the class. Detailed guidelines and suggestions for successful presentations are provided in the class handout on assignment instructions and grading criteria.

**Video Role Play and Critique                      100 points    Due 4/10**

The purpose of this assignment is to provide students with an opportunity to further develop the social work practice skills learned in Practice I and to demonstrate a beginning level of skill in the application of a social work practice theory. Students will prepare a video role-play with a partner from class and participate in small group viewing and feedback sessions to deepen their clinical learning and further develop their comfort and skill in the use of supervision and consultation. A written critique of the video is also a part of this assignment.

**Client Case Assessment                      100 points    Due 4/17**

The purpose of this assignment is to further develop student's knowledge and skill in assessment, intervention planning and practice evaluation, and building upon student's learning in Practice I. Students will choose a client from their agency caseload to assess, and then write a very concise (one-page) assessment to be accompanied by an in-depth 6 page Reflection and Analysis paper, with an emphasis on a selection of an appropriate intervention, attention to the macro level, implications of the client's presenting problem, and the ethical and theoretical dimensions of the case. Guidelines will be posted on Blackboard.

**Ethics Application                      25 points    Due 4/24**

This is an integrative in class assignment that provides students with an opportunity to identify, critically analyze and apply strategies of ethical decision making to client based scenarios at all levels of practice. Demonstrated knowledge of social work values, ethical standards, the NASW Code of Ethics and ethical decision making protocol will be required.

**Social Work Advocacy Assignment****75 points****Due 4/24**

This assignment is designed to provide students with a community based learning experience to foster the development of their leadership and advocacy skills along with their “professional voice” in promoting social justice. Students will creatively develop and implement a “Speak Out” on a social justice of their choice. The assignment will culminate with a brief classroom presentation summarizing the project, discussing the outcome and their own professional learning.

**Assignment Summary**

Community Support Group Observation	50 points
Theory Interviews	50 points
Social Work Practice Theory Application	100 points
Video Role Play and Critique	100 points
Client Case Assessment	100 points
Ethics Application	25 points
Social Work Advocacy Project	<u>75 points</u>
	500 points

**Letter grades will be assigned as follows:**

470-500 points	A
450-469 points	A-
435-449 points	B+
420-434 points	B
400-419 points	B-
385-399 points	C+
370-384 points	C
350-369 points	C-
335-349 points	D+
320-334 points	D
300-319 points	D-
299 or below	F

Students who were admitted into the MSSW program on a conditional basis are not able to take an incomplete for this course if the conditions for admission are still in place.

## **Class Policies**

This class will operate under the guidelines of professional accountability in the classroom. The following policies will be observed:

### **The University of Texas Honor Code**

The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

### **Use of E-Mail for Official Correspondence to Students**

Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course related information and announcements. Students are responsible to keep the university informed about changes to their email address. Students should check their email regularly and frequently daily, but, at minimum, twice a week to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>

### **Documented Disability Statement**

Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471-6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). Present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit <http://www.utexas.edu/diversity/ddce/ssd/>.

### **Professional Conduct in Class**

Students are expected to act like professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time, be prepared to participate in discussions, and show respect for one another's opinions. In the classroom environment, students will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions and may not always agree with ideas expressed by others. However, students are expected to engage one another with respect, courtesy and professionalism. This entails listening to the opinions, concerns and feelings of others with openness, speaking honestly about one's own experience, offering suggestions and ideas in a positive and respectful manner, and being willing to promote group cohesiveness in the learning environment.

### **Attendance and Participation**

Attendance and participation for the full three hours of class and the additional hour of field seminar is expected for all students. **After two absences, the student's final grade will be lowered by one grade.** Further absences may result in the student being dropped from the course. Repeated late arrivals to class may be considered as an absence. Classroom exercises, discussions, role plays, guest speakers and other class experiential exercises are essential for the students' professional learning and continued development of self awareness. This form of

learning cannot be “made up” once missed. The instructor reserves the right to add or deduct 10 points from the final point total based on the quality of students’ classroom participation. Students are to notify the instructor prior to class at the given office number if they cannot attend class due to an illness or emergency.

