

**THEORIES AND CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR AND THE
SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT**

SW 381-R

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK**

UNIQUE NUMBER: 63195

SEMESTER: FALL 2014

MEETING TIME: WED 5:30-8:15

CLASSROOM: SSW 2.112

TA:

INSTRUCTOR: SANNA THOMPSON, PHD

OFFICE LOCATION: 3.122C

OFFICE HOURS: WED 12-4 AND BY APPT

OFFICE PHONE: 232-0604

EMAIL: SannaThompson@utexas.edu

I. STANDARDIZED COURSE DESCRIPTION

This graduate-level course will focus on empirically based theories and conceptual approaches that undergird social work practice and research with individuals and families in social systems. Ecological-systems theory and a lifespan developmental framework will serve as conceptual guideposts for understanding social work's person-in-environment, contextual approach to the reciprocal relationship between human behavior and the social environment. Other traditional and alternative theories are also examined, including Psychodynamic, Cognitive Behavioral, Social Learning, Empowerment, Transpersonal and Feminist. A critical perspective is emphasized to understand (1) theoretical knowledge construction, evaluation and integration, and (2) theoretical relevance to explain the influence and contribution of factors related to race, ethnicity, culture, gender, age, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, and physical and mental ability to human behavior and development. Methodological, empirical, and ethical considerations of contemporary issues (i.e., poverty, privilege, oppression, risk and resiliency) and multi-dimensional aspects of the human experience and change across the lifespan (i.e., biological, psychological, social, cultural and spiritual) will be critically examined.

II. STANDARDIZED COURSE OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, the student will be able to:

1. Demonstrate knowledge about and critically analyze relevant theories of human behavior and development comparing definitional components, philosophical underpinnings, value assumptions, strengths, and limitations (**PB23**);
2. Analyze the reciprocal relationships of human behavior and social systems, and how this interaction influences health and well-being as well as risk and vulnerability (**PB24**);
3. Describe the social construction of theoretical concepts, empirical evidence, gaps in knowledge, and relevant controversies regarding theories of human behavior and development (**PB23**);

4. Analyze the impact of such factors as race, ethnicity, culture, gender, age, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, and physical and mental ability on risk and resilience, identity development, and human interactions within social systems (**PB24**);
5. Understand the interaction between the biological, psychological, social, cultural, and spiritual dimensions of the human experience and lifespan development (**PB24**);
6. Conduct a scientific review of empirical evidence and theory to address human behavior and development topics (**PB24**).

The School of Social Work has been continuously accredited by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) since 1952. In order to maintain our accreditation status, we engage in ongoing curriculum assessment to demonstrate compliance with CSWE's Education Policies and Accreditation Standards (EPAS). Several required courses in our curriculum are part of this ongoing assessment, including this course. Below is a list of the specific Educational Policies (EP) and Practice Behaviors (PB) that are assessed in this course. The complete EPAS can be found in your Student Handbook.

E.P. 2.1.7

Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment. Social workers are knowledgeable about human behavior across the life course; the range of social systems in which people live; and the ways social systems promote or deter people in maintaining or achieving health and well-being. Social workers apply theories and knowledge from the liberal arts to understand biological, social, cultural, psychological, and spiritual development.

PB23 -- Utilize conceptual frameworks to guide the processes of assessment, intervention, and evaluation.

Objectives: 1, 3, & 6

Assignments: Literature Review paper, case analyses, case analysis presentation and exams

PB24 -- Critique and apply knowledge to understand person and environment.

Objectives: 2, 4, & 5

Assignments: Literature Review paper, case analyses, case analysis presentation and exams

III. TEACHING METHODS

This course is carefully designed to provide the student with a meaningful learning environment aimed with the educational outcome of a mastery of knowledge and skills in the area of human behavior in the social environment. The instructor believes in an active learning approach, an approach asserting that the learning process is one in which reciprocity between the instructor and student(s) is a necessity. Teaching methods include a combination of group discussion, small group critique and feedback, audiovisual material, case study analysis, readings, experiential exercises, speakers, and informal lecture. Classes are designed to encourage student participation, input and discussion.

