

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

Course Number: SW 383 R	Instructor: Sarah K. Sloan, LCSW Clinical Assistant Professor
Unique Number: 61810	Office Number: 3.124 H
Semester: Fall 2015	Office Phone: (512) 471-9107
Meeting Time/Place: Wednesdays 8:30am-11:30am (Field Seminar 11:30am-12:30pm) Room: 2.116	Office Hours: Wednesdays 12:30-1:30pm and by appointment
Website/E Mail: ssloan@austin.utexas.edu	

SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE I

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course provides an introduction to social work practice methodology and professional use of self from a generalist perspective for social work practice with diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities in conjunction with field education.

Prerequisites: This course must be taken concurrently with Field Instruction I. It is either taken with or after Basic Dynamics of Organizations and Communities, Basic Dynamics of Individuals and Families and Social Policy I. It is a prerequisite for Social Work Practice II and Field Instruction II.

II. COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course students will be able to:

- A. Identify and begin to apply social work values and principles of ethical decision making as articulated by the NASW Code of Ethics. **(PB 2, 8)**
- B. Demonstrate an understanding of professional use of self and awareness of personal values in the development and maintenance of purposeful, problem solving relationships with multiple levels of client systems, as well as cooperative and constructive relationships with agency staff, other professionals and community members. **(PB 2, 3, 7)**
- C. Utilize professional communication skills, supervision and consultation in the effective and ethical provision of services to diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities. **(PB 2, 5, 6, 30)**

- D. Demonstrate an understanding of the impact of organizational and community context on service delivery through the in depth analysis of the assigned field agency. **(PB 29, 36)**
- E. Demonstrate knowledge of the historical roots and major developments of the social work profession and its commitment to promote social and economic justice. **(PB 5, 8)**
- F. Systematically apply a transtheoretical, eco-systems framework to engage client systems in identifying target systems and goals, and in analyzing, implementing and evaluating interventions that enhance client system strengths, capacities, assets and resources in relation to their environment. **(PB 33, 34, 38, 39)**
- G. Recognize the influence of socioeconomic status, age, developmental level, gender, culture, race, ethnicity, physical status, sexual orientation and spiritual beliefs on assessment, planning, intervention and evaluation at all levels of practice. **(PB 32, 33, 34, 38)**
- H. Demonstrate familiarity with basic concepts and methodology related to work with small groups in agency, organization and community practice. **(PB 29, 31, 35 36)**
- I. Identify the importance of empirically based knowledge to evaluate and improve practice, policy and social service delivery. **(PB 35, 36, 37)**

The School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, we engage in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Several required courses in our curriculum are part of this ongoing assessment, including this course. Below is a list of the specific Educational Policies (EP) and Practice Behaviors (PB) that are assessed in this course. The complete EPAS can be optioned from your Student Handbook.

EP 2.1.1 Identify as a professional social worker and conduct oneself accordingly.

Social workers serve as representatives of the profession, its mission, and its core values. They know the profession's history. Social workers commit themselves to their own professional conduct and growth.

PB2 Practice personal reflection and self-correction to assure continual professional development

Objectives: 1, 2 and 3
 Assignment: Video Role Play and Critique
 Self-Inquiry and Ethics Assignment
 Classroom discussion and exercises

PB3 Attend to professional roles and boundaries

Objectives: 2
 Assignment: Comprehensive Field Agency Analysis

PB5 Engage in career-long learning
Objectives: 3 and 5
Assignment: Self-Inquiry and Ethics Assignment
Classroom discussion

PB6 Use supervision and consultation
Objectives: 3
Assignment: Video Role Play and Critique

EP 2.1.2 Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice.

Social workers have an obligation to conduct themselves ethically and to engage in ethical decision making. Social workers are knowledgeable about the value base of the profession, its ethical standards, and relevant law.