### **Religious Holidays**

According to UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

### **Maintaining Confidentiality**

Information shared in class about agencies and clients is considered to be covered by the NASW Code of Ethics regarding the sharing of information for educational supervision purposes. Agencies are aware that de-identified information is shared in classes for this purpose. However, discussions outside of class with individuals not in this class or with other members of the class/field seminar regarding information shared in class about clients is considered a breach of confidentiality. All students are expected to maintain confidentiality.

### **Papers**

Students are expected to produce high quality work in terms of appearance, writing style and content. Points will be deducted for errors, misspellings, incorrect grammar, poor organization, repetition of information, and inferior work processing. When using information from other sources, references and bibliography should conform to the current APA style citation. Students are encouraged to consult with the SSW writing consultant for assistance. (<http://www.utexas.edu/ssw/dccs/students/graduate-writing-consultations/>) Plagiarism is a serious violation of university rules and will be dealt with according to university policy.

### **Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty**

Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (<http://www.utexas.edu/depts/dos/sjs/>).

### **Time Management**

All assignments are due at the beginning of class on the date indicated. **Late papers will be penalized 5 points per day that they are late.** This includes papers that are turned in after 8:30 am on the day they are due. Contact the instructor before the assignment is due if other arrangements need to be made due to an emergency.

### **Safety**

As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's



responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

### **Social Media and Professional Communication**

Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image. Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

### **Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)**

If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided through a partnership among the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

### **Emergency Evacuation Policy**

Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.

- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

**Feedback**

Students will be asked to provide feedback on their learning and the professor's teaching strategies in informal as well as formal ways. It is very important for the professor to know the students' reactions to what is taking place in class, ensuring that together the professor and students can create a dynamic and effective learning community. Students are encouraged to provide ongoing feedback to the instructor during class and office visits.

**COURSE CALENDAR  
ASSIGNMENTS AND READINGS DUE DATES**

**PRACTICE AND FIELD**

<b>DATE</b>	<b>Class Topics ASSIGNMENTS DUE</b>	<b>ASSMT. POINTS</b>	<b>READINGS TO BE COMPLETED</b>
1/16	Welcome Back Course Overview Ethics Re-Visited		Corey Ch. 1-3
1/23	Group Work in Generalist Practice <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> </ul>		Corey Ch. 5-8 SWDR #97 Davis & Jansen, Making Meaning of AA for Social Workers (Blackboard)
1/30	SW Leadership, Creativity and Advocacy Guest: Vicki Packheiser, LCSW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>EDUCATIONAL CONTRACT DUE (FIELD)</b></li> </ul>		SWDR #'s 128 Loeb, We Don't Have a Saint (Blackboard) Corey Ch. 9–12 (Self select reading based on interests and field)
2/6	Intro to SW Practice Theories Psychodynamic Theories <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>PROCESS RECORDING # 1 (FIELD)</b></li> </ul>		Turner Ch. 1, 10, 25 SWDR #42 Listen to Theories for Clinical Practice Podcast: <a href="http://socialworkpodcast.com/2009/08/theories-for-clinical-social-work.html">http://socialworkpodcast.com/2009/08/theories-for-clinical-social-work.html</a>
2/13	Attachment Theory Guest: Tammy Linseisen, LCSW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>COMMUNITY SUPPORT GROUP OBSERVATION</b></li> </ul>	<b>50 points</b>	Turner Ch. 3
2/20	Crisis Theory Guest: Javier Ungo, LCSW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>THEORY INTERVIEWS</b></li> </ul>	<b>50 points</b>	Turner Ch. 9 SWDR #25, 100, 105
2/27	Solution Focused Model Motivational Interviewing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>PROCESS RECORDING #2</b></li> </ul>		Turner Ch. 32 SWDR #33, 84 Class Handouts

