

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

Course Number:	SW 393U18	Instructor:	M. Armour, Ph.D.
Unique Number:	29575 law) 64435 (SW)	E-mail:	marmour@utexas.edu
Semester:	Spring 2014	Phone:	471 3197
Meeting Time:	Fri. 5:30-8:30 Sat. 9:00-5:30 Jan. 17– Feb. 14, 2014.	Office Room:	3.212EB
Meeting Place:	2.122	Office Hours:	Tuesday 11:30-12:30 Friday 3:30-5:00

RESTORATIVE JUSTICE

1. COURSE DESCRIPTION

Restorative justice is a social movement and set of practices that aims to redirect society's retributive response to crime. Crime, in the context of restorative justice, is not considered just an offense against the state but rather is viewed as a wrong against another person and indicative of a broken relationship between the offender, victim, and community. Accordingly, restorative justice seeks to elevate the role of crime victims and community members; hold offenders directly accountable to the people they have violated; and restore, to the extent possible, the emotional and material losses of victims by providing a range of opportunities for dialogue, negotiation, and problem solving.

This course provides an introduction and exposure to the principles of restorative justice and its application to the treatment of human suffering from crime and related social problems. It explores the needs and roles for key stakeholders (victims, offenders, communities, justice systems), examines the values and assumptions of the movement, including its spiritual and religious roots, and introduces students to some of the current programs at community, state and international levels. The framework of the course is, in part, based on social work values and the ethical decision-making process. Besides discussing its policy implications, students will evaluate the potential of restorative justice to address social problems marked by human conflict, oppression, power and harm, e.g. partner abuse, hate crimes. Finally, students will examine the empirical evidence for restorative justice, identify critical issues including gaps in theory or practice, and critique its integrity and overall direction.

II. COURSE OBJECTIVES

By the end of the semester, students will be able to

1. Demonstrate an understanding of restorative justice concepts, principles and values.
2. Recognize the trauma and emotional impact of crime on victims, communities and offenders, and ways to be responsive to the needs and interest of crime victims.
3. Explain the concept, methods and potential uses of different restorative justice practices.
4. Develop familiarity with humanistic mediation and the skills used to conduct restorative justice mediations, conferences and circles.
5. Identify the personal, interpersonal, and organizational changes necessary for implementation of restorative justice initiatives and the implications for policy and program development including stakeholder and professional roles.
6. Demonstrate familiarity with the research on restorative justice including the criteria used for assessment of restorative justice programs.
7. Critique the promise of restorative justice for producing healing, including its challenges and pitfalls.
8. Demonstrate knowledge of ethical decision-making processes as they relate to restorative justice practice.

III. TEACHING METHODS

This course is multidisciplinary and uses a collaborative teaching model that mirrors restorative justice processes. Students are expected to contribute toward building and maintaining a deeply respectful and highly participatory learning environment. Students can draw on their own life experiences, readings, classroom and field experiences. Material will be presented through a combination of lectures, videos, small group exercises, demonstrations of restorative justice practices, guest lecturers including victim panels, field trips, student presentations, and class discussions.

IV. REQUIRED TEXTS

Umbreit, M. & Armour, M. (2010). *Restorative justice dialogue: An essential guide for research and practice*. New York: Springer. ISBN: 978082612258

Zehr, J. & Toews, B. (2004) (Eds.). *Critical issues in restorative justice*. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press 419 pp ISBN 1-881798-51-8. \$30.50.

Godobo-Madikizela (2003). *A human being died that night: A South African story of forgiveness*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. ISBN: 0618211896; \$24.00

Zehr, H. (2002). *The little book of restorative justice*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books. 978-1561483761

The course will use Blackboard for information. All non textbook materials will be available on Blackboard to read and/or download.

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

The grade for this course will be based on the student's ability to understand, apply and critique restorative justice as a model for addressing crime. The following course requirements will be completed during the semester, with points given for each course requirement. Grading will be based on total points accrued by the end of the semester. All papers should be typed and double-spaced and follow the APA format. Students should turn in a hard copy and send an electronic copy to the instructor of all assignments.

Formatting: Papers must be printed on white paper in black ink. Papers must be stapled. Each page must be numbered at the bottom. You must use 1.25" margins all around. Papers should be double spaced in Times New Roman or Times 12-point font. Failure to properly format your paper will result in a penalty of up to 10 points off your grade for a paper. Spelling and grammar errors will also result in a reduction of your grade. Do not rely on software to correct all your errors, as it will not catch them all.

1. Interview with a criminal justice official (5 pages)

Conduct an interview with a criminal justice official on restorative justice. Describe restorative justice in your own words and solicit the person's feedback and questions in order to listen to and understand their perspective. Attempt to influence them (gently) in the direction of restorative justice. In a brief paper (5 pages minimum), summarize this experience, how you presented restorative justice, the person's reactions, and what if anything you learned about restorative justice and/or how to present it.

10 points (Due February 7th)

2. Group Project (10 pages)

Select Project Option 1, Option 2 or Option 3. Develop a 30 minute classroom presentation. The presentation will consist of doing a lecture and leading a brief discussion with the class about the problem or case (see Project Option 1, 2 or 3 below). The lecture can employ role play, video or other instructional device to help class members understand the problem or case. Each group will sign up for a classroom presentation to be given during the 3rd, 4th or 5th weekend. Each group will turn in a 10-page minimum paper that summarizes the problem or case. Each group will also turn in a reference list of ALL sources used to develop the presentation. The 10-page paper and reference list are due on the day of the presentation. Group members will assess each other's contribution anonymously using a form developed by the instructor. Peer assessments will be due the week

after the presentation. The final grade will be a composite of the grade assigned by the instructor and the group members' assessments of the work done by each of their peers.

Project Option 1: Select a topic from the list below under #3 (Course Paper). Research the topic through the literature, interviews with stakeholders, and available DVDs and videotapes.

- a) Select a topic.
- b) Describe the area selected including relevant background and the role of restorative justice.
- c) Where is restorative justice relevant?--describe the debate about the use of restorative justice, problems with application, information about effectiveness, future obstacles, ethical concerns etc.
- d) Make suggestions about how to improve any of the issues raised in (c) above.

Project Option 2: Implement a restorative justice project in a specific context. An example would be to design a project for a not for profit, community organization that has received a \$250,000 to develop restorative justice for 12 to 14 year old graffiti violators. Design a restorative process for the selected problem.

- a) Select a problem area, e.g. sexual assault, white collar crime.
- b) Describe the problem including its size, significance, and impact on victims, the community, and offenders, if applicable, e.g. the impact of substance abuse on incarceration.
- c) Describe society's response. Does society ignore the problem, use a retributive model, punish the victim, etc?
- d) Design a restorative response to the problem. Answer the following questions: What defines your problem or community? What community values will be reflected in your restorative justice program? What are the criminal justice and community goals of your program? What is the 'mission statement' for your program? What types of crime will your program address? What agencies or organizations will you accept referrals from? Where will your funding come from? How would you introduce and garner support for legislation? Will your program rely on volunteers or hired practitioners? How will these individuals be trained? What restorative justice tools will you use in your program? How will you measure the success of your program? What obstacles are you likely to face in the administration of your program?

Project Option 3: Find a current or historic case or conflict that has been 'resolved' within the context of the existing justice system. Groups will consider the alternative remedies available through the lens of restorative justice and design a plan for restoration that the entire group agrees upon. The considerations, plans and anticipated outcomes will be presented to the class.

- a) Select a case, e.g. O.J. Simpson; James Byrd case, Mathew Shephard, etc.

- b) Describe the case fully including the significant players, background on the significant players, events related to the crime, the trial, conviction and sentencing, media response, aftermath, e.g. appeals, execution, victim's response.
- c) Develop a restorative response to the case. Answer the following questions: How would you introduce and garner support for legislation? Will your program rely on volunteers or hired practitioners? How will these individuals be trained? What restorative justice tools will you use in this case? Who would be involved? How would you implement it? What topics might be addressed if a dialogue occurred? What might restitution include? How will you measure the success of the initiative? What obstacles are you likely to face in the administration of the program? Etc.

All options might involve the collection of information through literature review, Internet searches, agency visits, in-person or telephone interviews, etc. where appropriate

30 points

3. Course paper

Each student is to select a topic within the restorative justice field and write a scholarly paper. The topic should be different than the topic for the oral presentation. A typed, well-written paper is to be submitted. If the topic chosen overlaps with a topic in the textbook, the exploration and analysis is expected to go substantially deeper than that covered in the class. The paper should be written in APA format (6th edition) and should be between 18- 20 typewritten pages (excluding references, title page, and appendices). The search of the literature should include journal articles as well as pertinent book chapters and monographs. Papers will be graded on both content and writing style: APA format will also be graded. Please select the topic from the list below. If you want to choose a different topic, please discuss your topic with me before proceeding with this option.