PB7 Recognize and manage personal values to allow professional values to guide practice
Objectives: 2
Assignment: Final take home exam
Classroom discussion and exercises

PB 8 Make ethical decisions by applying NASW Code of Ethics and, as applicable, IFSW/IASSW ethical principles
Objectives: 1 and 5
Assignment: Final take home exam
Classroom discussion and exercises

EP 2.1.10a. Engagement

PB29 Substantively and affectively prepare for action with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities
Objectives: 4 and 8
Assignment: Comprehensive Field Agency Analysis
Community Task Group Assignment

PB 30 Use empathy and other interpersonal skills
Objectives: 3
Assignment: Video Role Play and Critique
Classroom exercises

PB31 Develop a mutually agreed-on focus of work and desired outcomes
Objectives: 8
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment

EP 2.1.10b. Assessment

PB 32 Collect, organize, and interpret client data
Objectives: 7
Assignment: Video Role Play and Critique
Multidimensional Assessment

PB 33 Assess client strengths and limitations

Objectives: 6 and 7
Assignment: Video Role Play and Critique
Multidimensional Assessment
In-class role plays

PB 34 Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives

Objectives: 6 and 7
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment

PB35 Select appropriate intervention strategies

Objectives: 8 and 9
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment
Evidence Based Practice Assignment

EP 2.1.10c. Intervention

PB36 Initiate actions to achieve organizational goals

Objectives: 4, 8 and 9
Assignment: Comprehensive Field Agency Analysis

PB37 Implement prevention intervention that enhances client capacities

Objectives: 9
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment

PB 38 Help clients resolve problems

Objectives: 6 and 7
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment

PB39 Negotiate, mediate, and advocate for clients

Objectives: 6
Assignment: Multidimensional Assessment

III. TEACHING METHODS

This class will be taught using a variety of teaching methods to foster a stimulating, creative and collaborative learning community. Experiential learning that builds upon students' field instruction will be emphasized. Other teaching methods include small group interaction, class discussion, role-play, videotaping, videos, guest speakers, lecture and learning activities in the community. For a meaningful experience in this class, students must actively participate, take risks, stretch their creativity, apply their critical thinking skills and attend class regularly. All students are encouraged to ask questions, raise issues, provide the instructor feedback and meet with the instructor individually as needed to enhance their learning.

Students with disabilities are expected to inform the instructor so appropriate academic accommodations may be planned. Any student with a documented disability (physical or cognitive) who requires academic accommodations should contact the Services for Students with Disabilities in the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259 (voice) or 471-4641 (TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing) as soon as possible to request an official letter outlining authorized accommodations.

IV. REQUIRED TEXTS AND MATERIALS

Hepworth, D., Rooney, R., Rooney, G., Strom-Gottfried, K., and Larsen, J. (2010). *Direct social work practice: Theory and skills*. (8th ed.) Belmont, CA. Brooks/Cole.

Roberts, A. and Greene, G. (2009). *Social workers' desk reference*. New York: Oxford University Press. (*required text of all students in program)

*The book is not available at the University Co-op bookstore. It is available through Oxford University Press (<http://www.oup.com/us/>) website at a discounted price.

V. Course Requirements

Students will be required to complete the assignments listed below. Detailed assignment learning objectives and instructions, including expected demonstration of content mastery and skill development will be provided in a separate handout. All assignments will be discussed in detail in class and students are encouraged to meet with the instructor should they have any questions about the assignments. Grading sheets with point allocation will be provided with the assignment guidelines. All assignments will be graded on depth and quality of required content, creativity and critical thinking skills, overall professionalism and quality of writing. Specific point totals for each assignment are indicated below. All students are required to complete a grading sheet that is to be turned in with each assignment to foster their skill and comfort in self evaluation – a critical component of practice evaluation.