IV. READINGS

Required Texts

Scales, T. L. & Wolfer, T. A. (2006). *Decision cases for generalist social work practice: Thinking like a social worker*. Belmont, CA: Thompson Brooks/Cole.

Optional:

Robbins, S.P., Chatterjee, P., Canada, E.R. (2012) *Contemporary Human Behavior Theory: A Critical Perspective for Social Work, 3rd Ed.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc
2nd edition is also OK.

American Psychological Association (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

The instructor reserves the right to provide and/or assign additional readings to supplement the text. Additional readings will be noted in class and posted on *CANVAS* at <https://utexas.instructure.com/courses>

V. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Your grade for this course will be based upon 3 case study analyses (one case study analysis is a revision), one exam, and weekly in-class assignments. Students are strongly encouraged to attend all class meetings. Participation is viewed as a necessity for learning through an exchange of information between students and instructor. In order to receive a passing grade, ALL assignments need to be completed and submitted to the instructor on time.

<u>Summary of Assignments</u>	<u>Date Due</u>	<u>Points</u>
Literature Review draft	Oct. 1	75
Final Literature Review with theory section added	Dec. 3	130
Case Analysis #1 draft	Oct. 15	15
Case Analysis #1 Revised	Oct. 29	60
Case Analysis #2	Nov. 12	60
Group Presentation of assigned Case Analysis	as assigned	100
Mid-term Exam	Oct. 22	85
Final Exam	Nov. 19	50
Participation in class/case presentations	(2 pts/class) weekly	25

Total Points		600
---------------------	--	------------

- 1. Literature Review Paper (75 points – due Oct 1) and**
- 2. Revision of Literature Review Paper (130 points – due Dec. 3)**

Students are expected to use critical thinking to complete a scholarly literature review on a selected human development and behavior problem area that may be confronted in social work settings. This paper allows you to examine a specific topic or problem or issue in depth through reviewing what other scholars have written about it. See below for specific guidelines for developing this paper.

- 3. Case Study Analyses (60 points each - see due dates)**

Of the 4 case studies available that are not being presented in class, students will **choose 2 cases** to formally analyze and write up. The first case analysis will be used as a learning experience and will be heavily reviewed/critiqued by the instructor with minimal points applied. This case analysis will then be revised, emailed back to the instructor and graded. See Below for more specific guidelines.

You may ONLY choose cases 7, 8, 11, 12.

- 4. Group presentation of Case Analysis (100 points – on assigned date)**

In small groups, students will draw upon several theories to critically examine human behavior and development as it applies to a specific case example. This assignment will promote critical dialogue and facilitate learning about theoretically grounded knowledge and its implications for micro, meso, and macro dynamics across the lifespan. It will also provide students with the ability to apply theoretical perspectives to various social work case examples. The format is the same as the paper case analysis; however, groups are HIGHLY encouraged to be creative in their presentation of material. Use of videos, role plays, experiential activities, and games are possibilities to make the presentation entertaining, but also present the material well.

- 5. Mid-term Exam (85 points – Oct. 22)**

An examination will be given at mid-semester of the course. This examination will consist of objective and short essay questions, and reflect material presented in class lectures and group presentations, including the questions developed as part of the student group presentations.

- 6. Final Exam (50 points – Nov. 19)**

A final examination will be given at the end of the course. This examination will consist of objective and short essay questions, and reflect material presented in class lectures and group presentations, including the questions developed as part of the student group presentations.

- 7. Attendance, Preparation and Contribution (2 points each class = 25 pts)**

Students are expected to attend all classes, participate meaningfully in class discussion, exercises, and small group activities and assignments. It is important for social workers to be aware of group dynamics including peer groups in which they participate. It is also important

to contribute to the group process. The participation grade for this course will depend on your level of attendance, preparation, participation, and contribution. For some, this means learning to speak up, to add comments or to raise questions. For others, it means being sensitive and allowing others to contribute.