1. The mediator's role
2. Surrogate mediation
3. Application of restorative justice to international conflict: Northern Ireland
4. Application of restorative justice to international conflict: Israeli/Palestinian conflict.
5. Application of restorative justice to international conflict: South Africa
6. School mediation
7. Restorative justice and domestic violence
8. Restorative justice and sexual abuse
9. Defense-initiated victim outreach (DIVO)
10. Forgiveness and apology
11. Prison ministries

12. Theological roots of restorative justice
13. Restorative release: Reintegrating prisoners into the community.
14. Reintegrative shaming.
15. Community justice.
16. Bioethics mediation.
17. Restorative justice and violent crimes.
18. Victim offender mediation (VOM).
19. Family Group Conferencing.
20. Family Group Decision Making (Child Welfare system)
21. Circles/circle sentencing & reparative probation boards
22. Restorative justice and hate crimes.
23. Restorative justice and the state: The risk of cooption
24. Restorative justice and drug use.
25. Restorative justice and policy development.
26. Evaluating restorative justice programs.
27. Restorative justice and bullying

This paper might involve the collection of information through literature review, Internet searches, agency visits, in-person or telephone interviews, etc. where appropriate. It should include references from scholarly journals, books, and periodicals other than our required course materials. Students who want papers returned should provide the instructor with a self addressed stamped envelope attached to the paper.

40 points (Due April 21nd)

4. Weekly Exams

There will be four exams on the required readings. Required readings are marked in bold. Exams will be given at the beginning of class on 1/24, 1/31, 2/7 and 2/14. Exams will be based on the required readings for the past week. For example, the exam given on 1/24 will cover the required readings for the weekend of 1/17 and 1/18. The exam will cover one of the required readings.

20 points (5 points for each exam)

5. Extra Assignment

Students who miss one or more class meetings **will be required** to complete an extra assignment. The student must write an additional 15 - 20 page paper on a topic listed in assignment #4 above. The scholarly paper must be APA style, type written, and turned in the last day of class. You should use references from scholarly journals, books, and periodicals other than our required course materials. The instructor must approve your topic in advance.

6. Extra Credit

Students can gain exposure to local restorative justice programs and receive extra credit by electing to participate in one of three programs. (1) Students may volunteer to attend a Bridges to Life session at the Kyle unit; (2) Students may attend a Man Alive session at Mission Possible; (3) Students may attend a Restorative Circle at Mission Possible. Opportunities to attend other programs may occur during the semester as well. Students will write a double-spaced 5-7 page paper that (a) describes the experience; (b) delineates the restorative justice principles in operation in the program; and (c) details what the student learned from the experience.

5 points (Due April 21nd)

VI. COURSE GRADING CRITERIA

Interview of Restorative Justice	10 points
Group Presentation	30 points
Course Paper	40 points
Exams	<u>20 points</u>
TOTAL	100 points

GRADING SCALE

A total of 100 points may be earned for the above assignments. Grades will be assigned as follows.

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

Graduate students must earn a minimum grade of C in the course for credit; also, graduate students must maintain an overall B average

VII. CLASS POLICIES

- 1. The University of Texas Honor Code:** The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.
- 2. UT Professional Conduct in Class** The professor expects students to act like professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. We will not, nor should we, always agree with one another. In this environment we should be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometime we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. However, the professor does require that students engage one another with respect and professionalism.
- 3. Classroom Policy on Professional Conduct in Class:** Students are expected to behave professionally in the classroom and to treat the instructor, their peers, and guests with respect. A student who behaves unprofessionally or disrespectfully will receive, verbally and in writing, a Level 1 review. The instructor will also take whatever action she deems necessary to advance the learning environment of that class period. The continuation of unprofessional or disrespectful behavior may result in permanent suspension from the class and/or a grade of F for the class. Some examples of unprofessional and disrespectful behavior include talking over others, whispering to others when the instructor or other students are speaking, or making derogatory gestures or comments. Some examples of overt acts include sneers, rolling of the eyes, excluding class members from team classroom tasks, etc.
- 4. Policy On Social Media And Professional Communication.** Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image. Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including *any* information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in *any* way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

5. **UT Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty.** Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (<http://www.utexas.edu/depts/dos/sjs/>).
6. **Classroom Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty.** Social work practitioners assume responsibility for themselves. Therefore, it is expected that the work handed in will be your own. Scholastic dishonesty, including plagiarism and cheating during examinations, violates social work values and will result in recommendation for dismissal from the social work program and a referral to the Dean of Student's Office.
7. **Documented Disability Statement**

Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471-6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). Present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit <http://www.utexas.edu/diversity/ddce/ssd/>. Special Accommodations for Students with a Disability.
8. **Religious Holidays**

By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.
9. **Use of E-Mail for Official Correspondence to Students.** Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible to keep the university informed about changes to their e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time-sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>.
10. **Safety.** As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent

to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

11. Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL). If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided through a partnership among the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

12. Emergency Evacuation Policy

Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you're given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

13. As students enter the UT School of Social Work, they are simultaneously entering a profession that has a set of ethical responsibilities and values that all social workers must follow. Students are held to the NASW ethical mandates and UT SSW Standards for Social Work Education as outlined in the Student Handbook.

14. Except in case of extreme emergencies, and then only with the permission of the professor, late assignments will not be accepted without penalty. Students are expected to turn in all required assignments on the agreed upon due date at the beginning of class. Students will lose 3 points for each day that an assignment is late. If the due date is a problem, then the student must contact the professor and negotiate another due date at least 24 hours PRIOR to the regularly scheduled date. Students are responsible for the material in the assigned readings whether or not the material is covered in class.

15. There are no "excused" absences. Students missing more than one (1) class session will receive a 10% reduction in their overall course grade. Students missing two (2) or more class sessions may receive an "F" for the course. The instructor may use her discretion. Students should not register for this class if he/she has a conflict with the schedule, however emergencies are understandable. As soon as you know that you will not be attending class because of an emergency, please let me know by email. Coming to class late or leaving class early counts as an absence. Students missing one or more class sessions will be required to complete an additional assignment. See section on additional assignment for guidelines and see me for approval.

16. Students are expected to complete all assignments and all parts of an assignment regardless of whether or not they earn an assigned grade. Students who do not complete

all assignments and all parts of an assignment will receive an incomplete at the end of the semester.

17. Students may not use laptop computers or other electronic equipment, e.g. cell phone, text messaging, in class. 'Ear buds' are also not allowed. Communication devices will be turned or placed in 'silent' mode while in class.
18. Constructive feedback about the course is welcome and the course will be viewed as a joint effort between students and the instructor. Students are encouraged to provide feedback during office hours and by appointment if they desire. Students are also expected to provide the feedback in time for the instructor to make changes that will benefit them. If students have concerns about the instructor, the course, or the classroom environment, students are expected to communicate their concerns directly and constructively to the instructor first.
19. Confidentiality. Personal disclosure is not an expectation or a requirement of this course. However, it might be appropriate for students to talk about personal information during class as it relates to our learning about a particular topic. Students are expected to adhere to all professional standards of confidentiality during the semester.
20. The Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA) is the style manual to be used for all assignments. Incorrect APA style will result a deduction of points on assignments.
21. At times, the instructor may ask students for a copy of their papers to use as a sample paper for students in future classes. If asked, students have the right to decline without fear of reprisal. They will be asked to sign a form indicating that they have freely given the instructor permission to use their paper as a sample fill in the following information and return it to the instructor.

Course Schedule

Date	Description	Text/Readings
Week 1		
1/17 5:30- 8:30	<p>Course review</p> <p>Introduction to Restorative Justice.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The expanding prison. • Retributive theory & the restorative justice paradigm. • Restorative justice & social work. <p>Video</p>	<p>Godobo-Madikizela, P. (2003). <i>A human being died that night: A South African story of forgiveness</i>. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. —READ THIS BOOK BY 2/8/14.</p> <p>Zehr, H. (2002). The little book of restorative justice. Pp. 3-41. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.</p> <p>Geske, J. (2005). Why Do I Teach Restorative Justice to Law Students? Marquette Law Review. http://law.marquette.edu/s3/site/images/restorative/lawreviews/Geske.pdf</p> <p>Cayley, D. (1998). The expanding prison: The crisis in crime and punishment and search for alternative, pp. 15-62; 88-99. Cleveland, OH: Pilgrim Press</p> <p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapters 1 & 2.</p>
1/18 a.m. 9:00- 12:00	<p>Indigenous and religious roots.</p> <p>Spiritual principles.</p> <p>Prison ministries and the state.</p>	<p>Johnstone, G. (2002). Reviving restorative justice traditions. In G. Johnstone. <i>Restorative justice: Ideas, values debates</i>. Pp. 36-61. Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.</p> <p>Zehr, (1990). Covenant justice: The biblical alternative In H. Zehr, <i>Changing lenses: A new focus for crime and justice</i>. pp 126-157. Scottsdale, PA: Herald Press.</p> <p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapter 3.</p>
1/18 p.m. 1:00- 5:30	<p>The needs & responsibilities of victims.</p> <p>Victim impact panel.</p>	<p>Cook, B., David, F. & Grant, A. (1999). The impact of crime on victims In B. Cook, F. David & A. Grant, <i>Victims' needs, victims' rights: Policies and programs for victims of crime</i> (pp. 14-39). <i>Australia Research and Public Policy Series, no. 19</i>. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology. Available at http://www.aic.gov.au/documents/C/E/A/{CEA35554-6D50-460D-A31F-4A51117F1A9D}full_report.pdf</p> <p>Armour, M.P. (2002). The Journey of Homicide Families: A Qualitative Study of Their Post Homicide Experience. <i>American Journal of Orthopsychiatry</i> 72(3): 372-383.</p> <p>Neiderbach, S. (1986). Rita. In S. Neiderbach. <i>Invisible wounds: Crime victims speak</i>. Pp. 178-223. New York, NY: Harrington Park Press.</p> <p>Zehr, (2001). <i>Transcending: Reflections of crime victims</i>. Intercourse, PA: Good Books</p> <p>Zehr & Toews. (2004). <i>Victims</i>, pp. 65-73; 96-105.</p>
Week 2		
1/24	Exam 1	Rowley, T. (2003). Hair chronicles. In W. Lamb <i>Couldn't keep it to myself; Wally Lamb and the</i>