Participation and Preparedness (35 points)

Due each class

Because you are graduate-level social work students, it is expected that you will be able to participate on a deeper level in class discussions, taking healthy risks to work outside of your comfort zone. In order to receive the highest level of participation points, healthy risk-taking for increasing self-awareness and professional growth must be demonstrated. Participation points will be determined by attendance, level of interest, respect for others' learning needs and **contributions** to classroom discussions and activities. Being prepared for class by reading assigned material is part of this professional expectation as well. There will be the possibility of four in-class activities throughout the semester that will gauge your preparedness for class.

Comprehensive Field Agency Analysis (100 points)

Due 9/23

This assignment provides students an opportunity to: 1) collect data on their field agencies in order to facilitate their orientation and integration into the agency; 2) assess the agency's accessibility for diverse client populations and overall cultural competence; 3) understand the policies and legislation that impact agency practice; 4) analyze the impact of the community and organizational context on the agency service delivery system; 5) determine salient social and economic injustice issues addressed by agency programs; 6) identify methods of practice

evaluation and research utilized by their agency; and 7) recognize value conflicts and ethical dilemmas encountered in this setting. Students will research and complete a comprehensive agency analysis of the data gathered that includes an overall assessment of agency strengths, leadership, openness to innovation, and future trends.

Self-Inquiry and Ethics (50 points) Due 10/14

To begin the process of self-evaluation needed for effective social work practice, students are asked to analyze an area of the NASW Code of Ethics that seems most challenging compared to their own personal beliefs. This assignment encourages creativity, critical thinking, and authenticity. A separate assignment sheet will be provided.

Video Role Play and Critique (100 points) Due 10/21

The purpose of this assignment is to provide students an opportunity to practice basic engagement and relationship building skills and the problem solving process in a videotaped interview with a partner from class. Students will select a role play topic and either schedule a taping session in the LRC or use their own equipment i.e. camera, cell phone, etc. The video and a written critique will be turned in to the instructor. The written critique will require students to identify and evaluate the use and effectiveness of their social work roles, skills and interventions. Students will also participate in small group viewing and feedback sessions to deepen their clinical learning and increase their comfort and skill in the use of supervision and consultation.

Evidence Based Practice Assignment (15 points) Due 11/4

The purpose of this assignment is for the students to obtain experience using evidence-based practice tools and resources. In evidence-based practice interventions, social workers use available research to guide their practice decision-making. Students will pose a question about an intervention or treatment approach in their field setting and search on available databases for support for that approach from research done with that population or problem area.

Multidimensional Assessment (100 points) Due 11/18

A multidimensional case assessment of a client in field is required. The primary purpose of this assignment is to increase students' knowledge and skill in data gathering, intervention planning and practice evaluation. While gathering client information and developing an intervention plan, students will identify client strengths, assets and resources, salient social and economic justice issues impacting their particular client population, significant policy implications, potential value conflicts and ethical dilemmas and important considerations related to agency and community context. The theoretical foundation for the intervention design will be a systems/ecological/transtheoretical/developmental strengths based framework.

Final Examination (100 points) Due 12/4

A final take home examination is focused on the integration and application of course content in the context of the students' field experiences. Students will have 2 weeks to complete the exam.

Grading

UT Graduate Grading Scale

100 - 94 = A
93 - 90 = A-
89 - 87 = B+
86 - 84 = B
83 - 80 = B-
79 - 77 = C+
76 - 74 = C
73 - 70 = C- (Class failed/no credit: 73 and below)
69 - 67 = D+
66 - 64 = D
63 - 60 = D-
59 and below = F

Class Point Total Grading Scale

470-500 points	A	Students who were admitted into the MSSW program on a conditional basis are not able to take an incomplete for this course if the conditions for admission are still in place.
450-469 points	A-	
435-449 points	B+	
420-434 points	B	
400-419 points	B-	
385-399 points	C+	
370-384 points	C	
350-369 points	C-	
335-349 points	D+	
320-334 points	D	
300-319 points	D-	
299 or below	F	

VI. Class Policies

This class will operate under the guidelines of professional accountability in the classroom. The following policies will be observed:

The University of Texas Honor Code

The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

Use of E-Mail for Official Correspondence to Students

Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible to keep the university informed about changes to their

e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin’s policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>

Documented Disability Statement

Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471-6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). Present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit <http://www.utexas.edu/diversity/ddce/ssd/>.