3/6*	Cognitive-Behavioral Theory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE THEORY APPLICATION*</b> (FOR THOSE STUDENTS PRESENTING COGNITIVE-BEHAVIORAL THEORY)</li> </ul>	<b>*100 points</b>	Turner Ch. 6, 7, 30 SWDR #31, 39
3/13	-----SPRING BREAK----- No assignments due during Spring Break		
3/20*	Feminist and Cultural Relational Theory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>MID-TERM EVALUATION (FIELD)</b></li> <li>• <b>SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE THEORY APPLICATION*</b> (FOR THOSE STUDENTS PRESENTING FEMINIST AND RELATIONAL CULTURAL THEORY)</li> </ul>	<b>*100 points</b>	Turner Ch. 11, 13, 27 SWDR #37
3/27*	Narrative Theory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE THEORY APPLICATION*</b> (FOR THOSE STUDENTS PRESENTING NARRATIVE THEORY)</li> </ul>	<b>*100 points</b>	Turner Ch. 8, 20, 23 SWDR #36, 40
4/3	Mindfulness Based Interventions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> </ul>		Turner Ch. 19 Reading Packet
4/10	Existential Theory Grief and Loss <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>VIDEO ROLE PLAY AND CRITIQUE</b></li> <li>• <b>FOUR-PART GROUP SUMMARY (FIELD)</b></li> </ul>	<b>100 points</b>	Turner Ch. 12 SWDR # 90 S & King Ch. 14 HRDSL Ch. 8, 9, 19 (8-9 Assmnt. Review)
4/17	Video Role Play Reviews in-class <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>FIELD LOG</b></li> <li>• <b>CLIENT CASE ASSESSMENT</b></li> </ul>	<b>100 points</b>	
4/24	Advocacy Assignment and Presentations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>IN CLASS ETHICS ASSIGNMENT</b></li> <li>• <b>SOCIAL WORK ADVOCACY</b></li> </ul>	<b>25 points</b> <b>75 points</b>	<a href="#">NASW Code of Ethics</a>

	<b>ASSIGNMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>CAL PROJECT AND SUMMARY (FIELD)</b></li> </ul>		
5/1	Review, Reflection & Celebration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>NO FIELD LOG DUE</b></li> <li>• <b>PROFESSIONAL PORTFOLIO (FIELD)</b></li> <li>• <b>WORDS OF WISDOM FOR NEXT CLASS</b></li> <li>• <b>FINAL FIELD EVALUATION EMAILED TO SARAH SLOAN BEFORE FINAL FIELD VISIT</b></li> </ul>		No readings assigned

*\* Each student will choose one group and will only turn in ONE of these; your due date for your assignment depends on which group presentation you participate in.*