VI. GRADING

GRADING SCALE

94.0 and Above	A
90.0 to 93.999	A-
87.0 to 89.999	B+
84.0 to 86.999	B
80.0 to 83.999	B-
77.0 to 79.999	C+
74.0 to 76.999	C
70.0 to 73.999	C-
67.0 to 69.999	D+
64.0 to 66.999	D
60.0 to 63.999	D-
Below 60.0	F

A = Superior work: The assignment significantly exceeds expectations listed in the syllabus. Student does more than is required in the assignment and demonstrates a high level of in-depth critical thinking and analysis (i.e., writing coherent, ideas synthesized, discussion well developed).

B = Good Work: The assignment meets all the requirements and demonstrates evidence of in-depth critical thinking and analysis.

C = Average Work: The assignment meets the requirements or has minor gaps but lacks evidence of in-depth critical thinking and analysis. Remember, a C- (73 points) or lower is noted as a course failure and will require re-taking the course.

D - failing = Poor/failing Work: The assignment has important gaps, both in terms of not meeting the requirements and lacking in-depth critical thinking and analysis.

Overall Criteria for Evaluating Student Assignments

Your written work should be well-conceptualized and researched, clearly organized, and supported by examples and details. Show evidence of your own creative and thoughtful analysis. Papers must follow the APA style formatting. Additional criterion and evaluation guidelines are provided at the end of the syllabus for all assignments. Please note that you will not earn credit for MSSW courses if your final grade falls below a 'C'. You must earn a 'C' or above for credit to be given for the course.

VII. Class & University Policies

- 1. Attendance.** It is important for social work practitioners to be punctual in both attendance and in meeting deadlines. Therefore, class attendance is expected, as is handing in assignments on time. Students who fail to attend class on a regular basis (missing more than one class without a valid excuse, e.g., medical documentation) may receive one or more course grades lower than their final grade when points are totaled.

3. **Late Assignments.** All assignments must be turned in on the due date. Changes in work schedules, personal celebrations, transportation problems, computer/printing problems, etc. are not considered legitimate reasons for missing an assignment. Late assignments will result in a deduction of **5%** for each day it is late. Any legitimate adjustments in due dates must be discussed with the instructor at least 24 hours PRIOR to the regularly scheduled due date.
4. **APA & References.** The School of Social Work uniformly requires APA editorial style as the standard format for all written assignments. Details of the APA style are included in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association. Information on APA style and format can be found at the Learning Resource Center (LRC) in the School of Social Work and on the UT library website. Appropriate referencing is required. Failure to use quotation marks for direct quotes or citation for indirect quotations and/or others ideas may result in receiving no credit for the paper.
7. **Course Feedback.** Students will have two formal opportunities to evaluate the quality of the course and instruction. About midway into the course students will be asked to provide an anonymous evaluation about the course and any suggestions for improving content, delivery, or discussion. The second evaluation will occur at the end of the course, utilizing the format provided by the University. At any time during the course, students should feel free to comment on the quality of the course and instruction and suggest changes that will increase their learning. These comments can either be made in class, by making an appointment to meet with the instructor, or via any other means selected by the student.
9. **Course Modifications.** Any modifications, amendments, or changes to the syllabus and or assignments are at the discretion of the instructor. Changes will be announced in class. It is the responsibility of the student to inquire about any changes that might have been made in his/her absence; all changes will be published on BlackBoard.

UNIVERSITY POLICIES

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HONOR CODE. The core values of The University of Texas at Austin are learning, discovery, freedom, leadership, individual opportunity, and responsibility. Each member of the university is expected to uphold these values through integrity, honesty, trust, fairness, and respect toward peers and community.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT IN CLASS. The professor expects students to act as professionals in class. This means students should arrive on time for class, be prepared to participate in the class discussion, and show respect for one another's opinions. We will not, nor should we, always agree with one another. In this environment we should be exposed to diverse ideas and opinions, and sometime we will not agree with the ideas expressed by others. However, the professor does require that students engage one another with respect and professionalism.

CLASSROOM CIVILITY. A course brings together a group of diverse individuals with various backgrounds. Students are influenced and shaped by such factors as ethnicity, gender, sex, physical abilities, religious and political beliefs, national origins, and sexual orientations, among others. We expect to learn from each other in an atmosphere of positive

engagement and mutual respect. Social Work deals with complex and controversial issues. These issues may be challenging and uncomfortable, and it would be impossible to offer a substantive classroom experience that did not include potentially difficult conversations relating to challenging issues.