5:30-8:30	<p>The needs & responsibilities of offenders.</p> <p>The needs & responsibilities of the community.</p> <p>Offender panel</p>	<p>women of York Correctional Institution (pp. 95-111). New York, NY: Regan Books.</p> <p>Whiteley, N. (2003). Orbiting Izzy. In W. Lamb <i>Couldn't keep it to myself; Wally Lamb and the women of York Correctional Institution</i> (pp. 53-63). New York, NY: Regan Books.</p> <p>Alder, C. (2000). <i>Young women offenders and the challenge for restorative justice</i>. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). <i>Restorative Justice: Philosophy to Practice</i>, pp. 105-119. Burlington, Vermont: Ashgate Publishing Company</p> <p>Zehr and Toews (2004). <i>Offenders</i>. Pp. 107-118, 133-142</p> <p>Zehr and Toews (2004). <i>Community</i>. 155-172</p> <p>Armour (in preparation). Chapter 2: Crime, Incivilities and Social Structure (Offenders and Community) OR Armour (in preparation). Chapter 11: Restorative Community Reentry:Reconnection with the "Community."</p> <p>Zehr and Toews (2004). State. Pp.1 73-187, 215-226, 227-238</p>
1/25 a.m 7:30-1:30	Offender panel and Giddings tour	
1/25 p.m. 2:30-5:30	Programs 1: Victim Offender Mediation (VOM)	<p>Umbreit and Armour (2010). Chapter 5 & 6.</p> <p>Zehr and Toews (2004). Pp 241-252.</p>
Week 3		
1/31 5:30-8:30	<p>Exam 2</p> <p>Student presentations.</p> <p>Humanistic mediation: The role of the mediator.</p>	<p>Williams, S. & Williams, S. (1994). <i>Being in the middle by being at the edge: Quaker experience of non-official political mediation</i>. Pp. 14-41; 54-63. London: Quaker Peace & Service.</p> <p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapter 4 & 9</p> <p>Gold, L. (1995). Appendix 3: Influencing unconscious influences: The healing dimension of mediation. In M.S. Umbreit, <i>Mediating interpersonal conflicts: A pathway to peace</i>. pp. 251-262. West Concord, MN: CPI Publishing.</p>
2/1 a.m. 9:00-12:30	Programs 2: Victim Offender Mediated Dialogue	<p>Umbreit & Armour (2011) Chapter 8</p> <p>Cayley (1998). From guilt to obligation: Victim offender mediation. In D. Cayley. <i>The expanding prison: The crisis in crime and punishment and search for alternative</i>, pp. 215-237. Cleveland, OH: Pilgrim Press</p>

	<p>Speaker: Mark Odem <i>Video: Meeting with a Killer</i> Speakers: Linda White and Ellen Halbert</p>	<p>Umbreit, M. S., Coates, R., Vos, B. & Armour, M.P. (2006). Victims of severe violence in mediated dialogue with offender: The impact of the first multi-site study in the U.S. <u>International Review of Victimology</u> 13(1), 27-48.</p>
2/1 p.m. 1:30-5:30	<p>Program 3: Restorative Justice & Schools</p> <p>Speakers: Stephanie Frogge Kevin Curtis Eliz. Washington</p> <p>The role of moral emotions: empathy, remorse and forgiveness</p>	<p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapter 7 & 11</p> <p>Sumner, Silverman, and Frampton. (2010). <u>School-Based Restorative Justice as an Alternative to Zero-Tolerance Policies: Lessons from West Oakland.</u></p> <p>Saluka, A. Restorative justice: Is Austin, Texas ready for an alternative approach to domestic violence? Available at http://www.utexas.edu/research/cswr/rji/spaper.pdf</p> <p>Harris, N., Walgrave,L., & Braithwaite, J. (2004). Emotional dynamics in restorative conferences <i>Theoretical Criminology</i>, 8, 191-211.</p> <p>Armour, M.P. & Umbreit, M.S. (2006). Victim Forgiveness in Restorative Justice Dialogue. <u>Victim and Offender</u> 1(2), 123-140.</p>
Week 4		
2/7 p.m. 5:30-8:30	<p>Exam 3</p> <p>Student Presentations</p>	
2/8 a.m. 9:00-12:00	<p>Programs 4: <i>Bridges to Life</i> Speakers: BTL Participants (victims, offenders & facilitators)</p>	<p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapter 7</p> <p>Armour, M.P., Sage, J., Rubin, A. & Windsor, L. (2005). <u>Bridges to Life: Evaluation of an In-Prison Restorative Justice Intervention.</u> <u>Medicine & Law</u> 24(4), 831-851.</p> <p>Tavuchis, N. (1991). The social import of apology.. In N. Tavuchis. <i>Mea Culpa: A sociology of apology and reconciliation.</i> Pp1-14. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press</p> <p>Exline, J.J., Worthington, E.L., Jr., Hill, P., & McCullough, M.E. (2003). Forgiveness and justice: A research agenda for social and personality psychology. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Review</i> 7, 337-348.</p>
2/8 p.m. 1:00-	<p>Application of Restorative Justice to the</p>	<p>Umbreit & Armour (2010). Chapter 10</p> <p>Borer, T.A. (2004). <u>Reconciling South Africa or South Africans: Cautionary notes</u></p>

5:30	<p>community: Drug Market Initiative</p> <p>Challenges & pitfalls: The future for restorative justice.</p> <p>Speaker: Mark Umbreit</p>	<p>from the TRC. <i>African Studies Quarterly</i> 8, 19-38.</p> <p>Gilbert, M.J. & Settles, T.L. (2007). The next step: Indigenous development of neighborhood-restorative community justice. <i>Criminal Justice Review</i> 32 (1), 5-25.</p> <p>Welsh, B.C. & Farrington, D. P. (2005). Evidence-based crime prevention: Conclusions and directions for a safer society. <i>Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice</i> 47 (2), 337-354</p> <p>Porter, A.J. (2006). Restorative conferences reduce trauma from crime, study shows. Available at http://law.marquette.edu/s3/site/images/restorative/RestorativeConferencesReduce.pdf</p> <p>Acorn, A. (2004). The seductive vision of restorative justice: Right-relation, reciprocity, healing and repair. In A. Acorn. <i>Compulsory compassion</i>. Pp. 1-26 Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.</p> <p>Morrison, A. (2002). Critiquing the critics: A brief response to critics of Restorative Justice'. <i>British Journal of Criminology</i>, 42,596-615.</p> <p>Menkel-Meadow, Carrie J. (2007). Restorative Justice: What is it and Does it Work? <i>Annual Review of Law & Social Science</i> 3, 10.1-10.27. Available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1005485#PaperDownload</p>
Week 5		
2/14 5:30-9:00	<p>Exam 4</p> <p>Student presentations.</p> <p>Wrap up</p>	

Small Group Evaluation Form

Name: _____

Directions: Write the names of each group/team member on the line below each question. Do not include yourself on A through E. Do include yourself on F & G. On a scale of 1-5 please rate the participation and contributions of the members of your group to the group activities in which you have been involved. Your candid and truthful evaluations are requested. Your evaluations, along with those of the other members of your group will be taken into account in determining your grade.

	Poor		Average		Outstanding
A. The level of participation of each group member was:					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5
b. _____	1	2	3	4	5
c. _____	1	2	3	4	5
d. _____	1	2	3	4	5
B. The level of work contributed by each group member toward completion of the final project was:					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5
b. _____	1	2	3	4	5
c. _____	1	2	3	4	5
d. _____	1	2	3	4	5
C. The cooperation shown by each group member for a smooth working group was:					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5
b. _____	1	2	3	4	5
c. _____	1	2	3	4	5
d. _____	1	2	3	4	5
D. Leadership and initiative taken on by group members in order to achieve the assignment objectives was:					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5
b. _____	1	2	3	4	5
c. _____	1	2	3	4	5
d. _____	1	2	3	4	5
E. Given the skills, experience, and interest, I learned from my other group members					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5
b. _____	1	2	3	4	5
c. _____	1	2	3	4	5
d. _____	1	2	3	4	5
F. Given MY skills, experiences, and interest, I help facilitate the learning of my other group members					
a. _____	1	2	3	4	5

G. If I were grading each member of my group (and myself) for his/her contributions and work in this activity I would give the following grades:

a. _____

F D- D D+ C- C C+ B- B B+ A- A

Rationale for Grade:

1.