## Bibliography

- Abels, S. (2001). *Ethics in social work practice: Narratives for professional helping*. Denver, CO.: Love Publishing Co.
- Abels, S. (ed.) (2000). *Spirituality in social work practice: Narratives for professional helping*. Denver, CO.: Love Publishing Co.
- Allen-Meares, P. & Garvin, C. (2000). *The handbook of direct social work practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA.: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Anderson, M. & Collins, P. (1995). *Race, class and gender*. Belmont: Wadsworth Publishing Co.
- Berlin, S. (2002). *Clinical social work practice: A cognitive-integrative approach*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bloom, M., Fischer, J. & Orme, J. (1999). *Evaluating practice: Guidelines for the accountable professional*. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.) Boston: Allyn & Bacon Publishers.
- Boyle, S. et al. (2006). *Direct practice in social work*. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Brill, N. & Levine, J. (2002). *Working with people: The helping process*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon Publishers.
- Brueggeman, W. (2002). *The practice of macro social work*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Canda, E. & Furman, L. (1999). *Spiritual diversity in social work practice*. New York: The Free Press.
- Coady, N. and Lehmann, P. (Eds.) (2008). *Theoretical perspectives for direct social work practice: A generalist-eclectic approach*. (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.) New York: Springer Publishing Co.
- Cooper, M. & Lesser, J. (2002). *Clinical social work practice: An integrated approach*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon
- Corey, G. (2004). *Theory and practice of group counseling*. (6th Ed.). Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- DeJong, P. & Berg, I. (2002). *Interviewing for solutions*. (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishers
- Dolgoff, R., Harrington, D. & Lowenberg, F. (2012). *Ethical decisions for social work practice*. (9<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole.
- DuBois, B. & Miley, K. (2002). *Social work: An empowering profession*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Epstein, L. & Brown, L. (2002). *Brief treatment and a new look at the task centered approach*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Ezell, M. (2001). *Advocacy in the human services*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning.
- Fellin, P. (2001). *The community and the social worker*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Gambrill, E. (1997). *Social work practice: A critical thinker's guide*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gilliland, B. & James, R. (1993). *Crisis intervention strategies*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock.
- Ginsberg, L. (2001). *Social work evaluation: Principles and methods*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon Publishers.
- Gutierrez, L., Parsons, R., & Cox, E. (1998). *Empowerment in social work practice: A*

- source book*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Hardcastle, D., Wenocour, S. & Powers., P. (1997). *Community practice: Theories and skills for social workers*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haulotte, S. & Kretschmar, J. (ed.) (2001). *Case scenarios for teaching and learning in social work practice*. Alexandria, VA.: CSWE.
- Jacobs, E., Harvill, R. & Masson, R. (2002). *Group counseling: Strategies and skills*. (4th Ed.). Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Jordan, J. (ed.) (1997). *Women's growth in diversity: More writings from the Stone Center*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kirst-Ashman, K. & Hull, G. (2009). *Understanding generalist practice*. (5th Ed.) Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Kottler, J. (2001). *Learning group leadership: An experiential approach*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Leigh, J. (1998). *Communicating for cultural competence*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Locke, B., Garrison, R. & Winship, J. (1998). *Generalist social work practice: Context, story and relationships*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Meenaghan, T. & Gibbins, W. (2000). *Generalist practice in larger settings*. Chicago: Lyceum Books, Inc.
- Payne, M. (1997). *Modern social work theory*. (2nd Ed.). Chicago: Lyceum Books, Inc.
- Poulin, John. (2000). *Collaborative social work: Strengths – based generalist practice*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Roberts, A. R. (2000). *Crisis intervention handbook: Assessment, treatment and research*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rothman, J., Erlich, J. & Tropman, J. (2001). *Strategies of community intervention*. (6th Ed.). Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock.
- Saleeby, D. (2009). *The strengths perspective in social work practice*. (5th Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Schneider, R. (2001). *Social work advocacy: A new framework for action*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning.
- Sheafor, B. & Horejsi, C. (2012). *Techniques and guidelines for social work practice*. (9<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Boston, MA.: Allyn & Bacon.
- Shulman, L. (2011). *Dynamics and skills of group counseling*. Belmont, Ca.: Brookes/Cole.
- Shulman, L. (2012). *The skills of helping individuals, families, groups and communities*. (7<sup>th</sup> Ed.) Belmont, CA.: Brookes/Cole.
- Toseland, R. & Rivas, R. (2012). *An introduction to group work practice* (7th Ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Walsh, J. (2010). *Theories of direct social work practice*. (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.) Belmont, CA.: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Weinhold, B. & Weinhold, J. (2000). *Conflict: The partnership way*. Denver, CO.: Love Publishing Co.
- Tropman, J., Erlich, J. & Rothman, J. (2001). *Tactics and techniques of community intervention*. (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Yalom, I. (1985). *The theory and practice of group psychotherapy*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Zastrow, C. (2012). *Social Work with groups*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.