UNANTICIPATED DISTRESS. Students may experience unexpected and/or distressing reactions to course readings, videos, conversations, and assignments. If so, students are encouraged to inform the instructor. The instructor can be responsive and supportive regarding students' participation in course assignments and activities, but students are responsible for communicating clearly what kind of support is desired. If counseling is needed, students can contact a service provider of their choosing, including the UT Counseling Center at 512-471-3515 or online at www.utexas.edu/student/cmhc/.

POLICY ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION. Public social networks are not private. Even when open only to approved or invited members, users cannot be certain that privacy will exist among the general membership of sites. If social work students choose to participate in such forums, please assume that anything posted can be seen, read, and critiqued. What is said, posted, linked to, commented on, uploaded, subscribed to, etc., can be accessed and archived, posing potential harm to professional reputations and prospective careers.

Social work students who use social media (i.e. Facebook, Twitter, etc.) and other forms of electronic communication (i.e. blogs, etc.) must be mindful of how their communication may be perceived by clients, colleagues, faculty, and others. Social work students are expected to make every effort to minimize material which could be considered inappropriate for a professional social worker in training. Because of this, social work students are advised to manage security settings at their most private levels and avoid posting information/photos or using any language that could jeopardize their professional image. Students are asked to consider the amount of personal information posted on these sites and are obliged to block any client access to involvement in the students' social networks. Client material should not be referred to in any form of electronic media, including **any** information that might lead to the identification of a client or compromise client confidentiality in **any** way. Additionally, students must critically evaluate any material that is posted regarding community agencies and professional relationships, as certain material could violate the standards set by the School of Social Work, the Texas Code of Conduct for Social Workers, and/or the NASW Code of Ethics.

Social work students should consider that they will be representing professional social work practice as well as the University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work program while in the classroom, the university community, and the broader area communities.

POLICY ON SCHOLASTIC DISHONESTY. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. For further information, the student may refer to the Web Site of

the Student Judicial Services, Office of the Dean of Students (<http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/>).

USE OF CLASS MATERIALS. The materials used in this class, including, but not limited to, exams, quizzes, and homework assignments are copyright protected works. Any unauthorized copying of the class materials is a violation of federal law and may result in disciplinary actions being taken against the student. Additionally, the sharing of class materials without the specific, express approval of the instructor may be a violation of the University's Student Honor Code and an act of academic dishonesty, which could result in further disciplinary action. This includes, among other things, uploading class materials to websites for the purpose of sharing those materials with other current or future students.

DOCUMENTED DISABILITY STATEMENT. Any student who requires special accommodations must obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (471- 6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). Present the letter to the professor at the beginning of the semester so that needed accommodations can be discussed. The student should remind the professor of any testing accommodations no later than five business days before an exam. For more information, visit <http://www.utexas.edu/diversity/ddce/ssd/>.

RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS. By UT Austin policy, students must notify the professor of a pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If the student must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, the professor will give the student an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

USE OF E-MAIL FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO STUDENTS. Email is recognized as an official mode of university correspondence; therefore, students are responsible for reading their email for university and course-related information and announcements. Students are responsible to keep the university informed about changes to their e-mail address. Students should check their e-mail regularly and frequently—daily, but at minimum twice a week—to stay current with university-related communications, some of which may be time- sensitive. Students can find UT Austin's policies and instructions for updating their e-mail address at <http://www.utexas.edu/its/policies/emailnotify.php>.

SAFETY. As part of professional social work education, students may have assignments that involve working in agency settings and/or the community. As such, these assignments may present some risks. Sound choices and caution may lower risks inherent to the profession. It is the student's responsibility to be aware of and adhere to policies and practices related to agency and/or community safety. Students should notify the professor regarding any safety concerns.

BEHAVIOR CONCERNS ADVICE LINE (BCAL). If students are worried about someone who is acting differently, they may use the Behavior Concerns Advice Line to discuss by phone their concerns about another individual's behavior. This service is provided

through a partnership among the Office of the Dean of Students, the Counseling and Mental Health Center (CMHC), the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and The University of Texas Police Department (UTPD). Call 512-232-5050 or visit <http://www.utexas.edu/safety/bcal>.