2.

3.

_____ of 5 possible points

b. _____

F D- D D+ C- C C+ B- B B+ A- A

Rationale for Grade:

1.

2.

3.

_____ of 5 possible points

c. _____

F D- D D+ C- C C+ B- B B+ A- A

Rationale for Grade:

1.

2.

3.

_____ of 5 possible points

d. _____

F D- D D+ C- C C+ B- B B+ A- A

Rationale for Grade:

1.

2.

3.

_____ of 5 possible points

E. Please write any additional comments you may have concerning the group activity you have just experienced. (What did you like and what you would have done differently if you had been the instructor?)

Originally developed by Dr. Karron G. Lewis, Center for Teaching Effectiveness, The University of Texas at Austin and modified by Marilyn Armour for Restorative Justice

Bibliography

- Abrams, L., & Umbreit, M. S. (2002). *Youthful offenders response to Victim Offender Conferencing in Washington County*. St. Paul, MN: Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking.
- Acorn, A. (2004). *Compulsory compassion*. Vancouver: UBC Press.
- Ahmed, E., Harris, N., Braithwaite, J. & Braithwaite, V. (2001). *Shame management through reintegration*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Armour, M.P. (2005). Bridges to Life: A promising faith-based prison intervention for substance use. *Offender Substance Abuse Report, 4*, 81-96.
- Armour, M. (2002). The Journey of Homicide Families: A Qualitative Study of Their Post Homicide Experience. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 72*(3), 372-383.
- Armour, M.P., Sage, J., Rubin, A. & Windsor, L. (in press). Bridges to Life: Evaluation of an in-prison restorative justice intervention. *Medicine & Law*.
- Armour, M.P. & Umbreit, M. (2005). The Paradox of Forgiveness in Restorative Justice. In E.L. Worthington, Jr. (Ed.) *Handbook of Forgiveness*. New York: Brunner-Routledge.
- Armour, M.P. & Umbreit, M.S. (in press). Victim-Offender Mediation and Forensic Social Work. In A.R. Roberts & D. Springer (Eds.). *Forensic Social Work in Juvenile and Criminal Justice Settings: An Evidence-Based Handbook*. Springfield, ILL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Armour, M.P. & Umbreit, M.S. (in review). Victim Forgiveness in Restorative Justice Dialogue. *Journal of Religion and Spirituality in Social Work*.
- Ashworth, A. (2000). Victims' rights, defendant's rights and criminal procedures. In A. Crawford and J. Goodney (Eds.), *Integrating a victim perspective within criminal justice*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- American Bar Association. (1994, Approved August 1994). *Criminal justice policy on Victim-Offender Mediation/Dialogue*.
- Bar-On, D. & Kassem, F. (2004). Storytelling as a way to work through intractable conflicts: The German-Jewish experience and its relevance to Palestinian-Israeli conflict. *Journal of Social Issues 60*, 289-306.

- Bazemore, G. (1999). Crime victims, restorative justice, and the juvenile court: Exploring victim needs and involvement in the response to youth crime. *International Review of Victimology*, 6, 295-320.
- Bazemore, G. & Earle, T. H. (2002), Balance in the response to family violence: Challenging restorative principles. In H. Strang and J. Braithwaite (eds.), *Restorative Justice and Family Violence*, (pp. 153–177). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bazemore, G., & Boba, R. (2007). “Doing Good” to “Make Good”: Community Theory for Practice in a Restorative Justice Civic Engagement Reentry Model. *Journal Of Offender Rehabilitation*, 46(1/2), 25-56.
- Bazemore, G. & Griffiths, C.T. (1997). Conferences, circles, boards, and mediations: The 'new wave' of community justice decision making. *Federal Probation* 61, 25-37.
- Bazemore, G. & Griffiths, C. (2003). Police reform, restorative justice and restorative policing. *Police, Practice and Research*, 4, 335-346.
- Bazemore, G., & Maruna, S. (2009). Restorative justice in the reentry context: Building new theory and expanding the evidence base. *Victims & Offenders*, 4(4), 375-384.
- Bazemore, G and Schiff, M. (2001). *Restorative community justice: Repairing harm and transforming communities*. Cincinnati, OH: Anderson.
- Bazemore, G. & Stinchcomb, J. (2004). A civic engagement model of reentry: Involving community through service and restorative justice. *Federal Probation*, 68(2), 797-810.
- Bazemore, G.& Umbreit, M. (1999). Appendix VII. In *Conferences, Circles, Boards and Mediations: Restorative Justice and Citizen Involvement in the Response to Youth Crime. Balanced and Restorative Justice Project: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention*. (pp. 33-41). Available at <http://2ssw.che.umn.edu/rjp/Resources/Documents/bazumb99.PDF>
- Behrendt, L. (2002). Lessons from the mediation obsession: Ensuring that sentencing ‘alternatives’ focus on indigenous self-determination. In H. Strang and J. Braithwaite (Eds.), *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 178–190), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bender, K. & Armour, M. (2007). The Spiritual Components of Restorative Justice. *Victim & Offender* 2(3), 151-167.
- Bennett, M. & Dewberry, C. (1994). ‘I’ve said I’m sorry, haven’t I?’ A study of the identity implications and constraints that apologies create for their recipients.

- Current Psychology: Developmental, Learning, and Personality, Social*, 13, 457-464.
- Berry, J.W., Worthington, E.L., Jr., Parrott, L. III, O'Connor, L., & Wade, N.G. (2001). Dispositional forgivingness: Development and construct validity of the Transgression Narrative Test of Forgivingness (TNTF). *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27, 1277-1290.
- Blagg, H. (2002), Restorative justice and Aboriginal family violence: Opening a space for healing. In , H. Strang and J. Braithwaite (Eds.), *Restorative justice and family Violence*, (pp. 191–205), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Borer, T.A. (2004). Reconciling South Africa or South Africans? Cautionary notes from TRC. *African Studies Quarterly*, 8(1), <http://www.africa.ufl.edu/asq/v8/v8i1a2.htm>
- Bradfield, M. & Aquino, K. (1999). The effects of blame attributions and offender likableness on revenge and forgiveness in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 25, 607-631.
- Bradshaw, W. & Umbreit, M.S. (1998). Crime victims meet juvenile offenders: Contributing factors to victim satisfaction with mediated dialogue. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 49, 17-25.
- Bradshaw, W., & Umbreit, M. (1998). Crime victims meet juvenile offenders: Contributing factors to victim satisfaction with mediated dialogue. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 49, 17-25.
- Braithwaite, J. *Crime, shame and reintegration*. Cambridge University Press.
- Braithwaite, J. (2000). Shame and criminal justice: *Canadian Journal of Criminology*, 281-298.
- Braithwaite, J. (2002). Setting standards for restorative justice. *British Journal of Criminology*, 42, 563-577.
- Braithwaite, J. (2001). Restorative justice and a new criminal law of substance abuse. *Youth and Society*, 33, 227-248.
- Braithwaite, J. (2001). *Restorative Justice & Responsive Regulation*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Brooks, R. L (1999). *When sorry isn't enough*. New York: New York University Press.
- Buford, G. & Adams, P. (2004). Restorative justice, responsive regulation and social work. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare* 31, 7-27.

- Busch, R. (2002). Domestic violence and restorative justice Initiatives: Who pays if we get it wrong? In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.) *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 223–248), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cayley, D. (1998). *The expanding prison: The crisis in crime and punishment and search for alternatives*. Cleveland, OH: Pilgrim Press.
- Coates, R. B., & Gehm, J. (1985). *Victim meets offender: An evaluation of Victim-Offender Reconciliation Programs*. Valparaiso, IN: PACT Institute of Justice.
- Coates, R. B. & Gehm, J. (1989). VORP: an empirical assessment: In M. Wright & B. Galaway (Eds.), *Mediation and criminal justice: Victims, offenders, and communities*.(pp. 251-263). London: Sage.
- Cameron, L & Thorsborne, M. (2001). Restoring justice and school discipline: Mutually exclusive? In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). *Restorative justice and civil society*. (Pp. 180-194). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press
- Cheon, A., & Regehr, C. (2006). Restorative Justice Models in Cases of Intimate Partner Violence: Reviewing the Evidence. *Victims & Offenders*, 1(4), 369-394.
- Choi, J., Green, D., & Gilbert, M. (2011). Putting a Human Face on Crimes: A Qualitative Study on Restorative Justice Processes for Youths. *Child & Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 28(5), 335-355.
- Coates, R., Burns, H., & Umbreit, M. (2002). *Victim participation in victim offender conferencing: Washington County, Minnesota Community Justice Program*. St. Paul, MN: Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking.
- Coates, R. B., Umbreit, M. S., & Burns, H. (2004). Why victims choose to meet with offenders. *Offender Programs Report*, 8, 55-57.
- Coates R.B., Umbreit, M.S., & Vos, B. (2006). Responding to hate crimes through restorative justice dialogue. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 9(1), 7–21
- Coker, D. (2002). Transformative justice: Anti-subordination processes in cases of domestic violence In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.), *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 128-152), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Coker, D. (2006). Restorative justice, Navajo Peacemaking and domestic violence. *Theoretical Criminology*, 10(1), 67-85.
- Condon, M. (2010). Bruise of a Different Color: The Possibilities of Restorative Justice