Professional Conduct And Civility In The Classroom

The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another’s opinions. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive engagement and mutual respect. Social Work also deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues. In this environment we will be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometimes we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. Nevertheless, the professor requires that students engage one another with civility, respect, and professionalism.

Title IX Reporting

In accordance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the University of Texas at Austin is committed to maintaining a learning environment that is free from discriminatory conduct based on gender. All faculty, instructors, and staff who supervise students are required to relay student reports of alleged sex discrimination, sexual harassment, including sexual violence or sexual misconduct, experienced by a student to the University Title IX office and an assistant or associate dean of the school. Further information including student resources related to Title IX may be found at <https://www.utexas.edu/student-affairs/policies/title-ix>.

Classroom Confidentiality

Information shared in class about agencies, clients, and personal matters is considered confidential per the NASW Code of Ethics on educational supervision and is protected by FERPA regulations as well. Discussions with individuals outside of the educational context are not permitted. For example, discussions outside of class with individuals not in this class or with other members of the class/field seminar regarding information shared in class about clients is considered a breach of confidentiality. All students are expected to maintain confidentiality.

Violations of confidentiality could result in actions taken according to the policies and procedure for review of academic performance located in sections 3.0, 3.1, and 3.2 of the Standards for Social Work Education.

Attendance and Participation

Attendance and participation for the full three hours of class and the additional hour of field seminar is expected for all students. **After two absences the student's final grade will be lowered by one grade.** Further absences may result in the student being dropped from the course. Repeated late arrivals to class may be considered as an absence. Classroom exercises, discussions, role plays, guest speakers and other class experiential exercises are essential for the students' professional learning and continued development of self awareness. This form of learning cannot be "made up" once missed. The instructor reserves the right to add or deduct 10 points from the final point total based on the quality of students' classroom participation. Students are to notify the instructor prior to class at the given office number or via email if they cannot attend class due to an illness or emergency.

Religious Holidays

By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

Maintaining Confidentiality

Information shared in class about agencies and clients is considered to be covered by the NASW Code of Ethics regarding the sharing of information for supervisory purposes. Agencies are aware that de-identified information is shared in class for this purpose. However, discussions outside of class with individuals not in this class or with other members of the class/field seminar regarding information shared in class about clients is considered a breach of confidentiality. All students are expected to maintain confidentiality.

Papers

Students are expected to produce high quality work in terms of appearance, writing style and content. Points will be deducted for errors, misspellings, incorrect grammar, poor organization, repetition of information, and inferior word processing. When using information from other sources, references and bibliography should conform to the current APA style of citation. Students are encouraged to consult with the SSW writing consultant. Plagiarism is a serious violation of university rules and will be dealt with according to university policy.

Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty

Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University,

policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (<http://www.utexas.edu/depts/dos/sjs/>).

Use of course materials

The materials used in this course, including, but not limited to exams, quizzes, and homework assignments, are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized duplication of the course materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary action being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of course materials without the specific, express approval of the professor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This sharing includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of distributing those materials to other current or future students.

Time Management

All assignments are due at the beginning of class on the date and time indicated. Late assignments will be penalized 5 % of the total percentage points allowed per day that they are late and will only be accepted in the first week following an assignment's due date. Contact the instructor before the assignment is due if other arrangements need to be made due to an emergency. All assignments are due at the beginning of class on the date and time indicated on Canvas.