EMERGENCY EVACUATION POLICY. Occupants of buildings on the UT Austin campus are required to evacuate and assemble outside when a fire alarm is activated or an announcement is made. Please be aware of the following policies regarding evacuation:

- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of the classroom and the building. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when you entered the building.
- If you require assistance to evacuate, inform the professor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the professor's instructions.
- Do not re-enter a building unless you are given instructions by the Austin Fire Department, the UT Austin Police Department, or the Fire Prevention Services office.

VIII. COURSE SCHEDULE

<i>DATE</i>	<i>TOPIC & READINGS</i>	<i>CLASS ACTIVITY</i>	<i>ASSIGNMENT DUE</i>
CLASS #1 8/27	WELCOME	CLASS INTRODUCTION	
CLASS #2 9/3	REVIEW OF LITERATURE Class Handouts	PG MORENO USE OF LIBRARY RESOURCES	
CLASS #3 9/10	ASPECTS OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR & THEORY Robbins, Ch. 1 Scales & Wolfer, Ch. 1, 2		
CLASS #4 9/17	PERSPECTIVES ON HUMAN BEHAVIOR - THEORY Robbins, Ch. 14	CASE ACTIVITY OF MCKINLEY FAMILY HANDOUT	
CLASS #5 9/24	WORKING WITH CASES IN HUMAN BEHAVIOR Scales & Wolfer Case #3	CLASS DISCUSSION OF CASE #3	
CLASS #6 10/1	PSYCHOLOGICAL/BEHAVIORAL PERSON Robbins, Ch. 7, 12 Scales & Wolfer Case #9	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #9	Literature Review due Email to Dr.T by noon
CLASS #7 10/8	PSYCHOSOCIAL & SPIRITUAL PERSON Robbins, Ch. 8, 9, 13 Scales & Wolfer Case #4	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #4	
CLASS #8 10/15	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT & SYSTEMS Robbins, Ch. 2, 10, 11 Scales & Wolfer Case #6	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #6	Case Analysis #1 draft Email to Dr.T by NOON

CLASS #9 10/22	Scales & Wolfer Case #10	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #10 MID-TERM EXAM CHAPTERS 1,2, 7-14 & CLASS NOTES	Case Analysis #1 feedback & comments from Dr.T
CLASS #10 10/29	CULTURE/ASSIMILATION Robbins, Ch. 3, 6 Scales & Wolfer Case #2	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #2	Case Analysis #1 final submission Email to Dr.T by NOON
CLASS #11 11/5	EMPOWERMENT/FEMINIST Robbins, Ch. 4, 5 Scales & Wolfer Case #1	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #1	
CLASS #12 11/12	FAMILIES & ORGANIZATIONS Scales & Wolfer Case #5	GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE #5	Case Analysis #2 due Email Dr.T by NOON
CLASS#13 11/19	STUDENT'S FINAL EVALUATIONS	FINAL EXAM CHAPTERS 3-6, CLASS NOTES	
CLASS #14 11/26	HAPPY THANKSGIVING!	NO CLASS	
12/3	FINAL LITERATURE REVIEW REVISION DUE	NO CLASS	Revised Lit Review due to DrT by NOON

LITERATURE REVIEW GUIDELINES

FIRST DRAFT (75 POINTS – DUE OCT. 1)

- Papers should be no more than 8, double-spaced pages, NOT including references and title page. Must have at least 10 references AND a title page.
- Send your completed paper to Dr. T at SannaThompson@utexas.edu by the designated due date – you will receive a prompt reply from DrT noting it was been successfully received. No hard copies will be accepted.

Title Page – include your NAME (!) and a TITLE that reflects the main focus of the paper

Introduction to the overall issue/problem area (15 points – up to 1 page)

Use scientific literature to support your ideas concerning the definition of a social work problem, identify the severity of the problem, report the prevalence of the problem, and the population to whom the problem applies. Be comprehensive in your review of the problem area and the population effected by this problem.