- for Minority Victims of Domestic Violence. *Georgetown Journal On Poverty Law & Policy*, 17(3), 487-506.
- Consedine, J. (1999). *Restorative justice: Healing the effects of crime*. Lyttelton, New Zealand: Ploughshares Publications.
- Cook, B., David, F. & Grant, A. (1999). The impact of crime on victims. In B. Cook, F. David & A. Grant, *Victims' needs, victims' rights: Policies and programs for victims of crime* (pp. 14-39). *Australia Research and Public Policy Series*, no. 19. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology. Available at <http://www.aic.gov.au/publications/rpp/19/ch2.pdf>
- Couture, J. Parker, T., Couture, R., & Laboucane, P. (2001), *Community holistic circle healing: A cost-benefit analysis*, Hollow Water, Manitoba: Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Canada. http://www.psepcspcc.gc.ca/abor_corrections/publications_e.asp
- Coyle, C.T. & Enright, R. D. (1997). Forgiveness intervention with postabortion men. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 65, 1042-1046.
- Coward, S. (2000). *Restorative justice in cases of domestic and sexual assault*. www.abusehelplines.org/resources/rs_cases_of_dv_sv.htm
- Cragg, W. (1992). *The practice of punishment*. London: Rutledge.
- Crnkovich, M. (1995). *The role of the victim in the criminal justice system—Circle sentencing in Inuit communities* for the Canadian Institute for the Administration of Justice Conference, Banff, Alberta, October 11 – 14, 1995, <http://www.casac.ca/enghome.htm>
- Curtis-Fawley, S. & Daly, K.(2004). Violence against women: Gendered violence and restorative justice: the views of victim advocates. *Violence Against Women*, 11, 603-638
- Daly, K. (2000). Revisiting the relationship between retributive and restorative justice. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.) (Pp 33-54), *Restorative justice: Philosophy to practice*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate.
- Daly, K. (2002). Sexual assault and restorative justice. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). *Restorative justice and family violence*, pp. 62–88, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Daly, K. (2001). Conferencing in Australia and New Zealand: Variations, research findings and prospects, In A. Morris and G. Maxwell (Eds), *Restorative justice for*

- juveniles: Conferencing, mediation and circles*, (pp. 59-89). Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Delgado, R. (2000). Prosecuting violence: A colloquy on race, community, and justice. *Stanford Law Review* 52, 751-775.
- DeWitt, D. M., & DeWitt, L. J. (2012). A Case of High School Hazing: Applying Restorative Justice to Promote Organizational Learning. *NASSP Bulletin*, 96(3), 228-242.
- Dickey, W.J. (1998). Forgiveness and crime: The possibilities of restorative justice. In R.D. Enright & J. North (Eds.). *Exploring forgiveness* (pp. 106-120). Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Dignan, J. (1990). *Repairing the damage: An evaluation of an experimental adult reparation scheme in Kettering, Northamptonshire*. Sheffield, UK: Centre for Criminological Legal Research, Faculty of Law, University of Sheffield.
- Dissel, A. & Ngubeni, K. (2003). Giving women their voice: Domestic violence and restorative justice in South Africa. Paper presented at the XIth International Symposium on Victimology, Stellenbosch, July 2003, www.csvr.org.za/papers/papad&k3.htm
- Dubler, N.N. & Liebman, C.B. (2004). *Bioethics mediation: A guide to shaping shared solutions*. New York: United Hospital Fund of New York.
- Durham, M.S. (2001). *The therapist's encounters with revenge and forgiveness*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Dzur, A.W. (2003). Restorative justice and civic accountability for punishment. *Polity*, 36, 4-22.
- Dzur, A.W. (2003). Civic implications of restorative justice theory: Citizen participation and criminal justice policy. *Policy Sciences* 36, 279-306.
- Dzur, A.W. & Olson, S. M. (2004). The value of community participation in restorative justice. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, 35, 91-109.
- Edwards, A. & Haslett, J. (2003). Domestic violence and Restorative justice: Advancing the dialogue. Paper presented at the 6th International Conference on Restorative Justice, Vancouver, BC, June 1-4, 2003, <http://www.sfu.ca/cfrj/fulltext/haslett.pdf>
- Edwards, A. & Sharpe, S. (2004). Restorative justice in the context of domestic violence: A literature review. Edmonton, Alberta: *Mediation and Restorative Justice Centre*, forthcoming at www.mrjc.ca/.

- Enright, R.D. (2002). *Forgiveness is a choice: A step-by-step process for resolving anger and restoring hope*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Enright, R.D. (1999). Community forgiveness and restorative justice. Essays from the criminal justice system and the peace movement. *The World of Forgiveness*, 2, 1-3.
- Eschholz, S., Reed, M.D., Beck, E. & Leonard, P.B. (2003). Offenders' family members' responses to capital crimes. *Homicide Studies* 7, 154-181.
- Evje, A., & Cushman, R. (2000). *A summary of the evaluations of six California Victim Offender Rehabilitation Programs*. San Francisco, CA: Administrative Office of the Courts.
- Exline, J.J. & Baumeister, R.F. (2000). Expressing forgiveness and repentance. In M. McCullough, K.I. Pargament, & C.E. Thorsen, C.E. (Eds.). *Forgiveness: Theory, research, and practice* (pp. 133-155). New York: Guilford Press.
- Exline, J.J., Worthington, E.L., Hill, P., & McCullough, M.E. (in press). Forgiveness and justice: A research agenda for social and personality psychology. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7, 337-348.
- Exline, J.J., Yali, A.M., & Lobel, M. (1998) *Repentance promotes forgiveness*. Unpublished raw data.
- Focht-Perlberg, J. A. (2009). Two Sides of One Coin - Repairing the Harm and Reducing Recidivism: A Case for Restorative Justice in Reentry in Minnesota and Beyond. *Hamline Journal Of Public Law & Policy*, 31(1), 219-271.
- Fow, N.R. (1996). The phenomenology of forgiveness and reconciliation. *Journal of Phenomenological Psychology* 27, 219-234.
- Fox, K. J. (2012). Redeeming communities: Restorative offender reentry in a risk-centric society. *Victims & Offenders*, 7(1), 97-120.
- Frederick. L. & Lizdas, K.C. (2003). The role of restorative justice in the battered women's movement. *Battered Women's JUSTICE Project*, <http://www.bwjp.org/documents/finalrj.pdf>
- Frederickson, E.A. (2005). Restorative justice: Rethinking justice in a postcolonial world. Paper presented at the Canadian Political Association, London, Ontario. Pp. 11-17; 26-29. Available at <http://www.cpsa-acsp.ca/papers-2005/Frederiksen.pdf>
- Freedman, S.R. & Enright, R.D. (1996). Forgiveness as an intervention goal with incest survivors. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64, 983-992.

- Frost, B. (1998). *Struggling to forgive: Nelson Mandela and South Africa's search for reconciliation*. London: Harper Collins.
- Fulkerson, A. (2001). The use of victim impact panels in domestic violence justice approach. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 4, 355–368.
- Galway, B. & Hudson, J. (1996). *Restorative justice. International perspectives*. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press.
- Gavrielides, T. (2012). Contextualizing Restorative Justice for Hate Crime. *Journal Of Interpersonal Violence*, 27(18), 3624-3643.
- Gehm, J. (1990). Mediated victim-offender restitution agreements: An exploratory analysis of factors related to victim participation. In B. Galaway & J. Hudson (Eds.), *Criminal justice, restitution, and reconciliation* (pp. 177-182). London: Sage.
- Gehm, J.R. (1991). The function of forgiveness in the criminal justice system. In J. Messmer & H-U Otto (Eds). *Restorative justice on trial: Pitfalls and potentials of victim-offender mediation—international research perspectives* (pp. 541-550). Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Girard, M., Mullet, E., & Callahan, S. (2002). Mathematics of forgiveness. *American Journal of Psychology* 115, 351-375.
- Gobodo-Madikizela, P. (2002). Remorse, forgiveness, and rehumanization: Stories from South Africa. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 42, 7-32
- Godobo-Madikizela, P. (2003). *A human being died that night: A South African story of forgiveness*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Goel, R. (2000). No women at the center: The use of the Canadian sentencing circle in domestic violence cases. *Wisconsin Women's Law Journal*, 15, 293–334.
- Gold, L. (1995). Appendix 3: Influencing unconscious influences: The Healing dimension of mediation. In M.S. Umbreit, M. S. *Mediating interpersonal conflicts: A pathway to peace*. Pp. 251-262. West Concord, MN: CPI Publishing.
- Gold, G.J. & Weiner, B. Remorse, confession, group identity, and expectancies about repeating a transgression. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology* 22, 291-300.
- Gonzales, M.H., Haugen, J.A., & Manning, D.J. (1994). Victims as “narrative critics”; Factors influencing rejoinders and evaluation responses to offenders’ accounts. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20, 691-704.