Use of Canvas in Class

In this class the professor uses Canvas Web based course management system with password protected access at <http://canvas.utexas.edu> to distribute course materials, to communicate and collaborate online, to post grades, to submit assignments, and to give students online quizzes and surveys. Students can find support in using Canvas at the ITS Help Desk. For more information go to <http://www.utexas.edu/its/helpdesk/>

Social Media and Professional Communication

Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers. Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material that could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting

information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image. Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including **any** information that might lead to the identification of a client, or compromise client confidentiality in **any** way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics. Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

Safety

As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

Unanticipated Distress

Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the professor. The professor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students may contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at www.utexas.edu/student/cmhc/.

Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL)

If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided through a partnership among the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

Emergency Evacuation Policy

Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.

- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you're given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

Feedback

Students will be asked to provide feedback on their learning and the professor's teaching strategies in informal as well as formal ways. It is very important for the professor to know the students' reactions to what is taking place in class, ensuring that together the professor and students can create a dynamic and effective learning community. Students are encouraged to provide ongoing feedback to the instructor during class and office visits.

VII. COURSE SCHEDULE –

HRRSL =Hepworth Rooney Direct Social Work Practice text

SWDR =Social Worker's Desk Reference text

CANVAS = Look for additional Readings

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topic</u>
8/26	<p>Class # 1 Introduction to the profession of social work Professional purpose and mission Syllabus Historical roots Commitment to social and economic justice Universal social work competencies Cultural competence Generalist practice and social work roles HRRSL Ch. 1,2 (Scan and browse chapters for questions. See Ch. Summaries in Canvas) Turn in Learning Assessment</p>
9/2	<p>Class #2 Social work values and ethics Personal vs. professional values Cardinal social work values NASW Code of Ethics Texas State Board of SW Examiners Code of Conduct Value conflicts and ethical dilemmas Guidelines for ethical decision-making Supervision and consultation for ethical practice Malpractice and risk management NASW Code of Ethics HRRSL Ch. 4 SWDR Ch. 18 Ethical Issues</p>

Canvas: Tervalon: Cultural Humility
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE

- 9/9** **Class #3 Guiding frameworks for social work practice**
Critical thinking
The problem solving process
Overview of the helping process
Review of systems and ecological theories
Transtheoretical/Stages of Change model
HRRSL Ch. 3
SWDR Ch. 30 Task Centered Approach
Canvas: “Critical Thinking, What is it?”
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
- 9/16** **Class #4 Engagement and relationship building skills**
Strengths perspective
Resilience and developmental assets
Professional communication skills for all
levels of social work practice
Microskills – review and skill based practice
HRRSL Ch. 5, 6
SWDR Ch. 80 Developing Successful Relationships
Canvas: Rogers, “Therapeutic Conditions”
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
- 9/23** **Class # 5 Engagement and relationship building skills**
Microskills – continued review and skill based practice
HRRSL Ch. 7 Eliminating Counterproductive
Communication Patterns
Canvas: Kabat-Zinn -Attitudes of Mindfulness
Gerdes and Segal, Empathy
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
COMPREHENSIVE FIELD AGENCY ANALYSIS
- 9/30** **Class # 6 Multidimensional assessment**
Process and product
Overview of multidimensional assessment
Identification of client system strengths, assets and
resources
Aspects of diversity in assessment
(Socioeconomic status, age, developmental
context, gender, race, physical status, sexual
sexual orientation and spiritual beliefs)
HRRSL Ch. 8, 9
CANVAS: Class # 6 Assessment Examples and
“Conducting Psychosocial Assessment”
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
PROCESS RECORDING #1
- 10/2** **Annual Sue Fairbanks Lecture (OPTIONAL)**
When A Parent Dies: A Psychoanalytic Perspective of the

Process of Bereavement
Colin Pereira-Webber, MA
1-4pm UTOPIA
RSVP to ssw-profdev@utlists.utexas.edu