Provide a clear statement of the issue you are focusing upon, including the importance or significance of the issue to social work.

- a). Establish the focus of the topic – what is the social or clinical problem you are addressing? Who are the people involved?
- b). Include how many people have the problem or are impacted by the topic area (epidemiology).
- c). How large or widespread is the problem (does it have societal cost as well as individual cost?), and other important information to introduce reader to the topic.
- d). Explain the rationale for why this is an important issue to study; what is its significance to social work?

A few examples of problems might be: abusive parents (prevention or treatment), traumatized children or adults, war veterans with PTSD, welfare reform, community development, family preservation, substance abuse, homelessness, depression among nursing home residents, preventing hospitalization among individuals with chronic brain disorders, coping with death and dying, marital problems, runaways, school dropout, HIV/AIDS prevention, caregiver burden, and many more – these are just some illustrations to help you consider what you may want to choose. You can't cite "too much" nor "too often." Remember, ideas from others must be recognized as such; if you don't cite and recognize the authors of ideas, it is plagiarism.

Review of the literature (40 points – about 6-7 pages)

A literature review is a description of relevant research on your topic. It is not simply a summarization of a few studies; the literature must be **synthesized** as it relates to issues or subtopics in your area. Organize the review by subheadings that guide the reader through a

logical flow of ideas. Synthesis should include describing the target problem, the various factors that might be associated with your primary target problem and describing what the research shows in relation to these factors. For example, if your target problem is PTSD, discussing factors such as depression and substance in relation to PTSD would be useful. Make sure you are choosing factors that you find in the literature, not just making up ones you think are interesting. Let the literature guide you in determining what you will be discussing.

This is the largest section of the paper and must include a wide variety of literature to support the discussion of the major problem area. This section is not simply a summarization of a few studies; the literature must be synthesized as it relates to issues or subtopics found in the studies you review. When citing literature on your topic, group your references together when they point to a common issue you are discussing. Use the results of studies you are citing to show empirical evidence related to the topic you are discussing.

The literature review presents others' ideas; therefore, it is written in third-person. Do not use first person in any part of the literature review. Maintain their own ideas by drawing upon the work of those you have chosen to include in your review with sources that support what you are saying. PLEASE read handout provided in class!

- a). **Use at least 10 peer-reviewed articles or chapters of books for your literature review (only 1 website may be “counted” in the reference list).**
- b). Use current literature (try to use literature published no earlier than 1990) from peer-reviewed sources.
- c). Only include literature that is relevant to your topic
- d). Make sure topic flows logically and moves from one point to another with appropriate transitions between paragraphs.
- e). Use quotations sparingly, if ever...paraphrase information from literature
- f) Don't ask rhetorical questions or include anecdotal information. Remember, this is a paper to tell the reader what the state of the RESEARCH is in a problem area.
- g). Cite ONLY primary sources; NO “as cited by...”

Conclusions (10 points – about a paragraph)

Include at least one or two final paragraphs that sum up the literature and provide the reader with a “take away” point. For example, what do the studies suggest needs to be done next? What does this literature review mean for the field of social work? What are possible implications that you can draw from reviewing the articles you did?

Writing Style and References (10 points)

Style formatting of citations throughout the paper and reference list must follow *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 6th Edition* -- citations must be used appropriately and often. Remember, if you are quoting material exactly, you must put content inside quotations and add page numbers for where that quote can be found. This score will reflect your ability to clearly describe content, proper use of grammar & spelling, and level of organization (use required subheadings) and clarity of thought and discussion.

LITERATURE REVIEW -- REVISION

FINAL DRAFT (UP TO 10 PAGES -- 130 POINTS – DUE DEC. 3)

1. ADDRESS ALL OF THE COMMENTS MADE BY INSTRUCTOR ON PREVIOUS DRAFT AND REVISE CONTENT FOR GREATER ORGANIZATION/CLARITY (65 points)

2. ADD AN ADDITIONAL SECTION BEFORE “CONCLUSIONS” THAT INTRODUCES A THEORY THAT IS RELEVANT TO YOUR TOPIC AREA AND DISCUSS THE FOLLOWING (35 points -- about 2 pages):

a). Describe one specific theory that we have talked about in class this semester. Be detailed about the theory itself, using references of authors who developed the theory (do not just cite the textbook – find other sources as well). Describe some of the major components or concepts that make up the theory. This section should provide enough of a description of the theory that someone who has not been in class would understand it to some degree.

b). Describe how that theory can be used to understand the specific issue you have discussed in your Literature Review. Tie the theory into the topic area by showing the linkage between the theory and the topic area. May not find literature to support all of the links between the theory and the topic, so extrapolate from what you’ve read. Provide references where needed.