- González, T. (2012). Keeping Kids in Schools: Restorative Justice, Punitive Discipline, and the School to Prison Pipeline. *Journal Of Law & Education*, 41(2), 281-335.
- Grauwler, P. & Mills, L.G. (2004). Moving beyond the criminal justice paradigm: A radical restorative justice approach to intimate abuse. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 31, 49–69.
- Griffiths, C.T. & Hamilton, R. (1996). Sanctioning and healing: restorative justice in Canadian Aboriginal communities. In B Galaway & J. Hudson (Eds.). *Restorative justice: International perspectives*, (pp. 175–191). Monsey, New York: Criminal Justice Press.
- Gumz, E. J. (2004). American social work, Corrections and restorative justice: an appraisal *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 48, 449-460.
- Guttman, A. & Thompson, D. (2000). The moral foundations of truth commissions. In R. I. Rotberg and D. Thompson, (Eds.). *Truth v. justice: The morality of truth commissions*. (Pp. 22-44). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gyokos, M. & Lanyi, K. (2010). *European best practices of restorative justice in criminal procedure*. Budapest: Ministry of Justice and Law Enforcement Republic of Hungary.
- Hadley, M. (Ed.) (2001). *The spiritual roots of restorative justice*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Haft, W. (2000). More than zero: The cost of zero tolerance and the case for restorative justice in schools. *Denver University Law Review*. 77, 795, 1-14.
- Hamber, B. & Kelly, G. (2005). Preface, Executive Summary and Conclusion. In B. Hamber & G. Kelly, *A place for reconciliation: Conflict and loyalty in Northern Ireland*. (pp. 4-11; 59-63. Democratic Dialogue #18:Belfast, NI.
- Hannem, S., & Petrunik, M. (2007). Circles of Support and Accountability: A Community Justice Initiative for the Reintegration of High Risk Sex Offenders. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 10(2), 153-171.
- Hannem, S. & Petrunik, M. (2004). Canada's circles of support and accountability: A community justice initiative for high-risk sex offenders. *Corrections Today*, 66, 98-102.
- Harris, N., Walgrave, L., & Braithwaite, J. (2004). Emotional dynamics in restorative conferences. *Theoretical Criminology*, 8, 191-211.

- Harris, N. (2003). Reassessing the dimensionality of the moral emotions. *British Journal of Psychology*, 94, 457-473.
- Hass, A. Y., & Saxon, C. E. (2012). From the Inside/Out: Greene County Jail Inmates on Restorative ReEntry. *International Journal Of Offender Therapy & Comparative Criminology*, 56(7), 1037-1062.
- Hayden, A. (2000). Restorative justice: Has It potential for dealing with domestic violence? Paper presented at *Just peace? Peace making and Peace building for the new millennium*, an international conference held at Massey University, Auckland, Aotearoa/New Zealand, 24–28 April 2000.
- Hayner, P.B. (1994). (1994). Fifteen truth commissions—1974 to 1994: A comparative study. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 16, 597-655.
- Hayner, P. B. (2001). *Unspeakable truths: Confronting state terror and atrocity*. New York: Routledge.
- Hooper, S. & Busch, R. (1996). Domestic violence and restorative justice initiatives: The risks of a new panacea. *Waikato Law Review*, 4. Review 101.
http://www.waikato.ac.nz/law/wlr/special_1996/4_hooperbusch.html.
- Hopkins, C.Q et al. (2004) *Applying restorative justice to ongoing intimate violence: Problems and possibilities*, 23 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev., 289-311.
- Hopkins, C.Q., Koss, M. P. & Bachar, K.J. (2003). *An innovative application of restorative justice to the adjudication of selected sexual offenses*, In H. Kury & J. Obergfell-Fuchs (eds.). *Crime prevention: New approaches* 321 Weisser Ring.
- Hopkins, C.Q., Koss, M. P. & Bachar, K.J. (2003). *Restorative justice for sexual violence: Repairing victims, building community, and holding offenders accountable*. In R.A. Pinsky et al. (Eds.). *Sexually coercive behavior: Understanding and management* 384 (*Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 989).
- Hopkins, C.Q. (2004). Responding: Two new solutions: applying restorative justice to ongoing intimate violence: problems and possibilities. *Saint Louis University Public Law Review* 23.
- Hopkins, Q.E. (2005). Incorporating Feminist Theory and Insights into a Restorative Justice Response to Sex Offenses." *Violence Against Women*, 11.5, 693-723.
- Huang, S.T. & Enright, R.D. (2000). Forgiveness and anger-related emotions in Taiwan. Implications for therapy. *Psychotherapy*, 37, 71 -79.

- Hudson, B. (1998). Restorative justice: The challenge of sexual and racial violence. *Journal of Law and Society* 25, 237-256.
- Hudson, B. (2002). Restorative justice and gendered violence: Diversion or effective justice? *British Journal of Criminology*, 42, 616–634.
- Ierley, A. & Ivker, C. (2003). Restoring school communities; A report on the Colorado restorative justice in schools program. Victim Offender Mediation Association.
- Johnstone, G. (2002). *Restorative justice: Ideas, values debates*. Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.
- Kara, F. & MacAlister, D. (2010). Responding to academic dishonesty in universities: a restorative justice approach. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 13(4), 443-453.
- Karp, D. & Breslin, B. (2001). Restorative justice in school communities. *Youth and Society* 33, 249-272.
- Karp, D. Breslin, B. & Oles, P. (2002). Community justice in the campus setting. *Conflict Management in Higher Education Report* 3, 2-4
- Kelln, B.R.C. & Ellard, J.H. (1999). An equity theory analysis of the impact of forgiveness and retribution on transgressor compliance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25, 864-872.
- Kidde, J. & Alfred, R. Restorative justice: A working guide for our schools. Alameda Country Health Care Services Agency: San Leandro, CA.
- Kittle, B. (1999). Forgiveness in the criminal justice system. Necessary element or impossible dream. *The World of Forgiveness* 2, 3-11.
- Koss, M.P. (2000). Blame, shame, and community: Justice responses to violence against women. *American Psychologist*, 55, 1332–1343, <http://restoreprogram.publichealth.arizona.edu/research>
- Kurtz, E. & Ketcham, K. (1992). *The spirituality of imperfection: Story telling and the journey to wholeness*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon.
- Latimer, J., Dowden, C., & Muise, D. (2005). The effectiveness of restorative justice practices: A meta-analysis. *Prison Journal*, 85, 127-145.
- Lavery, C. & Achilles, M. (1999). Apologies: Balancing the needs of victims and offenders. *VOMA Connections* 3, 6.

- Levenson, R.W. & Ruef A. M. (1991). Empathy: A physiological substrate. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63, 234-246.
- Levin, M. (2005). Restorative justice in Texas: Past, present & future. Austin, TX: Center for Effective Justice, Texas Public Policy Foundation.
www.TexasPolicy.com
- Levinson, S. (2000). Trials, commissions, and investigating committees: The elusive search for norms of due process In R. I. Rotberg and D. Thompson (Eds.). *Truth v. justice: The morality of truth commissions*. (Pp. 211-234). Princeton: Princeton University Press
- Lightfoot, E., & Umbreit, M. (2002). *Legislative statutes on Victim Offender Mediation: A national review*. St. Paul, MN: Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking, University of Minnesota.
- Llewellyn, J.J. (2002). Dealing with the legacy of Native residential school abuse in Canada: Litigation, ADR and restorative justice. *University of Toronto Law Journal* 52, 253-300
- Llewellyn, J. J., & Howse, R. (1998). *Restorative justice: A conceptual framework*. Ottawa, ON: Law Commission of Canada.
- Lund, K. & Dodd, J.D. (2001). *Justice options for women who are victims of violence: A framework for ensuring that women who are victims of violence are not re-victimized by justice options*. Restorative Justice Network, Conflict Resolutions Cooperative PEI, <http://www.isn.net/~tha/justiceoptions>.
- Lund, K. & Dodd, J.D. (2002). Is restorative justice safe in cases of violence against women? *Interaction*, 16, 3–5.
- MacRae, A. & Zehr, H. (2004). *Little book of family group conferencing*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.
- Magona, S. (1998). *Mother to mother*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Maxwell, G. & Morris, A. (2001). Putting restorative justice into practice for adult offenders. *The Howard Journal* 40, 55-69.
- Maxwell, G. & Morris, A. (2002). Restorative justice and reconviction. *Contemporary Justice Review* 5, 133-146.
- McCold, P., & Wachtel, B. (1998). *Restorative policing experiment: The Bethlehem Pennsylvania Police Family Group Conferencing Project*. Pipersville, PA: Community Service Foundation.