- 10/7** **Class # 7 Specialized assessments**
Suicidal risk/risk of harm to others
Domestic violence
Abuse of children and the –elderly
Family Assessment - Ecomaps and Genograms
Class handouts
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
- 10/14** **Class #8 Social Work Interface with Religion and Spirituality**
Professional Use of Self
HRRSL Ch. 9, pp. 240-241
Canvas: Module 8 “ Spirituality/Religion in Social Work”
Dewane: Use of Self
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
SELF INQUIRY AND ETHICS
- 10/21** **Class # 9 Intervention planning**
Evidence based practice
Designing intervention, maintenance and evaluation plans
Identifying and operationalizing goals and objectives
Utilizing contracts
Evaluating practice effectiveness
HRRSL Ch. 12, 13
SWDR Ch. 70, 71, 161
Canvas: Murdach, “Soft Evidence”
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
VIDEO ROLE PLAY RECORDING DUE AND CRITIQUE PAPER
- 10/28** **Class # 10 Video Role-Play Review (No class and no seminar)**
Class will meet in small groups.
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
PROCESS RECORDING # 2
- 11/4** **Class # 11 Intro to group work in agency, organization and community settings**
Distinguishing types of group
Therapeutic groups
Issues on planning and formation

Stages of Group Development
Effective group leadership
Methods of evaluation
HRRSL Ch. 11
Canvas: “Group Process and Group Technique”
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
EVIDENCE BASED PRACTICE ASSIGNMENT

- 11/11** **Class # 12 Group work continued**
Task groups-effective goals, leadership, process and evaluation
HRRSL Ch. 16, pp. 530 -531
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
- 11/18** **Class #13 Community and organizational practice**
Targeting larger client systems for change
Advocacy and social action
HRRSL Ch. 14
SWDR Ch. 123 “Legacy of Racism”, 115 “Community Organizing”
Canvas: Principled Negotiation
WEEKLY FIELD LOG DUE
MULTIDIMENSIONAL ASSESSMENT
- 11/25** **No Class - Happy Thanksgiving!**
(Class time will be made up in video review groups and attendance at a community task group)
NO WEEKLY FIELD LOG
- 12/2** **Class # 14 Endings and transitions**
Course review, reflection and evaluation
Moments of Excellence celebration
Looking forward to Practice II
No Weekly Field Log
PROCESS RECORDING #3
FIELD PROFESSIONAL PORTFOLIO DUE
BRING MOMENTS OF EXCELLENCE
FOR CLASSMATE
FINAL EXAM DUE 12/4 BY MIDNIGHT!

VIII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abels, S. (2001). *Ethics in social work practice: Narratives in professional helping*. Denver, CO.: Love Publishing.
- Abels, S. (2000). *Spirituality in social work practice: Narratives for professional helping*. Denver, CO.: Love Publishing Co.
- Allen-Meaers, P and Garvin, C. (2000). *The handbook of direct social work practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA.: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Anderson. J. and Carter, R.W. (2003). *Diversity perspectives for social work practice*. Boston, MA.: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Anderson, M. and Collins, P. (1995). *Race, class and gender: An anthology*. Belmont, CA.: Wadsworth Publishing Co.
- Berg, I. and Dolan, Y. (2001). *Tales of solutions*. New York: W.W. Norton and Co.
- Brueggemann, W. (2002). *The practice of macro social work*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Canda, E. and Furman, L. (1999). *Spirituality in social work practice*. New York: The Free Press.
- Corey, M. and Corey, G. (2002). *Groups: Process and practice*. (6th ed.). Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Dejong, P. and Miller, S. (1995). How to interview for client strengths. *Social Work, 40*, 729-736.
- BuBois, B. and Miley, K. (2002). *Social work: An empowering profession*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.
- Ezell, M. (2001). *Advocacy in the human services*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishers.
- Fellin, P. (2001). *The community and the social worker*. (3rd ed.) Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Gambrill, E. (1997). *Social work practice: A critical thinker's guide*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gelfand, B. (1988). *The creative practitioner: Creative theory and method for the helping services*. New York: Haworth Press.
- Gerdes, K., & Segal, E. (2011). Importance of Empathy for Social Work Practice: Integrating New Science. *Social Work, 141-148*.
- Gutierrez, L., Parsons, R. and Cox, E. (1998). *Empowerment in social work practice: A sourcebook*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Hardcastle, D., Wenocur, S. and Powers, P. (1997). *Community practice: Theories and skills for social workers*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haulotte, S. and Kretzschmar, J. (eds.) (2001). *Case scenarios for teaching and learning in social work practice*. Alexandria, VA.: Council on Social Work Education.
- Healy, L. (2001). *International social work: Professional action in an independent world*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hepworth, D., Rooney, R. and Larsen, J. (2000). *Direct social work practice: Theory and skills*. (6th ed.) Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Ivey, A. (1994). *Intentional interviewing and counseling: Facilitating client development in a multicultural society*. (3rd Ed.) Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Johnson, H.W. and Contributors. (1998). *The social services: An introduction*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Kirst-Ashman, K. and Hull, G. (2000). *Understanding generalist practice*. Chicago: Nelson Hall Publishers.