3. REVISE YOUR CONCLUSION (10 points – 1-2 paragraphs)

Include how the theory may be used or was used in the literature to more fully understand the issue you are presenting. Modify your conclusion with a summarization of the issue DRAWING upon the theory as well as the summarization of the literature from your first draft.

4. WRITING STYLE AND REFERENCES (20 points) (same criteria as above)

CASE ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES (60 POINTS)

Each case analysis should be double-spaced, 12 point font, no more than 7 pages in length (excluding reference page), and must adhere to APA 6th edition. Each paper should be supported with a minimum of 5 references. Please DO NOT use the textbook as one of your references, but you may use appropriate websites for this assignment.

The case you choose may ONLY be # 7, 8, 11, or 12.

Headings below MUST be used. Send your completed case analysis to Dr. T. at SannaThompson@utexas.edu by the designated due date – make sure you receive a reply to assure that it was successfully received. No hard copies will be accepted.

Introduction (5 points - 1 page or less): Briefly identify major elements (i.e., people, setting, situations) in the case. What are the basic facts of the case that are part of the major issues?

Problem Statement (5 points - a succinct statement): Give a specific and concisely written formulation of the problem to guide the analysis and problem-solving – make sure to identify who is the main character in the case, from your perspective.

Context Analysis – Assessment of the case (15 points - 2 pages): Summarize the various micro, meso, and macro contextual factors (describe the contexts that apply to the case: individual, family, school/employment/environment, peer, community) that created and/or sustain the problem. *Note: There will be some cases where some contexts do not apply, it is only expected that you discuss applicable contexts. May also summarize internal and external issues that created or sustain the problem. Depending on the system level, these may include: cultural, economic/resource, political/legal, organizational, social, and ethical issues, interpersonal relationships, and intrapsychic and biological conditions. Focus on the CONTEXT of the problem itself, using research articles to support appropriate content. This section should be more about what the core ISSUES are that relate to the problem than simply reiterating case facts. Should provide a description of how your contextual analysis is linked to the problem statement, but keep the description in this section more focused on the issues (with evidence to support information related to those issues). Most important: DO NOT reiterate the facts, but describe the contextual issues surrounding the case and be careful not to make this an ‘opinion piece.’*

Application of appropriate Theory (15 points – about 1 1/2 pages): Identify at least one theory that offers implications for understanding this case and understanding the problem. Explain the theory itself (with references to support the information) in a paragraph and then show/describe how it ties to your identified problem and helps further understand the problem and core issues as described in the context analysis. Use the theory’s concepts and terminology to describe it and how it relates to your case.

Intervention Strategies (15 points - 2 pages): Use peer-reviewed literature related to the problem or issues identified to locate evidence-based interventions that could be two possible solutions to the problem or ways to assisting in dealing with the problem. These solutions should be plausible, distinct, non-contingent (i.e., not interdependent) and most of all feasible and realistic. Describe what the intervention or solution is, review what the literature suggests about it and its use, specify how it might

address the problem, and note advantages and disadvantages of each of the two possible solutions/interventions.

Recommendations (5 points – 1/2 page): Of the 2 alternative intervention strategies choose ONE that would be your top recommendation. Support this decision by discussing how the peer-reviewed literature and other factors led to this conclusion. Justify your preferred strategy, explaining the rationale for why you selected that particular strategy and how it best resolves the problem. Don't just reiterate the previous section, but describe the rationale for choosing the specific intervention. Describe how the selected strategy might influence the specified problem and note possible unintended effects of intervening using the strategy you've identified.