- McCullough, M.E. (2001). Forgiveness: Who does it and how do they do it. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10, 194-197.
- McCullough, M.E., Fincham, F.D., & Tsang, J. (in press). Forgiveness, forbearance, and time: The temporal unfolding of transgression-related interpersonal motivations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 540-557.
- McCullough, M.E., Pargement, K.I., & Thoreson, C.E. (2000). *Forgiveness: Theory, practice and research*. New York: Guilford Press.
- McLeod, C. (2003). Toward a restorative organization: Transforming police bureaucracies. *Police Practice and Research*, 4, 361-377.
- McLaughlin, E., Fergusson, R., Hughes, G. & Westmarland, L. (Eds.) (2003). *Restorative justice: Critical issues*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Maepa, T. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission as a model of restorative justice. In T. Maepa (Ed.). *Beyond Retribution: Prospects for restorative justice in South Africa*, Pp. 66-75. Monograph 111, Institute for Security Studies: Pretoria South Africa.
- Mika, H., Achilles, M., Halbert, E., Amstutz, L.S. & Zehr, H. (2005). *Taking victims and their advocates seriously: A listening project*. Mennonite Central Committee. Harrisonburg, VA: Institute for Justice and Peacebuilding at Eastern Mennonite University.
- Minow, M. (2003). Foreword: Why retry? Reviving dormant racial justice claims. *Michigan Law Review* 101, 1133. 1133-1140.
- Minow, M. (1998). *Between vengeance and forgiveness*. Beacon Press: Boston.
- Mills, L.G. (2003), *Insult to injury: Rethinking our responses to intimate abuse*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Mircky, L. (2003). Hampshire County, U.K.: A place of innovation for family group conferencing," *Restorative practices e-forum*, Nov. 4, 2003, <http://www.restorativepractices.org/library/hampshirefgc.html>
- Moore, D. (1997). Pride, shame, and empathy among peers: Community conferencing as transformative justice in education. In K. Rigby and P.T. Slee (Eds.) *Children's peer relations*, (pp 254-271), London: Routledge.
- Moore, D. (1993). Shame, forgiveness, and juvenile justice. *Criminal Justice Ethics*, 12, 3-25.

- Morris, A. (2002). Critiquing the critics: A brief response to critics of restorative justice. *British Journal of Criminology* 42, 596-615.
- Morris, A. (2002). Children and family violence: Restorative messages from New Zealand. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 89–107). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Morrison, B. (2006). School Bullying and Restorative Justice: Toward a Theoretical Understanding of the Role of Respect, Pride, and Shame. *Journal Of Social Issues*, 62(2), 371-392.
- Murphy, J.G. (2002). Forgiveness, reconciliation and responding to evil: A philosophical overview, *Fordham Urban Law Journal* 27₂, 1353-1367.
- Murphy, J. G. & Hampton, J. (1988). *Forgiveness and mercy*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Neiderbach, S. (1986). *Invisible wounds: Crime victims speak*. New York, NY: Harrington Park Press.
- Nugent, W., Umbreit, M., Wiinamaki, L., & Paddock, J. (2001). Participation in Victim-Offender Mediation and severity of subsequent delinquent behavior: successful replications? *Journal of Research in Social Work Practice*, 11, 5-23.
- Nugent, W., Williams, R.M. & Umbreit, M.S. (2003). Participation in Victim-Offender Mediation and the prevalence and severity of subsequent delinquent behavior: A meta-analysis. *Utah Law Review*, 2003, 137-165.
- Nugent, W. M., & Paddock, M. (1995). The effect of Victim-Offender Mediation on severity of reoffense. *Mediation Quarterly*, 12, 353-367.
- Nugent, W. R., Umbreit, M., Wiinamaki, L., & Paddock, J. (2001). Participation in Victim-Offender Mediation and Severity of Subsequent Delinquent Behavior: Successful Replications? *Journal of Research in Social Work Practice*, 11, 5-23.
- O'Brien, S. (2004). Community Justice: Towards Justice System Reform. Paper presented at Persistently Safe Schools: The National Conference of the Hamilton Fish Institute on School and Community Violence.
- Patterson, R. (2003). *Journeying towards reconciliation: A song for Ireland*. Dublin, Ireland. Veritas Publications.
- Pavlović, M. (2008). Hate crimes in the context of restorative justice. (English). Proceedings Of Novi Sad Faculty Of Law, 42(1/2), 857-872.

- Peachey, D. E. (1989). The Kitchener experiment. In M. Wright. and B. Galaway (Eds.), *Mediation and criminal justice*. UK: Sage.
- Peachey, D. E. (1992). Restitution, reconciliation, retribution: Identifying the forms of justice people desire. In H. Messmer & H. Otto (Eds.), *Restorative justice on trial* (pp. 551-557). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Pennell, J. & Buford, G. (1997). *Family group decision making: After the conference—progress in resolving violence and promoting well-being*, St. John's, Newfoundland: Memorial University of Newfoundland School of Social Work.
- Pennell, J. & Buford, G. (2002). Feminist praxis: Making family group conferencing work. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 108-127). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Petersilia, J. (2003). *When prisoners come home*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Petrucci, C.J. (2002). Apology in the criminal justice setting: Evidence for including apology as an additional component in the legal system. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 20, 337-362.
- Poulson, B. (2003). The practice of restorative justice: a third voice: A review of empirical research on the psychological outcomes of restorative justice. *Utah Law Review* 167, 1-17.
- Pranis, K. (2002). Restorative values and confronting family violence. In H. Strang & J. Braithwaite (Eds.). *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 23-41). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pranis, K., Stuart, B., & Wedge, M. (2003). *Peacemaking circles, From crime to community*: Living Justice Press: St. Paul, MN.
- Radzik, L. (2004). Making amends. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 41, 141-154
- Regehr, C. & Gutheil, T. (2002). Apology, justice, and trauma recovery. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and Law*, 30, 425-30.
- Roberts, T. (1995). *Evaluation of the Victim Offender Mediation Project. Langley, BC: Final Report*. Victoria, BC: Focus Consultants.
- Roy, S. (1993). Two types of juvenile restitution programs in two midwestern counties: A comparative study. *Federal Probation*, 57, 48-53.
- Saskatoon Community Mediation Services. (2001). *Report on the consultation on restorative justice and violence against women*, February 8–9, 2001, <http://www3.sk.sympatico.ca/mediate/FinalReport1toParticipants.htm>

- Schneider, A. (1986). Restitution and recidivism rates of juvenile offenders: Results from four experimental studies. *Criminology*, 24, 533-552.
- Schrag, L. (2003). Restorative justice in Northern Ireland: An outsider's perspective. Paper presented at 6th International Conference on Restorative Justice. Available at <http://www.sfu.ca/cfrj/fulltext/schrag.pdf>
- Settles, T. (2009). Restorative reentry: A strategy to improve reentry outcomes by enhancing social capital. *Victims & Offenders*, 4(3), 285-302.
- Shabad, P. (1988). Remorse: The echo of inner truth. *Psychotherapy Patient*, 5, 113-133.
- Sharpe, Susan (1998). *Restorative justice. A vision for healing and change*. Edmonton, Alberta: Mediation and Restorative Justice Centre.
- Shenk, B.T. & Zehr, H. (2001). Restorative justice and substance abuse: The path ahead. *Youth & Society*, 33, 314-328.
- Siegfried, M. L. (2001). The promises and problematics of reentry court. *Justice Professional*, 14(2/3), 201-219.
- Solomon, R.C. (1989). The emotions of justice. *Social Justice Research*, 3, 345-374.
- Sumner, M.D., Silverman, C.J. & Franmpton, M.L. (2010). *School-based restorative justice as an alternative to zero-tolerance policies: Lessons from West Oakland*. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Berkeley.
- Steward, W., Huntley, A., & Blaney, F. (2001). The implications of restorative justice for Aboriginal women and children survivors of violence: A comparative overview of five communities in British Columbia. *Aboriginal Women's Action Network: Aboriginal Women, Violence and the Law Participatory Action Research Project*, <http://www.turtleisland.org/news/rjustice.pdf>
- Strang, H. (2002). *Repair or revenge. Victims and restorative justice*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Strang, H. & Braithwaite, J. (Eds.) (2002). *Restorative justice and family violence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Strang, H. & Sherman, L.W. (2003). Repairing the harm: Victims and restorative justice. *Utah Law Review* 15, 15-42.
- Stubbs, J. (2010). Relations of domination and subordination: Challenges for restorative

- justice in responding to domestic violence. *University Of New South Wales Law Journal*, 33(3), 970-986.
- Stubbs, J. (2007). Beyond apology? Domestic violence and critical questions for restorative justice. *Criminology & Criminal Justice: An International Journal*, 7(2), 169-187.
- Stubbs, J. (2002). Domestic violence and women's safety: Feminist challenges to restorative justice," in H. Strang and J. Braithwaite (eds.) *Restorative justice and family violence*, (pp. 42–61), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stubbs, J. (2004), Restorative justice, domestic violence and family violence. *Australian Domestic & Family Violence Clearinghouse: Issues Paper 9* (also forthcoming in *Violence Against Women*), www.austdvclearinghouse.unsw.edu.au/Word%20Files/Issues-Paper_9.doc
- Suvall, C. (2009). Restorative Justice in Schools: Learning from Jena High School. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review*, 44(2), 547-569.
- Sullivan D. & Tift, L. (2001). *Restorative justice: Healing the foundations of our everyday lives*. Monsey, NY: Willow Tree Press.
- Takaku, S. (2001). The effects of apology and perspective taking on interpersonal forgiveness: A dissonance-attribution model of interpersonal forgiveness. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 141, 494-508.
- Tavuchis, N. (1991). *Mea Culpa: A sociology of apology and reconciliation*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Tränkle, S. (2007). In the shadow of penal law: Victim-offender mediation in Germany and France. *Punishment & Society*, 9(4), 395-415.
- Travis, J (2005). *But they all come back: Facing the challenges of prisoner reentry*. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute.
- Umbreit, M.S. (1989). Crime victims seeking fairness, not revenge: Toward restorative justice. *Federal Probation*, 53, 52-57.
- Umbreit, M. S. (1995). *Mediating interpersonal conflicts: A pathway to peace*. West Concord, MN: CPI Publishing.
- Umbreit. (1997). Humanistic mediation: A transformative journey of peacemaking. *Mediation Quarterly*, 14, 201-213.
- Umbreit, M. (2001). *Victim meets offender: The impact of restorative justice and mediation*. Moonsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press.