- Linzer, N. (1999). *Resolving ethical dilemmas in social work practice*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.
- Locke, B. Garrison, R. and Winship, J. (1998). *Generalist social work practice: Context, story and partnership*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Loewenberg, F. Dolgoff, R. and Harrington, D. (2000). *Ethical decisions for social work practice*. (6th ed.) Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Lens, V. (2004). Principled Negotiation: A New Tool for Case Advocacy. *Social Work*, 506-513.
- Lukas, S. (1993). *Where to start and what to ask: An assessment handbook*. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Lynch, E. and Hanson, M. (1992). *Developing cross cultural competence*. Baltimore, MD.: Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.
- McClam, T. and Woodside, M. (1994). *Problem solving in the helping professions*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishers.
- Meenaghan, T. and Gibbons, W. (2000). *Generalist practice in larger setting*. Chicago: Lyceum Books, Inc.
- Miller, W.R. and Rollnick, S. (2002). *Motivational interviewing: Preparing people for change*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Murdach, A. (2010). What Good Is Soft Evidence? *Social Work*, 309-316.
- Poulin, J. and Contributors. (2000). *Collaborative strengths based generalist practice*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Rivas, R. and Hull, G. (1996). *Case studies in generalist practice*. Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishers.
- Rogers, C. (1956). The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 95-103.
- Rothman, J., Erlich, J. and Tropman, J. (2001). *Strategies of community intervention: Macro practice*. (6th ed.) Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Saleeby, D. (2002). *The strengths perspective in social work practice*. (3rd ed.) New York: Longman Publishers.
- Schneider, R. and Lester, L. (2001). *Social work advocacy*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Sheafor, B., Horejsi, C., and Horejsi, G. (2003). *Techniques and guidelines for social work practice*. (6th ed.) Boston: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.
- Shulman, L. (1999). *The skills of helping individuals, families, groups and communities*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Tervalon, M., & Murray-García, J. (1998). Cultural Humility Versus Cultural Competence: A Critical Distinction in Defining Physician Training Outcomes in Multicultural Education. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 117-125.
- Toseland, R. and Rivas R. (2001). *An introduction to group work practice*. (4th ed.) Boston: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.
- Tripman, J., Erlich, J. and Rothman, J. (eds.) (2001) *Tactics and techniques of community intervention*. Itasca, IL.: F.E. Peacock Publishers.
- Vernon, R. and Lynch, D. (2000). *Social work and the web*. Belmont, OH: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Walsh, F. (1998). *Strengthening family resilience*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Westfelt, A. and Dietz, T. (2001). *Planning and conducting agency based research: A workbook for students in their field placements*. Needham Heights, MA.: Allyn and Bacon.
- Weissman, H. (1990). *Serious play: Creativity and innovation in social work*. Silver Spring, MD.: NASW Press.
- Zastrow, C. (1995). *The practice of social work*. Belmont, CA.: Brooks/Cole Publishers.

Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness*. New York, N.Y.: Delacorte Press.