Writing style, clarity, references in APA, etc. (5 points)

GROUP PRESENTATION OF CASE ANALYSIS (100 POINTS)

The purpose of presenting your case analysis is to gain experience presenting a case and engaging and describing your opinions to your peers. The presentation will mirror a case meeting that many social workers have at their practice settings. Thus, the entire class will be contributing to the discussion. The goal will be to have the entire class agree on the recommendation for next steps with the case.

Your group will be assigned one case to present. Grades are determined by the entire group's presentation; however, any group member not present for the case analysis presentation will receive a no credit for the presentation.

Presentations must last for approximately 60 minutes. The group will present all the components listed for the Case Analysis Requirements and Guidelines. Presenters will lead the discussion and class members will actively ask questions throughout the presentation and provide feedback to the presentation in terms of implications of the findings of the group. Creativity is HIGHLY encouraged....please make it interesting/fun/engaging! Powerpoint slides are highly suggested to make sure the material is presented in an organized manner, but are not required.

Presentation groups must formulate 2 questions from the presentation that can be included on the final exam and present these questions to the class and discuss the answers. Question should address content that was discussed during the presentation and would be clear to someone who has attended that presentation. **Questions must be typed and turned into the instructor at the end of the class presentation, as well as emailed before or immediately after class.** The questions should be in multiple choice and true/false format, specific enough to be clear, and include the answers. Having printed handouts for each student in the class is requested. Please do not include essay-type questions. Please email a copy of your powerpoints, reference list and any other documents used in the presentation to the instructor before class.

Presenters will receive the instructor's evaluation form at the end of the class in which the presentation was given (see content for which instructor will be assessing the presentation on the "**Instructor Evaluation of Case Analysis Presentation**" form). All presenters in the group will receive the same points and grade, unless extenuating circumstances arise.

Group presentations will not only be the responsibility of presenters, but class members will be required to read the case before coming to class in order that they can contribute to the discussion. In preparation for cases presented in class, read the case carefully and complete the "**STUDENT EVALUATION OF CASE**" (THESE MUST BE TYPE WRITTEN – NO HAND WRITTEN FORMS WILL BE ACCEPTED). **These forms will be completed BEFORE class.** You must bring this evaluation of the case to class to assist you in discussing points about the case. Taking notes on the form is fine, as long as it was completed prior to class attendance. This demonstrates preparation for the case discussion. These forms will be turned in at the end of class by all students, except those who are presenting.

The instructor will review the case summary from each student and points will be awarded based on the thoughtfulness and comprehensiveness of the review. In addition, engagement of each student in the class discussions will be noted (total of 2 points possible each week). These forms will be graded each week and returned to students at the beginning of the next class.

STUDENT EVALUATION OF CASE

(must be typed & completed prior to class)

Student's Name: _____ Case # _____

Problem Statement (clearly state the core problem presented in the case)

Context Analysis (describe the problem within the larger context)

Theory application (what theory would seem most useful and why?)

Review of 2 Intervention Options (Based on your assessment, what strategies exist?)

Recommendations (briefly describe which intervention you would choose)

Grade for preparation & participation:

___/2 points

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION OF CASE ANALYSIS PRESENTATION

PRESENTER'S NAMES:

Case # _____

POINTS Received	POINTS Possible	PRESENTATION CRITERIA How well did presenters meet the following criteria?
	10	Introduction: background and summary of relevant details of the case
	10	Problem statement: clearly states the core problem presented in the case
	20	Context Analysis – Assessment of the case: Discussion of the case within the context (larger issues) supporting the problem, using <u>empirical support</u> .
	15	Application of appropriate Theory: Introduce theory in order to understand the problem area, using <u>empirical support</u>
	15	Intervention Options: Alternative strategies with <u>empirical support</u> to address the problem
	10	Recommendations: which intervention best option?
	5	Organization of presentation and each group member's equal contribution to presentation
	5	Engagement and involvement of class members in presentation and group's ability to lead discussion
	5	Creativity of presentation
	5	2 exam questions and answers discussed and submitted to instructor
	100	TOTAL POINTS
_____/100	_____	PRESENTATION GRADE