- Umbreit, M.S. (2001). *The handbook of victim offender mediation: An essential guide to practice and research*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Umbreit, M.S. (2004). Victim Offender Mediation in juvenile or criminal courts. In *Alternative Dispute Resolution handbook for judges*: American Bar Association.
- Umbreit, M.S. & Bradshaw, W. (1999). Factors that contribute to victim satisfaction and mediated offender dialogue in Winnipeg: An emerging area of social work practice. *Journal of Law and Social Work*, 9, 35-51.
- Umbreit, M. S., and Coates, R. B. (2000). *Multicultural implications of restorative justice: Potential pitfalls and dangers*. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs.
http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ovc/publications/infores/restorative_justice/96522-multicultural/.
- Umbreit, M.S., Coates, R. B., & Vos, B. (2001). *Juvenile Victim Offender Mediation in six Oregon counties*. Salem, OR: Oregon Dispute Resolution Commission.
- Umbreit, M.S., Coates, R.B., and Vos.B. (2002). The impact of restorative justice conferencing: A multi-national perspective. *British Journal of Community Justice*, 1, 21-48.
- Umbreit, M.S., Coates, R.B., & Vos, B. (2003). Community peacemaking project: Responding to hate crimes, hate incidents, intolerance and violence through restorative justice dialogue. Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking: University of Minnesota.
- Umbreit, M. S., Vos, B., & Coates, R. B. (2005). *Restorative Justice in the 21st century: A social movement full of opportunities and pitfalls*. University of Minnesota, School of Social Work: Center for RJ and Peacemaking.
- Umbreit, M.S., Coates, R.B., and Vos, B. (in review). Victim offender mediation: Three decades of practice and research.
- Umbreit, M. S., Coates, R., Vos, B. & Armour, M.P. (in press). Victims of severe violence in mediated dialogue with offender: The impact of the first multi-site study in the U.S. *International Review of Victimology*
- Umbreit, M.S. Vos, B., Coates, R. B., & Brown, K. (2003). *Facing violence: The path of restorative justice & dialogue*. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press.
- Umbreit, M.S. & Greenwood, J. (1999). National survey of Victim Offender Mediation programs in the US. *Mediation Quarterly*, 16, 235-251.

- Umbreit, M.S., Lewis, T., & Burns, H. (2003). A community response to a 9/11 hate crime: Restorative justice through dialogue. *Contemporary Justice Review* 6, 383-391.
- United Nations, *Basic principles on the use of restorative justice programmes in criminal matters*. ECOSOC Res. 2000/14. Adopted 27 July 2000.
- Van Ness, D., & Heetderks, K. (2002). *Restoring justice* (2nd Edition). Cincinnati, OH: Anderson Publishing Company.
- Van Stokkom, B. (2002). Moral emotions in restorative justice conferences: Managing shame, designing empathy. *Theoretical Criminology*, 6, 339-360.
- Van Wormer, K. (2003). Restorative justice: a model for social work practice with families. *Families in Society* 84, 441-448.
- van Wormer, K. (2009). Restorative justice as social justice for victims of gendered violence: a standpoint feminist perspective. *Social Work*, 54(2), 107-116.
- Volpe, M.R. & Strobl, S. (2005). Restorative justice responses to post-september 11 hate crimes: Potential and challenges. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 22(4), 527-535
- Walker, L. (2009). Modified restorative circles: A reintegration group planning process that promotes desistance. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 12(4), 419-431
- Walker, L., & Greening, R. (2010). Huikahi Restorative Circles: A public health approach for reentry planning. *Federal Probation*, 74(1), 43-47.
- Warters, B. (2000). Making things right: Restorative justice comes to campuses. *Conflict Management in Higher Education Report* 1(1).
- Warner, S. (1992). *Making amends: Justice for victims and offenders*. Aldershot, UK: Avebury.
- Warner, B.D., Beck, E., & Ohmer, M.L. (2010). Linking informal social control and restorative justice: Moving social disorganization theory beyond community policing. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 13(4), 355-369.
- Weingarten, K. (2003). *Common shock: Witnessing violence every day. How we are harmed, how we can heal*. New York :Dutton.
- Weitekamp, E.G.M. & Kerner, H. (Eds.) (2002) *Restorative justice: Theoretical foundations*. Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.

- Weemers, J. (2002). Restorative Justice for Victims of Crime: A Victim-Oriented Approach to Restorative Justice. *International Review of Victimology*, 9, 43- 59.
- Wemmers, J. & Cyr, K. (2004). Victims' perspectives on restorative justice: How much involvement are victims looking for? *International Review of Victimology*, 11(2/3): 259-274.
- Williams, S. & Williams, S. (1994). *Being in the middle by being at the edge: Quaker experience of non-official political mediation*. London: Quaker Peace & Service.
- Witvliet, C., Ludwig, T.E., & Vander Laan, K. (2001). Granting forgiveness or harbouring grudges: Implications for emotion, physiology, and health. *Psychological Science*, 12: 117-123.
- Witvliet, C.V.O., Worthington, E.L., Jr., Root, L.M., Sato, A.F., Ludwig, T.E., & Exline, J.J. (in press). Justice, forgiveness, and emotion: A psychophysiological analysis
- Witvliet, C.V. O., Worthington, E.L., Jr., Wade, N.G., & Berry, J.W. (2002, April). *Physiological reactivity to apology and restitution*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society of Behavioral Medicine, Washington.
- Woolford, A. & Ratner, R.S. (2003). Nomadic justice? Restorative Justice of the margins of law. *Social Justice*, 30, 177-194.
- Worthington, E.L., Jr. (in press). *Forgiving and reconciling*. Downers Grove.
- Worthington, E. L., Jr. (2000). Is there a place for forgiveness in the justice system? *Fordham Urban Law Journal*, 27, 1401-1414.
- Worthington, E.L., Jr. (Ed.).(1998). *Dimensions of forgiveness: Psychological research and theological perspectives*. Templeton Foundation Press: Philadelphia.
- Worthington, E.L., Jr., & Wade, N.G. (1999). The social psychology of unforgiveness and forgiveness and implications for clinical practice. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 18, 385-418.
- Zakheim, S. (2011). Healing circles as an alternative to batterer intervention programs for addressing domestic violence among Orthodox Jews. *Partner Abuse*, 2(4), 484-496.
- Zechmeister, J.S. & Romero, C. (2002). Victim and offender accounts of interpersonal conflict: Autobiographical narratives of forgiveness and unforgiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82, 675-686.
- Zehr, H. (1990). *Changing lenses: A new focus for crime and justice*. Scottsdale, PA: Herald Press.

- Zehr, H. (1996) *Doing Life: Reflections of men and women serving life sentences*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books
- Zehr, H. (2001). *Transcending : Reflections of crime victims*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books
- Zehr, H. (2002). *The little book of restorative justice*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.
- Zehr, H. & Toews, B. (2004). *Critical issues in restorative justice*. Moonsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press.
- Zoughbi Zoughbi. (2005). Restorative justice: The Middle East. Palestinian Conflict Resolution Center. Available at http://www.planet.edu/~alashlah/us/writings/restorative_justice.htm

Web-Sites of Restorative Justice Organizations*

- The Institute for Restorative Justice and Restorative Dialogue, The University of Texas at Austin. www.irjrd.org
- National Association of Community and Restorative Justice, <http://www.nacrj.org>
- Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking, University of Minnesota. <http://2ssw.che.umn.edu/rjp/Seminars/Seminars-2005.html>
- Marquette Restorative Justice Initiative, Marquette Law School, <http://law.marquette.edu/rji/>
- Center for Peacemaking and Conflict Studies, Fresno Pacific University, <http://peace.fresno.edu/rjp/>
- The Centre for Restorative Justice, Simon Fraser University: <http://www.sfu.ca/cfrj/>
- Balanced and Restorative Justice Project: <http://www.barjproject.org/>
- International Victim Offender Mediation Association: <http://www.voma.org/>
- Prison Fellowship International: Restorative Justice Online. <http://www.restorativejustice.org/>
- Australian Government, Australian Institute of Criminology, <http://www.aic.gov.au/rjustice/>
- Restorative Justice Consortium, UK; <http://www.restorativejustice.org.uk/>
- Minnesota Restorative Justice Coalitions; <http://www.mnmrsc.org/links.htm>
- eforum@restorativepractices.org
- <http://rj4all.info>

***For additional websites and their links visit
<http://www.utexas.edu/research/cswr/rji/links.html>**